

Oberwolfach Preprints



OWP 2013 - 16

ALEXANDER OLEVSKII AND ALEXANDER ULANOVSKII

Near Critical Density Irregular Sampling in
Bernstein Spaces

Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach gGmbH
Oberwolfach Preprints (OWP) ISSN 1864-7596

Oberwolfach Preprints (OWP)

Starting in 2007, the MFO publishes a preprint series which mainly contains research results related to a longer stay in Oberwolfach. In particular, this concerns the Research in Pairs-Programme (RiP) and the Oberwolfach-Leibniz-Fellows (OWLF), but this can also include an Oberwolfach Lecture, for example.

A preprint can have a size from 1 - 200 pages, and the MFO will publish it on its website as well as by hard copy. Every RiP group or Oberwolfach-Leibniz-Fellow may receive on request 30 free hard copies (DIN A4, black and white copy) by surface mail.

Of course, the full copy right is left to the authors. The MFO only needs the right to publish it on its website *www.mfo.de* as a documentation of the research work done at the MFO, which you are accepting by sending us your file.

In case of interest, please send a **pdf file** of your preprint by email to *rip@mfo.de* or *owlf@mfo.de*, respectively. The file should be sent to the MFO within 12 months after your stay as RiP or OWLF at the MFO.

There are no requirements for the format of the preprint, except that the introduction should contain a short appreciation and that the paper size (respectively format) should be DIN A4, "letter" or "article".

On the front page of the hard copies, which contains the logo of the MFO, title and authors, we shall add a running number (20XX - XX).

We cordially invite the researchers within the RiP or OWLF programme to make use of this offer and would like to thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Imprint:

Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach gGmbH (MFO)
Schwarzwaldstrasse 9-11
77709 Oberwolfach-Walke
Germany

Tel +49 7834 979 50
Fax +49 7834 979 55
Email admin@mfo.de
URL www.mfo.de

The Oberwolfach Preprints (OWP, ISSN 1864-7596) are published by the MFO.
Copyright of the content is held by the authors.

Near critical density irregular sampling in Bernstein spaces*

Alexander Olevskii and Alexander Ulanovskii

May 12, 2013

Abstract

We obtain sharp estimates for the sampling constants in Bernstein spaces when the density of the sampling set is near the critical value

Keywords: Bernstein space; Beurling's sampling theorem; Sampling constant

1 Introduction

1.1 Beurling's sampling theorem

Definition 1 *Let σ be a positive number. The Bernstein space B_σ consists of all continuous bounded functions on \mathbb{R} which are the Fourier transforms of distributions supported by $[-\sigma, \sigma]$.*

It is well-known that B_σ can be also characterized as the space of all bounded functions on \mathbb{R} which can be extended to the complex plane as entire functions of exponential type σ .

Definition 2 *A set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ is called a set of stable sampling (SS) for B_σ if*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x)| \leq C \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f(\lambda)|, \text{ for all } f \in B_\sigma, \quad (1)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant.

We denote by $K(\Lambda, B_\sigma)$ the infimum over all C for which inequality (1) holds true, and call $K(\Lambda, B_\sigma)$ the *sampling constant*. We also set $K(\Lambda, B_\sigma) = \infty$ when Λ is not an SS for B_σ .

*This research was supported through the programme "Research in Pairs" by the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach in 2012.

Definition 3 A set Λ is called *uniformly discrete (u.d.)* if

$$\inf_{\lambda, \gamma \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq \gamma} |\lambda - \gamma| > 0.$$

If Λ is an SS for B_σ , then (see [1, Corollary of Theorem 2]) for every positive ϵ there is a u.d. subset Λ' of Λ satisfying $K(\Lambda', B_\sigma) < K(\Lambda, B_\sigma) + \epsilon$. Hence, in order to describe all sampling sets for B_σ , it suffices to describe the u.d. sampling sets. The classical theorem of Beurling [1] states that this description can be given in terms of the lower uniform density of Λ ,

$$D^-(\Lambda) := \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \min_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\Lambda \cap (a, a + l)|}{l}.$$

Here $|\Lambda \cap (a, a + l)|$ denotes the number of elements in $\Lambda \cap (a, a + l)$.

Theorem A (A. Beurling) A u.d. set Λ is an SS for $B_\sigma, \sigma > 0$, if and only if

$$D^-(\Lambda) > \frac{\sigma}{\pi}.$$

1.2 Sampling near critical density

Suppose $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a u.d. set satisfying $D^-(\Lambda) = 1$. By Theorem A, Λ is an SS for B_σ when $\sigma < \pi$, and is not an SS for B_σ when $\sigma \geq \pi$. One may check that when $\sigma < \pi$ and σ approaches the critical value π , then $K(\Lambda, B_\sigma)$ tends to infinity.

We ask how fast $K(\Lambda, B_\sigma)$ must grow when $\sigma \uparrow \pi$.

