

Report No. 40/2004

## Mini-Workshop: Compactness Problems in Interpolation Theory and Function Spaces

Organised by  
Fernando Cobos (Madrid)  
Thomas Kühn (Leipzig)

August 15th – August 21st, 2004

### Introduction by the Organisers

Compactness is undoubtedly one of the central and most relevant notions in mathematics. The present mini-workshop was centered around some important compactness problems connected to interpolation theory and to the theory of function spaces, two very closely related areas. Our aim was to bring together leading experts and active younger researchers in these fields. The mini-workshop was attended by 16 participants from Germany (5), Spain (5), Israel (2), Poland (2), Sweden (1) and United States (1), and the main problems we dealt with can, more specifically, be grouped as follows.

1. Interpolation of compactness and related properties
  - 1.1. Complex interpolation of compact operators
  - 1.2. Real interpolation of compactness and similar properties
2. Compact embeddings in function spaces
  - 2.1. Entropy numbers of such embeddings and applications to spectral theory of differential operators
  - 2.2. Entropy techniques in sequence spaces

Let us shortly describe these topics.

**1.1.** An outstanding problem in interpolation theory is the question, whether the complex interpolation method preserves compactness of operators. This is open since 40 years, and by now only partial answers are known. Recently a new and promising general approach has been proposed. We discussed this approach.

**1.2.** For the real interpolation method, however, it is well-known that it does preserve compactness. So it is quite natural to ask for *quantitative* versions of this

purely *qualitative* result, for instance in terms of the measure of non-compactness, or in terms of entropy numbers. Another natural question is to study whether similar properties, like weak compactness, for example, are stable under real interpolation as well. These problems were addressed in some talks.

**2.1.** The sequence of entropy numbers  $(e_k(T))_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of a bounded linear operator  $T$  between quasi-Banach spaces can be considered as a quantification of compactness, since  $T$  is compact if and only if  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} e_k(T) = 0$ . The basis for applications to spectral theory is the famous Carl-Triebel inequality, which relates entropy numbers of Riesz operators to its eigenvalues. Many concrete problems lead to the investigation of compact embeddings of certain function spaces, e.g. Sobolev or Besov spaces. In the talks both a survey on the general framework as well as new entropy estimates for specific embeddings were given.

**2.2.** Using various methods, for instance wavelet or atomic decompositions, the function space embeddings can very often be reduced to embeddings of (fairly complicated) sequence spaces. For the estimation of their entropy numbers one needs many different techniques, some of them quite new. Such techniques also were the subject of talks.

Finally, several other aspects of interpolation were treated in talks, e.g. approximation spaces, bilinear interpolation, relation to eigenvalues and operator ideals. We list the abstracts of all talks in chronological order.

The scientific program started with two survey lectures by Triebel and Cwikel, leading experts in their fields, followed by two more survey-style talks of the organisers. Then all other participants reported on own recent research results. In addition to this "official" program, which was already scheduled in advance, there was a number of further activities. Several participants offered a second talk, on another topic of common interest, or continued their respective talks in order to explain some technicalities in greater detail. The remaining time was used for many intensive discussions in smaller groups, and on Friday a problem session was held. The aim was to summarize the results of the mini-workshop and to discuss and collect several relevant problems, thus pointing out possible directions for further research in our field.

Concerning social activities, one should mention the traditional hiking tour to St. Roman on Wednesday afternoon and the, maybe less traditional, joint session of all three parallel mini-workshops. The aim of this informal interdisciplinary session was to explain very briefly the kind of problems and ideas of our respective areas. Before this meeting there were serious doubts whether the intended goal would be achievable in only a few minutes, but afterwards it was general opinion that we have had a surprisingly inspiring and interesting evening, giving in fact a rough impression of the other two research areas.

Last but not least, the organisers would like to express their gratitude to the director and the authorities of the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach for making this mini-workshop possible and for the constant support in its organisation and preparation. On behalf of all participants we thank all members

---

of the staff for creating the unique working atmosphere, which made our stay so pleasant and which contributed substantially to the success of our mini-workshop.