When $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$ is the set of integers, Bernstein [2] proved that $K(\mathbb{Z}, B_\sigma)$ has exactly the logarithmic growth:

Theorem B (S.N. Bernstein) Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$K(\mathbb{Z}, B_\sigma) = \frac{2}{\pi} \log \frac{\pi}{\pi - \sigma} (1 + o(1)), \quad \sigma \uparrow \pi. \quad (2)$$

A slightly weaker result was proved by Boas and Schaeffer [3]. Some estimates of $K(\mathbb{Z}, B_\sigma)$ can be found in [11]. We mention also paper [4] which considers Gabor frames generated by the Gaussian window with respect to the lattice $a\mathbb{Z} \times a\mathbb{Z}$: An asymptotic behavior of the frame constants is obtained as constant a approaches the critical value $a = 1$.

The main result of this paper shows that the critical constants $K(\Lambda, B_\sigma)$ always have at least the logarithmic growth as $\sigma \uparrow \pi$:

Theorem 1 For every $\Lambda, D^-(\Lambda) = 1$, and every $0 < \sigma < \pi$, we have

$$K(\Lambda, B_\sigma) \geq C \log \frac{\pi}{\pi - \sigma}, \quad (3)$$

where $C > 0$ is an absolute constant.

Suppose a set Λ is an SS for B_σ . This means that the sampling constant $K(\Lambda, B_\sigma)$ is finite. Then, by Theorem A, $D^-(\Lambda) > \pi/\sigma$. Using Theorem 1, one can in a sense measure the stability of Theorem A by showing that $D^-(\Lambda)$ cannot be too close to π/σ unless the sampling constant $K(\Lambda, B_\sigma)$ is large:

Corollary 1 Suppose a u.d. set Λ is an SS for $B_\sigma, \sigma > 0$. Then

$$D^-(\Lambda) \geq \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \exp\{-CK(\Lambda, B_\sigma)\}}, \quad (4)$$

where $C > 0$ is an absolute constant.

To prove this corollary, one may observe that the relations

$$K(\Lambda, B_\sigma) = K(a\Lambda, B_{\sigma/a}), \quad (5)$$

$$D^-(a\Lambda) = D^-(\Lambda)/a$$

are true, where $a > 0$ and $a\Lambda = \{a\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Then, to get (4), one chooses $a = D^-(\Lambda)$ and applies (3).

We shall present two proofs of Theorem 1 based on two different approaches. The first approach is based on Faber's ideas in the interpolation theory, while the second one belongs to circle of Beurling's ideas.

Remark 1 Since Beurling's Theorem A follows from Corollary 1, our first approach gives a new proof of this fundamental result.

Remark 2 By removing a single point from \mathbb{Z} , one gets a stronger estimate from below than (3):

$$K(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, B_\sigma) \geq \frac{\sigma}{\pi - \sigma}.$$

Indeed, set

$$f(x) = \frac{\sin \sigma x}{\sigma x}.$$

Then $\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x)| = 1$ and

$$|f(n)| = \left| \frac{\sin \sigma n}{\sigma n} \right| = \left| \frac{\sin(\pi - \sigma)n}{\sigma n} \right| \leq \frac{\pi - \sigma}{\sigma}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\},$$

from which the estimate above follows.

In fact, sampling constants $K(\Lambda, B_\sigma)$ may have arbitrarily fast growth:

Theorem 2 *For every function $\omega(\sigma) \uparrow \infty$ as $\sigma \uparrow \pi$, there exists $\Lambda, D^-(\Lambda) = 1$, such that*

$$K(\Lambda, B_\sigma) \geq \omega(\sigma), \quad \sigma < \pi.$$

2 Sampling constants for polynomials. Faber's approach

Let us denote by $\mathbb{T} := \{|z| = 1, z \in \mathbb{C}\}$ the unite circle in the complex plane, and by $C(\mathbb{T})$ the space of all continuous functions on \mathbb{T} with the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let

$$P_n := \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^n c_j z^j, |z| = 1 \right\}$$

denote the subspace of $C(\mathbb{T})$ of the restrictions onto \mathbb{T} of all complex polynomials of degree $\leq n$.

Definition 4 *A set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{T}$ is called a set of stable sampling (SS) for P_n if*

$$\|f\| \leq C \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f(\lambda)|, \quad f \in P_n,$$

where C does not depend on f . The sampling constant $K(\Lambda, P_n)$ is defined to be the infimum over all such C .

Clearly, a set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{T}$ is an SS for P_n if and only if $|\Lambda| > n$.

Our next result is an analogue of Theorem 1 for polynomials, and may have intrinsic interest.

Theorem 3 *There is an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for every $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{T}, |\Lambda| > n$, we have*

$$K(\Lambda, P_n) \geq C \log \frac{n}{|\Lambda| - n}.$$

Remark 3 Among all sets $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{T}$ satisfying $|\Lambda| = n + 1$, the minimum of $K(\Lambda, P_n)$ is attained for the equally spaced nodes, i.e.

$$K(\Lambda, P_n) \geq K(\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}, P_n),$$

see [7], [5] and [6]. Here \mathbb{Z}_{n+1} is the set of $n + 1$ -roots of unity. The inequality above was conjectured by Erdős in [8].

In what follows, we will use a variant of Theorem 3 for trigonometric polynomials.

Denote by $C_{2\pi}$ the space of all continuous 2π -periodic functions on \mathbb{R} equipped with the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|$, and by

$$T_k := \left\{ \sum_{j=-k}^k c_j e^{ijt}, t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

the $(2k + 1)$ -dimensional subspace of all trigonometrical polynomials of degree $\leq k$. Sampling sets $\Gamma \subset [0, 2\pi)$ for T_k and sampling constants $K(\Gamma, T_k)$ are defined as above. Clearly, $\Gamma \subset [0, 2\pi)$ is an SS for T_k if and only if $|\Gamma| > 2k$. Then we have

Theorem 3* *There is an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for every $\Gamma \subset [0, 2\pi)$, $|\Gamma| > 2k$, we have*

$$K(\Gamma, T_k) \geq C \log \frac{2k}{|\Lambda| - 2k}.$$

It is easy to check that Theorems 3 and 3* are equivalent. Indeed, since $K(\Lambda, P_n) \geq K(\Lambda, P_{n-1})$, one can check that Theorem 3 for odd n follows from the result for even n . Then take any even number n and set $k = n/2$. The relation $\Lambda = \{e^{i\gamma} : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ establishes a one-to-one correspondence between sets $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{T}$ and $\Gamma \subset [0, 2\pi)$, and the relation $g(t) = e^{-ikt} f(e^{it})$ establishes a one-to-one norm preserving correspondence between functions $f \in P_n$ and $g \in T_k$. It follows that $K(\Lambda, P_n) = K(\Gamma, T_k)$, $n = 2k$, which proves the equivalence between Theorems 3 and 3*.

Our proof of Theorem 3* involves some ideas going back to Faber.

Recall that a linear operator $U : C_{2\pi} \rightarrow T_k$ is called a projector if

$$Uf = f, \quad f \in T_k. \tag{6}$$

The following result is well known: Every projector $U : C_{2\pi} \rightarrow T_k$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|U\| > C \log k. \quad (7)$$

Here and below we denote by C some absolute positive constants, maybe different from line to line.

Inequality (7) follows directly from the fundamental observation due to Faber: By averaging of every projector with respect to translations, one gets a translation-invariant projector which is simply the k -th partial Fourier sum S_k . Precisely,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} H_h U H_{-h} dh = S_k, \quad U : C_{2\pi} \rightarrow T_k. \quad (8)$$

Here H_h is the translation operator and $S_k(f)$ means the k -th partial Fourier sum of f .

Remark 3 *Actually, Faber considered Lagrange interpolation projectors, which send f to the polynomial $q \in T_k$ interpolating f at given n nodes on the circle. Sometimes (see [9]) equality (8) for arbitrary projectors is called the Zygmund–Marzinkievich–Berman formula, while inequality (7) is called the Lozinski–Harshiladze theorem.*

The result above has a number of versions and applications. We shall use the following one due to A.I. Privalov [13]:

Lemma 1 ([13]) *There is a constant $C > 0$ with the property: Given integers $1 \leq m \leq 2k$, a projector $U : C_{2\pi} \rightarrow T_k$, and linear functionals $\psi_j \in C_{2\pi}^*$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, there is a function $f \in C_{2\pi}$, $\|f\| \leq 1$, such that $\psi_j(f) = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, and*

$$\|Uf\| \geq C \log \frac{2k}{m}.$$

The reader may find a list with additional references in [13].

For completeness of presentation, we prove this lemma in sec. 4.

Proof of Theorem 3*. Denote by $m \geq 0$ the number such that $|\Gamma| = 2k + m + 1$. Since clearly, $K(\Gamma, T_k) \geq K(\Gamma^*, T_k)$ whenever $\Gamma \subset \Gamma^* \subset [0, 2\pi)$, we may assume that $m \geq 1$. Choose any subset $\Gamma_m \subset \Gamma$ such that $|\Gamma_m| = m$, and set $\Gamma' = \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_m$. Then $|\Gamma'| = 2k + 1$.

Set

$$\varphi(t) := \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} \sin \frac{t - \gamma}{2},$$

and define $U : C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow T_k$ to be the Lagrange interpolation operator

$$Uf(t) := \varphi(t) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} \frac{f(\gamma)}{2\varphi'(\gamma) \sin \frac{t - \gamma}{2}}.$$

It is easy to see that U is a projector onto T_k .

Now, for every $\gamma' \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma'$, the relation

$$\psi_{\gamma'}(f) := Uf(\gamma') = \varphi(\gamma') \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma'} \frac{f(\gamma)}{2\varphi'(\gamma) \sin \frac{\gamma' - \gamma}{2}}$$

is a linear functional on $C_{2\pi}$. It follows from Lemma 1 that there exists $f \in C_{2\pi}$, $\|f\| \leq 1$, such that $\psi_{\gamma}(f) = 0$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_m$, and $\|Uf\| \geq C \log(2k/m)$, from which Theorem 3* follows.

The following statement follows from Theorem 3* by an appropriate change of variable.