**Mini-Workshop: Compactness Problems in Interpolation Theory  
and Function Spaces****Table of Contents**

|   |      |
|---|------|
| Hans Triebel  |      |
| <i>Compact embeddings in function spaces</i> . . . . .  | 2109 |
| Michael Cwikel (joint with Fedor Nazarov)   |      |
| <i>Some thoughts about complex interpolation of compact operators</i> . . . . .   | 2110 |
| Fernando Cobos  |      |
| <i>Interpolation and operator ideals</i> . . . . .  | 2113 |
| Thomas Kühn   |      |
| <i>Old and new entropy techniques</i> . . . . .   | 2114 |
| Luz M. Fernández-Cabrera  |      |
| <i>Closed operator ideals and indices</i> . . . . .   | 2116 |
| Pedro Fernández-Martínez (joint with F. Cobos and A. Martínez)  |      |
| <i>Measures of non-compactness and real interpolation</i> . . . . .   | 2117 |
| Hans-Gerd Leopold and Leszek Skrzypczak (joint with T. Kühn and<br>W. Sickel)   |      |
| <i>Entropy numbers of embeddings of weighted Besov spaces.</i><br><i>I. Polynomial weights with perturbations</i><br><i>II. Weights of subpolynomial growth</i> . . . . . | 2118 |
| Evgeniy Pustyl'nik  |      |
| <i>A new class of approximation spaces and operator ideals</i> . . . . .  | 2120 |
| Natan Krugljak  |      |
| <i>Distance of <math>L_1</math>-functions to the ball of radius <math>t</math> in the space <math>Lip \alpha</math></i> . . . . .   | 2121 |
| Antón Martínez (joint with F. Cobos and L.M. Fernández-Cabrera)   |      |
| <i>Compact operators between real interpolation spaces</i> . . . . .  | 2122 |
| Antonio Manzano (joint with F. Cobos, L.M. Fernández-Cabrera, and<br>A. Martínez)   |      |
| <i>Weak compactness and general <math>J</math>- and <math>K</math>- methods</i> . . . . .   | 2124 |
| Tomas Schonbek (joint with P. Fernández-Martínez)   |      |
| <i>Entropy numbers in vector valued sequence spaces</i> . . . . .   | 2125 |
| Winfried Sickel (joint with Stephan Dahlke and Erich Novak)   |      |
| <i>Optimal approximation of elliptic problems by linear and nonlinear<br/>    mappings</i> . . . . .  | 2126 |

|   |      |
|---|------|
| Mieczysław Mastyło  |      |
| <i>On interpolation of bilinear operators</i> .....   | 2127 |
| Dorothee D. Haroske (joint with A.M. Caetano and S.D. Moura)                                      |      |
| <i>Continuity envelopes in spaces of generalised smoothness,</i><br><i>and applications</i> ..... | 2128 |
| Mieczysław Mastyło  |      |
| <i>Banach operator ideals and eigenvalue estimates</i> .....                                      | 2129 |

## Abstracts

### Compact embeddings in function spaces

HANS TRIEBEL

We outline the symbiotic relationship between

1. spectral theory of compact operators in abstract quasi-Banach spaces,
2. function spaces on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and on bounded domains  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,
3. compact embeddings in weighted sequence spaces,
4. representations of function spaces in terms of elementary building blocks.

**1.** The well-known Riesz theory for compact operators  $T$  in complex Banach spaces can be extended to quasi-Banach spaces  $B$  and

$$(1) \quad |\lambda_k(T)| \leq \left( \prod_{j=1}^k |\lambda_j(T)| \right)^{1/k} \leq \sqrt{2} e_k(T), \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $\lambda_k(T)$  are the eigenvalues of  $T$  (counted to their algebraic multiplicity and ordered by decreasing magnitude) and  $e_k(T)$  are the entropy numbers of  $T$ . Recall that  $e_k(T)$  is the infimum of all  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the image  $TU_B$  of the unit ball  $U_B$  in  $B$  can be covered by  $2^{k-1}$  balls of radius  $\varepsilon$ . Details, proofs and references may be found in [ET].