Corollary 2 *There is an absolute constant $C > 0$ with the property: Given an interval $(-N, N)$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and a set $\Lambda \subset (-N, N)$, $|\Lambda| > 2N$, there is a trigonometric polynomial*

$$P(t) = \sum_{j=-N}^N c_j e^{\frac{i\pi j}{N}t} \in B_\pi$$

such that

$$\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |P(t)| \geq C \log \frac{2N}{|\Lambda| - 2N} \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |P(\lambda)|.$$

3 Sampling constants for Bernstein spaces

3.1 A sampling theorem for B_π

Let N be a positive integer and $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a set. Throughout this section we use the notation

$$\Lambda_N := \Lambda \cap (-N, N), \quad \Lambda(N) := \Lambda \cup (-\infty, -N] \cup [N, \infty).$$

Since $\Lambda(N)$ contains two infinite rays $|t| \geq N$, it is an SS for B_π . We show that for large N , the sampling constant $K(\Lambda(N), B_\pi)$ must be large unless the number of points of Λ in $(-N, N)$ is "much larger than" $2N$:

Theorem 4 *There is an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for every set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$, $|\Lambda_N| > 2N$, we have*

$$K(\Lambda(N), B_\pi) \geq C \log \frac{2N}{|\Lambda_N| - 2N}. \quad (9)$$

Throughout the rest of this section we denote by C different positive absolute constants.

In order to prove this theorem we first need an auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 2 *Assume $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M^{-1/3}/2 < \delta < M^{-1/3}$. For every set $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}$, $|\Gamma_M| > 2M$, we have*

$$K(\Gamma(M), B_{\pi/(1-\delta)}) \geq C \log \frac{2M}{|\Gamma_M| - 2M}. \quad (10)$$

3.2 Proof of Lemma 2

We may assume that M is a sufficiently large number, so that the inequalities below hold true.

1. Let us show that it suffices to prove Lemma 2 for the case

$$2M + M^{2/3} \leq |\Gamma_M| \leq 3M. \quad (11)$$

Indeed, if $|\Gamma_M| > 3M$, then (10) is true for $C = 1/\log 2$.

Further, assume (10) holds for all sets Γ' satisfying (11). Let us show that it is then true for all sets Γ satisfying $2M < |\Gamma_M| < 2M + M^{2/3}$. For every such set Γ one may choose a set Γ' such that $\Gamma \subset \Gamma'$ and $0 \leq |\Gamma'_M| - (2M + M^{2/3}) \leq 1$. Then

$$K(\Gamma(M), B_{\pi/(1-\delta)}) \geq K(\Gamma'(M), B_{\pi/(1-\delta)}) \geq C \log \frac{2M}{M^{2/3} + 1} >$$

$$\frac{C}{3} \log M > \frac{C}{6} \log \frac{2M}{|\Gamma_M| - 2M},$$

which completes the proof.

2. Fix a number $m, M - 2\sqrt{M} < m < M - \sqrt{M}$, such that there are no two distinct points $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_M$ satisfying $|\gamma_1 - \gamma_2| = m$. Set

$$\Gamma' := \Gamma_M + m\mathbb{Z} = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_M} (\gamma + m\mathbb{Z}).$$

One may check that $\Gamma_M \subset \Gamma'$ and $|\Gamma'_m| = |\Gamma_M|$. By this and Corollary 2, there is a trigonometric polynomial

$$P(t) = \sum_{j=-m}^m c_j e^{\frac{i\pi j}{m}t} \in B_\pi$$

satisfying

$$\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |P(t)| \geq C \log \frac{2m}{|\Gamma_M| - 2m} \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma_M} |P(\gamma)|. \quad (12)$$

Denote by $|t_0| \leq m$ a maximum modulus point of P . Set

$$g(t) := \frac{P(t)}{P(t_0)} \frac{\sin(m^{-1/3}(t - t_0))}{m^{-1/3}(t - t_0)}$$

and $\delta := 1 - (1 + m^{-1/3})^{-1} \in (M^{-1/3}/2, 2M^{-1/3})$. Then

$$g \in B_{\pi+m^{-1/3}} = B_{\pi/(1+\delta)}.$$

3. We now obtain some estimates of $|g|$ from above on the set $\Gamma(M)$. Firstly,

$$\max_{|t| \geq M} |g(t)| \leq \max_{|t| \geq M} \left| \frac{\sin(m^{-1/3}(t - t_0))}{m^{-1/3}(t - t_0)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{m^{-1/3}(M - m)} \leq \frac{2}{M^{1/6}}.$$

Further, by (11) and (12),

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma_M} |g(\gamma)| &\leq \frac{\max_{\gamma \in \Gamma_M} |P(\gamma)|}{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |P(t)|} \leq \\ &\left(C \log \frac{2m}{|\Gamma_M| - 2m} \right)^{-1} \leq \left(\frac{C}{2} \log \frac{2M}{|\Gamma_M| - 2M} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since

$$\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |g(t)| = g(t_0) = 1,$$

we see that g satisfies

$$\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |g(t)| = 1 \geq \min \left\{ \frac{C}{2} \log \frac{2M}{|\Gamma_M| - 2M}, \frac{M^{1/6}}{2} \right\} \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma(M)} |g(\gamma)|,$$

which proves (10).

3.3 Proof of Theorem 4

The argument in the first part of the previous proof shows that we may assume $2N + N^{2/3} \leq |\Lambda_N| \leq 3N$. Clearly, we may also assume that N is a large number.