**2.** Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < p \leq \infty$  ( $p < \infty$  in the  $F$ -case),  $0 < q \leq \infty$ . Then  $B_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $F_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are the two well-known scales of function spaces covering (fractional) Sobolev spaces, classical Besov spaces and Hölder-Zygmund spaces. The spaces  $B_{pq}^s(\Omega)$  and  $F_{pq}^s(\Omega)$  for bounded domains  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  are defined by restriction of the respective spaces on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\Omega$ . Then the embedding

$$(2) \quad \text{id}_B : B_{p_1 q_1}^{s_1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow B_{p_2 q_2}^{s_2}(\Omega)$$

is compact if, and only if,  $s_2 < s_1$  and  $s_2 - \frac{n}{p_2} < s_1 - \frac{n}{p_1}$  (similar for the  $F$ -spaces) and in this case

$$(3) \quad e_k(\text{id}_B) \sim k^{-\frac{s_1 - s_2}{n}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The spectral theory of (regular, singular, fractal) elliptic (pseudo-)differential operators can often be reduced (via suitable factorisations) to (2),(3), and then to (1). As for the theory of function spaces we refer to [T1,T2] and, in connection with (2),(3), to [ET,T3].

**3.** Let  $\delta > 0$ ,  $M_j \sim 2^{jd}$  for some  $d > 0$ ,  $0 < p \leq \infty$  and

$$\|\lambda | \ell_p(2^{j\delta} \ell_p^{M_j})\| = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{j\delta p} \sum_{r=1}^{M_j} |\lambda_{jr}|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

$\lambda = \{\lambda_{jr}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Let

$$0 < p_1 \leq \infty, \quad \frac{1}{p^*} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{\delta}{d}, \quad p^* < p_2 \leq \infty.$$

Then

$$(4) \quad \text{id}_\ell : \ell_{p_1} (2^{j\delta} \ell_{p_1}^{M_j}) \hookrightarrow \ell_{p_2} (\ell_{p_2}^{M_j})$$

is compact and

$$(5) \quad e_k(\text{id}_\ell) \sim k^{-\frac{\delta}{d} + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{p_1}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

[T3,HT], and the references given there.

**4.** Elements  $f$  of  $B_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  or  $F_{pq}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$  can be represented in terms of wavelet bases and wavelet frames, typically of type

$$(6) \quad f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{jm} a_{jm}(\cdot),$$

where  $a_{jm}$  are elementary building blocks (for example Daubechies wavelets) related to balls of radius  $\sim 2^{-j}$  and centred at  $2^{-j}m$ , and  $\{\lambda_{jm}\}$  are elements of some sequence spaces. When reduced to bounded domains  $\Omega$  one arrives at sequence spaces as considered in **3**. We refer to [T3-T5,HT].

*Then (2),(3) can be reduced to (4),(5) via (6),  
which in turn results in a far-reaching spectral theory via (1).*

#### REFERENCES

- [ET] D.E. Edmunds, H. Triebel. Function spaces, entropy numbers, differential operators. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1996
- [HT] D.D. Haroske, H. Triebel. Wavelet bases and entropy numbers in weighted function spaces. Math. Nachr. (to appear)
- [T1] H. Triebel. Theory of function spaces. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983
- [T2] H. Triebel. Theory of function spaces II. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992
- [T3] H. Triebel. Fractals and spectra. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1997
- [T4] H. Triebel. The structure of functions. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001
- [T5] H. Triebel. A note on wavelet bases in function spaces. In: Orlicz Centenary Vol., Banach Center Publ. **64**, Warszawa 2004 (to appear)

### Some thoughts about complex interpolation of compact operators

MICHAEL CWIKEL

(joint work with Fedor Nazarov)

The following question was initially considered by Alberto Calderón [1] some forty years ago, when he developed his powerful and beautiful theory of complex interpolation spaces.



**Question 1:** Suppose that  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  are compatible Banach spaces, i.e., they form a Banach pair, and that so are  $B_0$  and  $B_1$ . Suppose that  $T : A_0 + A_1 \rightarrow B_0 + B_1$  is a linear operator such that  $T : A_0 \rightarrow B_0$  compactly and  $T : A_1 \rightarrow B_1$  boundedly. Does it follow that  $T : [A_0, A_1]_\theta \rightarrow [B_0, B_1]_\theta$  compactly for each  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  ?