Choose $N^{-1/3}/2 < \delta < 2N^{-1/3}/3$ such that $\delta N \in \mathbb{N}$, and set $M = (1 - \delta)N$ and $\Gamma = (1 - \delta)\Lambda$. It is clear that $|\Gamma_M| = |\Lambda_N| > 2M$, and one may check that $M^{-1/3}/2 < \delta < M^{-1/3}$. This means that we can apply Lemma 2, which gives

$$K(\Lambda(N), B_\pi) = K(\Gamma(M), B_{\pi/(1-\delta)}) \geq C \log \frac{2M}{|\Gamma_M| - 2M} =$$

$$C \log \frac{2(1-\delta)N}{|\Lambda_N| - 2(1-\delta)N} > \frac{C}{2} \log \frac{2N}{|\Lambda_N| - 2N},$$

which proves (9).

3.4 Proof of Theorem 1

Set $a = \sigma/\pi$ and $\Gamma = a\Lambda$. By (5), Theorem 1 is equivalent to the statement that for every set $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $D^-(\Gamma) = \pi/\sigma > 1$, we have

$$K(\Gamma, B_\pi) \geq C \log \frac{\pi}{\pi - \sigma}. \quad (13)$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $\pi - \sigma$ is a small number, and denote by N the integer satisfying

$$\frac{\sigma}{2\pi - 2\sigma} - 1 < N \leq \frac{\sigma}{2\pi - 2\sigma}.$$

Then

$$D^-(\Gamma) = \frac{\pi}{\sigma} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2N}.$$

Therefore, there exists an interval of length $2N$ which contains at most $2N + 2$ points of Γ . We may assume that $|\Gamma_N| \leq 2N + 2$. Since

$$K(\Gamma, B_\pi) \geq K(\Gamma(N), B_\pi),$$

estimate (13) follows from Theorem 4.

4 Proof of Lemma 1

Given $1 \leq m < 2k$ linear functionals $\psi_j \in C_{2\pi}^*$, we have to show that there exists $g \in C_{2\pi}$ satisfying $\psi_j(g) = 0, j = 1, \dots, m$, and

$$\max_{t \in [0, 2\pi)} |Ug(t)| > C \log \frac{2k}{m}. \quad (14)$$

Here and throughout this proof we denote by C absolute constants.

1. Fix integer constants

$$\rho := \left(\frac{k}{m}\right)^{1/3}, \quad m_1 := \frac{k}{\rho},$$

where $a \simeq b$ means $|a - b| < C$. We may assume that $k/m, \rho$ and $m_1/m\rho$ are large numbers.

2. Set

$$Q_0(t) := \left(\frac{\sin 2m\rho t}{4m\rho \sin t/2}\right)^2, \quad Q(t) := \sum_{l=1}^{4m\rho} \alpha_l Q_0\left(t - \frac{\pi l}{2m\rho}\right).$$

One can check that

$$\|Q\| \leq \max\{|\alpha_l|; l = 1, \dots, 4m\rho\}.$$

Observe that α_l can be chosen to satisfy the equalities

$$\psi_j(e^{im_1qt}Q(t)) = 0,$$

where

$$q = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm(\rho - 1), \pm(\rho + 1), \dots, \pm 2\rho, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

This is so, since the number of equalities, $(4\rho - 1)m$, is less than the number of coefficients, $4m\rho$. Moreover, we may chose α_l so that

$$\max\{|\alpha_l|; l = 1, \dots, 4m\rho\} = \alpha_{l_0} = 1.$$

Set $t_0 := \pi l_0 / (2m\rho)$. Then $\|Q\| = \alpha_{l_0} = Q(t_0) = 1$.

3. Consider Fejér's polynomial

$$P(t) := \left(\frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{\cos t}{\rho - 1} + \dots + \frac{\cos(\rho - 1)t}{1}\right) -$$

$$\left(\frac{\cos(\rho + 1)t}{1} + \dots + \frac{\cos 2\rho t}{\rho} \right) =: P_1(t) - P_2(t).$$

Clearly, $P_1(0) > \log \rho$ and it is well-known that $\|P_1 - P_2\| \leq C$. Set $f_1(t) := CP_1(m_1 t)$, $f_2(t) := CP_2(m_1 t)$ and $f = f_1 - f_2$, where C is such that $\|f\| = 1$. Observe that

$$\|f_1\| = f_1(0) = CP_1(0) > C \log \rho \geq C \log \frac{k}{m}.$$

4. Consider the polynomials

$$g_\tau := (H_{-\tau} f) \cdot Q,$$

where $(H_\tau f)(t) := f(t - \tau)$. Clearly, $\|g_\tau\| \leq 1$ and all our functionals vanish on g_τ , for every τ . To prove the lemma, we show that there exists τ such that g_τ satisfies (14).

Set

$$G(\tau) := (Ug_\tau)(t_0 - \tau) = G_1(\tau) + G_2(\tau),$$

where

$$G_j(\tau) := (U(H_{-\tau} f_j) \cdot Q)(t_0 - \tau).$$

In order to prove that g_τ satisfies (14) for some τ , it suffices to show that $\max_\tau |G(\tau)| > C \log k/m$. To prove the latter, it is convenient to use the de la Vallée Poussin means:

$$V_l(f)(x) := \frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=l}^{2l-1} S_j(f)(x),$$

where $V_l(f)$ denotes the l -th partial Fourier sum of f . It is well known that $\|V_l(G)\| < C\|G\|$.

It is easy to see that polynomial $G_2(t)$ contains only exponentials with exponents $j : |j| > 8m\rho$, so that $V_{4m\rho}(G_2) = 0$. Further, one may check that polynomial $(H_{-\tau} f_1) \cdot Q$ belongs to T_k , so that

$$G_1(\tau) = ((H_{-\tau} f_1) \cdot Q)(t_0 - \tau) = f_1(t_0)Q(t_0 - \tau).$$

Hence, $G_1 \in T_{4m\rho}$ which gives $V_{4m\rho}(G_1) = G_1$. We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|G\| &\geq C\|V_{4m\rho}(G)\| = C\|V_{4m\rho}(G_1)\| = \\ C\|G_1\| &\geq C|G_1(0)| = C|f_1(0)||Q(t_0)| \geq C \log \frac{k}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

5 Beurling's approach

5.1 Some results from Beurling's sampling theory

Beurling in [1] has built a general theory of balayage (or sweeping) of any finite measure from \mathbb{R}^n to a given set Λ without changing the values on a compact set E of its Fourier transform. For a large class of sets E he established connection between balayage and sampling in the corresponding Bernstein space. We recall several facts from his theory which we use in the proof of Theorem 1 below.

Given two closed sets A and B on \mathbb{R} , the Fréchet distance $d(A, B)$ between A and B is the smallest number $d > 0$ such that $A \subset B + [-d, d]$ and $B \subset A + [-d, d]$. Let Λ_j be (not necessarily discrete) closed sets in \mathbb{R} . A set Λ is called a weak limit of Λ_j if for every closed interval I we have $d(\Lambda \cap I, \Lambda_j \cap I) \rightarrow 0, j \rightarrow \infty$. We shall use the fact that (see [1], Theorem 1)

$$K(\Lambda, B_\sigma) \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} K(\Lambda_j, B_\sigma). \quad (15)$$

Given a u.d. set Λ , consider its translations $\Lambda - a, a \in \mathbb{R}$. For every sequence a_j , one can always choose a subsequence such that the corresponding translations of Λ converge weakly to some u.d. set Λ' . We denote by $W(\Lambda)$ the set of all possible weak limits of the translates of Λ . It follows from (15) that

$$K(\Lambda', B_\sigma) \leq K(\Lambda, B_\sigma), \quad \Lambda' \in W(\Lambda).$$

We say that a set Λ is a uniqueness set for B_σ if $f \in B_\sigma$ and $f|_\Lambda = 0$ imply $f = 0$. There is a beautiful connection between sampling and uniqueness properties for Bernstein spaces (see [1], Theorem 3):

Theorem C (Beurling) *Λ is an SS for B_σ if and only if every set $\Lambda' \in W(\Lambda)$ is a uniqueness set for B_σ .*

5.2 Some facts about entire functions

1. A corollary of Jensen formula.

Given an entire function f , denote by $n_f(r)$ the number of its zeros in the circle $|z| < r$. Then the inequality

$$n_f(r) \leq \max_{|z| \leq r} \log |f(ez)| - \log |f(0)| \quad (16)$$

holds provided $f(0) \neq 0$. This is an immediate corollary of Jensen formula (see [10], p.13).

2. Bernstein's inequality.

Set

$$\|f\| := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f(x)|.$$

Bernstein's inequality ([10], p. 227, [14], p. 72) states

$$\|f'\| \leq \sigma \|f\|, \quad \text{for every } f \in B_\sigma. \quad (17)$$

3. Observe that every $f \in B_\sigma$ satisfies ([14], Theorem 11, p. 70)

$$|f(x + iy)| \leq \|f\| e^{\sigma|y|}, \quad x + iy \in \mathbb{C}.$$

From this inequality and (16), for every $f \in B_\sigma$, $f(0) \neq 0$, it follows that

$$n_f(r) \leq \sigma er + \log \frac{\|f\|}{|f(0)|}, \quad r > 0. \quad (18)$$

5.3 Proof of Theorem 1

Throughout the proof we denote by C some absolute constants.

To prove Theorem 1, we show that for every Λ , $D^-(\Lambda) = 1$, and every $\sigma < \pi$ there exists $f \in B_\sigma$ satisfying

$$\|f\| = 1, \quad |f(\lambda)| \leq \frac{C}{\log \frac{\pi}{\pi - \sigma}}, \quad \text{for every } \lambda \in \Lambda. \quad (19)$$

It is clear that it suffices to verify this only for $\sigma > \sigma_0$, with some $\sigma_0 < \pi$.

By Theorem C and (15), we may additionally assume that there exists $\varphi \in B_\pi$ such that $\varphi|_\Lambda = 0$. Without loss of generality, we may also assume that $0 \notin \Lambda$, $\|\varphi\| = 1$ and $|\varphi(0)| \geq 1/2$.

Fix any number $N \geq 64$, and set $\sigma := \pi - \pi N^{-3}$. Observe that

$$\log \frac{\pi}{\pi - \sigma} = 3 \log N. \quad (20)$$

To find a function f satisfying (19), we consider three cases.