Calderón [1] solved Question 1 in the affirmative, in the case where the spaces  $B_0$  and  $B_1$  satisfy a certain approximation property. Since then affirmative answers have also been obtained under quite a number of other conditions on the spaces  $B_0$  and  $B_1$  and/or the spaces  $A_0$  and  $A_1$ . For such partial results and other related material we refer, for example, to [10], [12], [4], [5], [2], [6], [7], [8], [11], [13] and [1]. However, in its general form as stated above, Question 1 remains open. We do not even know the answer to the following seemingly simpler variant of it:

**Question 2:** Same as Question 1, but under the stronger hypothesis that  $T : A_1 \rightarrow B_1$  is also compact.

This talk briefly surveys some of the above-mentioned previous partial results and then describes some recently observed reductions of the problem, which in the future may perhaps lead to positive answers to Question 1 and/or Question 2.

We also make some remarks about Calderón's compactness result, which is formulated in [1] without explicitly mentioning any operators. Its statement is made in terms of the space  $\mathcal{F}(B_0, B_1)$  of analytic vector valued functions used by Calderón in the definition of his spaces  $[B_0, B_1]_\theta$ . Let  $K_0$  be some compact subset of  $B_0$ , let  $E$  be some measurable subset of the real line with positive measure, and let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the subset of the unit ball of  $\mathcal{F}(B_0, B_1)$  consisting of those functions  $f$  for which  $f(it) \in K_0$  for all  $t \in E$ . Then Calderón's theorem states, subject to the above-mentioned approximation hypothesis on the spaces  $B_0$  and  $B_1$ , that the set  $\{f(\theta) : f \in \mathcal{K}\}$  is a compact subset of  $[B_0, B_1]_\theta$ .

We show that certain compactness results like this one, even though they do not mention a second couple  $(A_0, A_1)$  nor operators  $T$  mapping from  $A_j$  to  $B_j$ , are in fact equivalent to results expressed in terms of  $(A_0, A_1)$  and  $T$ . We have to assume that  $E = \mathbb{R}$  and use a slight variant, due to Jaak Peetre, of Svante Janson's orbital characterization of the complex method [9].

We consider some new questions, expressed in terms of the following notation and terminology.

$\mathbb{D}$  is the closed unit disk.  $N$  is a (usually very big) positive integer. Let  $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^N)$  be the space of all  $\mathbb{C}^N$  valued functions  $f(z) = (\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z), \dots, \phi_N(z))$  where each  $\phi_j$  is in  $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ . Let  $\|\cdot\|_p$  denote the  $\ell^p$  norm on  $\mathbb{C}^N$ .

**Question 3:** Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k$  be  $k$  functions in  $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^N)$ . For each fixed  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , let  $M(z)$  be the subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  defined by  $M(z) = \text{span}\{f_1(z), f_2(z), \dots, f_k(z)\}$ . Suppose that  $g$  is another function in  $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^N)$  which satisfies  $\|g(z)\|_p \leq 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and also

$$\text{dist}_{\ell^p}(g(z), M(z)) \leq \varepsilon \text{ for almost every } z \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Does it follow that  $\text{dist}_{\ell^p}(g(0), M(0)) \leq \varepsilon$  ?

At first sight Question 3 looks very reasonable. However there is an almost embarrassingly simple example which shows that the answer to it is no. This is unfortunate, since a positive answer to it would have implied a positive answer to Question 1. The ill-fated Question 3 is nevertheless a good motivating model for the following more elaborate question:

**Question 4:** Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k$  be  $k$  functions in  $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^N)$ . For each fixed  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , let  $M(z)$  be the subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  defined by  $M(z) = \text{span}\{f_1(z), f_2(z), \dots, f_k(z)\}$ . For each positive  $\varepsilon$ , does there exist some subspace  $S$  of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , whose dimension depends only on  $k$  and  $\varepsilon$ , with the following property: Whenever  $g$  is a function in  $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^N)$  which satisfies  $\|g(z)\|_p \leq 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and also

$$\text{dist}_{\ell^p}(g(z), M(z)) \leq \varepsilon \text{ for almost every } z \in \mathbb{T},$$

then  $\text{dist}_{\ell^p}(g(0), S) \leq \psi(\varepsilon)$  ?

Here  $\psi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is a fixed function of one variable (i.e., it does *not* depend on  $k$  or  $N$ ) which satisfies  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \psi(\varepsilon) = 0$  ?

A positive answer to Question 4 for  $p = \infty$ , would imply a positive answer to Question 2. If, furthermore, such an answer can be obtained with  $\psi(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^r$  for some positive constant  $r$ , then this would imply a positive answer to Question 1.