1. Assume that the interval $[-N, N]$ contains a zero λ_0 of φ of multiplicity ≥ 2 . Set

$$g(x) := \frac{\lambda_0 \varphi(x)}{x - \lambda_0}.$$

We have $g \in B_\pi, g|_\Lambda = 0$ and $|g(1/2)| = |\varphi(1/2)| \geq 1/2$. The latter shows that $\|g\| \geq 1/2$. Further,

$$|g(x)| \leq \frac{|\lambda_0| \|\varphi\|}{N^2 - |\lambda_0|} \leq \frac{2}{N}, \quad \text{for all } x, |x| \geq N^2.$$

Now set

$$f(x) := \frac{g(\frac{\sigma}{\pi}x)}{\|g\|}. \quad (21)$$

Then $f \in B_\sigma$ and $\|f\| = 1$. When $\lambda \in \Lambda, |\lambda| < N^2$, from (17) we have

$$|f(\lambda)| = \frac{|g(\lambda - \frac{\pi-\sigma}{\pi}\lambda)|}{\|g\|} \leq \frac{\pi - \sigma}{\pi} |\lambda| \frac{\|g'\|}{\|g\|} \leq \frac{\pi}{N}.$$

When $\lambda \in \Lambda, |\lambda| \geq N^2$, it follows from the estimate on $|g(x)|$ and $\|g\|$ above that $|f(\lambda)| < 4/N$. These estimate and (22) prove (a stronger inequality than) (19).

2. We may assume that φ has only simple zeros on $[-N, N]$. Assume additionally that every subinterval of $[-N, N]$ of length \sqrt{N} contains at least $\sqrt{N}/8$ points of Λ . Then

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda, |\lambda| < N} \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \geq \frac{1}{8} \sqrt{N} \sum_{|j| < \sqrt{N}} \frac{1}{|j| \sqrt{N}} = C \log N. \quad (22)$$

Now, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda, |\lambda| < N$, we denote by c_λ the number such that $|c_\lambda| = 1$ and

$$-\frac{c_\lambda}{\lambda \varphi'(\lambda)} = \left| \frac{c_\lambda}{\lambda \varphi'(\lambda)} \right|.$$

Set

$$g(x) := \varphi(x) \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda, |\lambda| < N} \frac{c_\lambda}{(x - \lambda) \varphi'(\lambda)}.$$

Then $g \in B_\pi, g(\lambda) = c_\lambda$ whenever $\lambda \in \Lambda, |\lambda| < N$, and $g(\lambda) = 0$ otherwise. Using Bernstein's inequality (17) for $\varphi'(\lambda)$ and (22), we get

$$|g(0)| = |\varphi(0)| \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda, |\lambda| < N} \frac{1}{|\lambda \varphi'(\lambda)|} \geq C \log N.$$

Moreover, by (18), for every $|x| > 2N^2$ we have

$$|g(x)| \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda, |\lambda| < N} \frac{1}{|(2N^2 - \lambda) \varphi'(\lambda)|} \leq \frac{|g(0)|}{N}.$$

Hence, two estimates hold:

$$\frac{|g(\lambda)|}{\|g\|} \leq \frac{|g(\lambda)|}{|g(0)|} \leq \frac{C}{\log N}, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda, \quad (23)$$

and

$$\frac{|g(x)|}{\|g\|} \leq \frac{C}{N}, \quad |x| > 2N^2. \quad (24)$$

Let f be defined by (21). Then $f \in B_\sigma$ and $\|f\| = 1$. When $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $|\lambda| < 2N^2$, we have $|\lambda|N^{-3} < 2/N$. Hence, by (17) and (23),

$$|f(\lambda)| = \frac{|g(\lambda - \lambda N^{-3})|}{\|g\|} \leq \frac{|g(\lambda)| + |\lambda|\sigma N^{-3}\|g\|}{\|g\|} \leq \frac{C}{\log N}.$$

From (24) it follows that the ratio above admits an even better estimate for $|\lambda| > 2N^2$. These estimates and (20) prove (19).

3. Assume that there is an interval I of length \sqrt{N} which contains $< \sqrt{N}/8$ points of Λ . We may assume that $I = [-\sqrt{N}/2, \sqrt{N}/2]$.

We shall need the following lemma (see [12], Lemma 4.5):

Lemma 3 ([12]) *Given an integer n and a positive number $\omega < 1$, let P be an algebraic polynomial of degree $\leq n$ which has a zero of multiplicity $\geq \omega n$ at the point 1. Then there exists a constant $0 < \eta < 1$ which depends only on ω such that*

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| = \max_{|z|=1; |z+1|>\eta} |P(z)|.$$

Set

$$\psi(x) := \left(\cos \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{N}} x \right)^{\sqrt{N}/4} \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda \cap I} \sin \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{N}} (x - \lambda).$$

One may check that ψ is \sqrt{N} -periodic, $\psi \in B_{\pi/2}$ and $\psi(\lambda) = 0$, $\lambda \in \Lambda \cap I$. Moreover, letting $z := \exp(2\pi i x / \sqrt{N})$, we see that

$$\psi(x) = z^{-\sqrt{N}/4} (z+1)^{\sqrt{N}/8} \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda \cap I} (z^2 - \alpha_\lambda^2), \quad \alpha_\lambda := e^{-2\pi i \lambda / \sqrt{N}}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 3 we conclude that there exists an absolute constant $0 < c < 1/2$ and a point x_0 , $|x_0| \leq c\sqrt{N}$ satisfying

$$\|\psi\| = |\psi(x_0)|.$$

Set

$$f(x) := \frac{\psi(x) \sin \frac{\pi(x-x_0)}{4}}{\psi(x_0) \frac{\pi(x-x_0)}{4}}.$$

We have $f \in B_{3\pi/4}$, $\|f\| = f(x_0) = 1$, $f(\lambda) = 0$ whenever $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $|\lambda| < \sqrt{N}/2$. Moreover, since $|x - x_0| > (1/2 - c)\sqrt{N}$, $|x| > \sqrt{N}/2$, we get

$$|f(x)| \leq \left| \frac{\sin \frac{\pi(x-x_0)}{4}}{\frac{\pi(x-x_0)}{4}} \right| \leq \frac{4}{\pi(1/2 - c)\sqrt{N}}, \quad |x| \geq \sqrt{N}/2,$$

from which (19) follows.

6 Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2 follows easily from our Theorem 4.

Take any function $\omega(\sigma) \uparrow \infty$, $\sigma \uparrow \pi$, and any sequence $0 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < \dots$, $\sigma_j \uparrow \pi$. To prove Theorem 2 it suffices to construct a u.d. set Λ , $D^-(\Lambda) = 1$, for which $K(\Lambda, B_{\sigma_j}) > \omega(\sigma_{j+1})$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Set

$$\Lambda_1 := \left\{ \frac{\pi}{\sigma_1} n : n \in \mathbb{Z}, |n| < N_1 \right\} \cup \{x : x \in \mathbb{R}, |x| \geq N_1\}.$$

By Theorem 4 we may choose N_1 so large that

$$K(\Lambda_1, B_{\sigma_1}) > \omega(\sigma_2).$$

Next, we set

$$\Lambda_2 := \left\{ \frac{\pi}{\sigma_1} n : n \in \mathbb{Z}, |n| < N_1 \right\}$$

$$\cup \left\{ \frac{\pi}{\sigma_2} n : n \in \mathbb{Z}, N_1 < |n| < N_2 \right\} \cup \{x : x \in \mathbb{R}, |x| \geq N_2\}.$$

By Theorem 4 we may choose $N_2 > N_1$ so large that

$$K(\Lambda_2(N, \sigma), B_{\sigma_2}) > \omega(\sigma_3),$$

and so on. Proceeding like that we construct a sequence $N_j \rightarrow \infty$ and a sequence Λ_j which converge to some Λ . Since $\Lambda \subset \Lambda_j$ for each j , we have

$$K(\Lambda, B_{\sigma_j}) \geq K(\Lambda_j, B_{\sigma_j}) > \omega(\sigma_{j+1}).$$

Moreover, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\Lambda \cap \{x : N_j < |x| < N_{j+1}\} = \left\{ \frac{\pi}{\sigma_j} n : N_j < |n| < N_{j+1} \right\}.$$

From this it easily follows that $D^-(\Lambda) = 1$, which completes the proof.

References

- [1] Beurling, A. Balayage of Fourier–Stieltjes Transforms. In: The collected Works of Arne Beurling, Vol.2, Harmonic Analysis. Birkhauser, Boston, 1989.
- [2] Bernstein, S. N. The extension of properties of trigonometric polynomials to entire functions of finite degree. (Russian) *Izvestiya Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat.* 12, (1948). 421–444.
- [3] Boas, R. P., Jr., Schaeffer, A. C. A theorem of Cartwright. *Duke Math. J.* 9, (1942). 879–883.
- [4] Borichev, A, Gröchenig, K., Lyubarskii, Yu. Frame constants of Gabor frames near the critical density. (English, French summary) *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 94 (2010), no. 2, 170–182.
- [5] Brutman, L. On the polynomial and rational projections in the complex plane. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 17 (1980), no. 3, 366–372.
- [6] Brutman, L., Pinkus, A. On the Erdos conjecture concerning minimal norm interpolation on the unit circle. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 17 (1980), no. 3, 373–375.
- [7] De Boor, C., Pinkus, A. Proof of the conjectures of Bernstein, and Erdős concerning the optimal nodes for polynomial interpolation, *J. Approximation Theory*, 24 (1978), 289–303.
- [8] Erdős, P. Problems and results on the convergence and divergence properties of the Lagrange interpolation polynomials and some extremal problems. *Mathematica (Cluj)* 10 (33) 1967, 65–73.
- [9] Akilov, G.P., Kantorovich, L.V. *Functional Analysis*. Pergamon Press 1982.

- [10] Levin, B. Ya. Lectures on Entire Functions. AMS. 1996.
- [11] Liu, H. C., Macintyre, A. J. Cartwright's theorem on functions bounded at the integers. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1961), 460–462.
- [12] Olevskii, A., Ulanovskii, A. Universal sampling and interpolation of band-limited signals. Geom. Funct. Anal. 18 (2008), no. 3, 1029–1052.
- [13] Privalov, Al. A. The growth of the powers of polynomials, and the approximation of trigonometric projectors. (Russian) Mat. Zametki 42, no. 2 (1987), 207–214.
- [14] Young, R.M. An introduction to Nonharmonic Fourier Series. Academic Press. 2001.