So far we have positive answers only to certain variants of Question 4. Some of them apply when  $g$  is merely harmonic, rather than analytic. On the other hand, if  $p = \infty$ , and if we allow  $g$  to be merely harmonic, there are examples which strongly suggest that the answer to the harmonic version of Questions 4 is no, even when  $k = 1$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. P. Calderón, Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method, *Studia Math.* 24 (1964) 113–190.
- [2] F. Cobos, L. M. Fernandez-Cabrera, A. Martinez, Complex interpolation, minimal methods and compact operators. *Math. Nachr.* 263-264 (2004) 67–82.
- [3] F. Cobos, T. Kühn and T. Schonbek, One-sided compactness results for Aronszajn-Gagliardo functors. *J. Functional Analysis* 106 (1992), 274–313.
- [4] F. Cobos and J. Peetre, Interpolation of compactness using Aronszajn-Gagliardo functors. *Israel J. Math.* 68 (1989), 220–240.
- [5] M. Cwikel, Real and complex interpolation and extrapolation of compact operators. *Duke Math. J.* 65 (1992) 333–343.
- [6] M. Cwikel and N. J. Kalton, Interpolation of compact operators by the methods of Calderón and Gustavsson-Peetre. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 38 (1995) 261–276.
- [7] M. Cwikel, N. Krugljak and M. Mastyło, On complex interpolation of compact operators. *Illinois J. Math.* 40 (1996) 353–364.
- [8] M. Cwikel, N. Krugljak and M. Mastyło, An short unpublished informal letter which was distributed to several colleagues. It is a sequel to [7], suggesting various further reductions of the complex interpolation of compact operators problem.
- [9] S. Janson, Minimal and maximal methods of interpolation, *J. Functional Analysis* 44 (1981), 50–73.
- [10] J. L. Lions and J. Peetre, Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation. *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.* 19 (1964), 5–68.
- [11] M. Mastyło, On interpolation of compact operators. *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.* 26 (1998), 293–311.

- [12] A. Persson, Compact linear mappings between interpolation spaces. *Ark. Mat.* 5 (1964), 215–219.
- [13] T. Schonbek, Interpolation by the complex method and equicontinuity, *Indiana U. Math. J.* 49 (2000) 1229–1245.

## Interpolation and operator ideals

FERNANDO COBOS

In 1964, Lions and Peetre [6] proved a compactness result that applies to the real interpolation method and to the complex method. This result turned out to be an important tool for establishing a number of other compactness theorems in interpolation theory and it has been used as a model to investigate the behaviour of other ideal properties. An important contribution in this direction is due to Heinrich [5] and refers to closed injective and surjective operator ideals. A quantitative version of Heinrich's theorem was established by Cobos, Manzano and Martínez [2] by using the functionals  $\gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(T)$ ,  $\beta_{\mathcal{J}}(T)$  which measure how far is an operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$  from a given operator ideal  $\mathcal{J}$ . Recall that the outer measure  $\gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(T)$  is defined as the infimum of all  $\sigma > 0$  such that for some Banach space  $E$  and some operator  $R \in \mathcal{J}(E, B)$  we have  $T(U_A) \subseteq \sigma U_B + R(U_E)$ . The inner measure  $\beta_{\mathcal{J}}(T)$  is the infimum of all positive numbers  $\sigma$  such that for some Banach space  $F$  and some  $S \in \mathcal{J}(A, F)$  the inequality  $\|Tx\|_B \leq \sigma \|x\|_A + \|Sx\|_F$  holds for all  $x \in A$ .

Another quantitative approach to Heinrich's result has been developed by Cobos, Cwikel and Matos [1] and applies to general intermediate spaces  $A$  with respect to a Banach couple  $\overline{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ . For this, they also need the functions

$$\rho_A(t) = \inf\{J(t, a) : a \in A_0 \cap A_1, \|a\|_A = 1\}, \quad \psi_A(t) = \sup\{K(t, a) : \|a\|_A = 1\}.$$

In particular, they proved that if  $T \in \mathcal{L}(B, \overline{A})$  with  $\beta_{\mathcal{J}}(T_{B, A_0}) = 0$ , then  $\beta_{\mathcal{J}}(T_{B, A}) \leq \beta_{\mathcal{J}}(T_{B, A_1}) \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (t/\rho_A(t))$ . If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\overline{A}, B)$  with  $\gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(T_{A_0, B}) = 0$ , then  $\gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(T_{A, B}) \leq \gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(T_{A_1, B}) \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\psi_A(t)/t)$ . This investigation has been continued by Cobos, Manzano, Martínez and Matos [3], who gave also applications to embeddings between Banach function lattices.

We describe some of their results, as well as, the following one due to Cobos and Pustylnik [4]: Let  $F$  be a Banach function lattice intermediate with respect to the couple  $(L_1[0, 1], L_\infty[0, 1])$ . Then a necessary and sufficient condition for the inclusion  $L_\infty[0, 1] \hookrightarrow F$  to be strictly singular is that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t/\rho_F(t) = 0$ . We finish the talk with some results for other measure spaces.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Cobos, M. Cwikel and P. Matos, Best possible compactness results of Lions-Peetre type, *Proc. Edinburg Math. Soc.* 44 (2001), 153-172.
- [2] F. Cobos, A. Manzano and A. Martínez, Interpolation theory and measures related to operator ideals, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* 50 (1999), 401-416.

- [3] F. Cobos, A. Manzano, A. Martínez and P. Matos, On interpolation of strictly singular operators, strictly cosingular operators and related operator ideals, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinb. 130A (2000), 971-989.
- [4] F. Cobos and E. Pustyl'nik, On strictly singular and strictly cosingular embeddings between Banach lattices of functions, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 133 (2002), 183-190.
- [5] S. Heinrich, Closed operator ideals and interpolation, J. Funct. Anal. 35 (1980), 397-411.
- [6] J.L. Lions and J. Peetre, Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 19 (1964), 5-68.

## Old and new entropy techniques

THOMAS KÜHN

The concept of metric entropy of sets was already introduced in 1932 in a paper on metric and topological dimension theory by Pontrjagin and Schnirelman [PS]. But then it took more than three decades until mathematicians began to understand the far reaching importance of metric entropy. So it was only in the late 1950s - mainly initiated by the famous 1959 survey paper by Kolmogorov and Tihimirov [KT] - when attention was focused again on this notion, and when it became a subject of intensive research. Later on the concept was modified and adapted to operators, see e.g. [P]. Since then metric entropy has found numerous applications in such diverse branches of mathematics as, for instance, analysis (operator theory, spectral theory), approximation theory, probability theory, coding theory, computational complexity, mathematical theory of learning.

Let  $K$  be a subset of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . Recall that, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , the *covering number*  $N(\epsilon, K)$  is defined as the minimal number  $n$  such that  $K$  can be covered by  $n$  balls in  $X$  of radius  $\epsilon$ . Often it is enough to consider the quantity  $H(\epsilon, K) := \log_2 N(\epsilon, K)$ , which is usually referred to as *Kolmogorov's  $\epsilon$ -entropy*. Obviously,  $K$  is relatively compact if and only if  $N(\epsilon, K)$  (or, equivalently,  $H(\epsilon, K)$ ) is finite for every  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Sometimes, especially in connection with operators, it is more convenient to change the point of view, that means to fix the number of balls and to ask for the minimal radius. For a (bounded linear) operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  between two (quasi) Banach spaces the  *$k$ -th dyadic entropy number*  $e_k(T)$  is the infimum of all  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $T(B_X)$  can be covered by  $2^{k-1}$  balls in  $Y$  of radius  $\epsilon$ , where  $B_X$  denotes the unit ball in  $X$ . Clearly,  $T$  is compact if and only if  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} e_k(T) = 0$ , thus the rate of decay of  $e_k(T)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  describes the "degree" of compactness of  $T$ . Via the Carl-Triebel inequality (see formula (1) in Hans Triebel's lecture) entropy numbers are intimately related to eigenvalues, a fact on which all applications to spectral theory of differential operators are based.

Typically in such applications, one has to estimate entropy numbers of certain embeddings in function spaces. In many cases, using splines, wavelets, atomic or subatomic decompositions, the problem can be shifted to a sequence space setting. Although this simplifies the original problem already considerably, the resulting

sequence spaces are usually quite complicated (multi-indexed, vector-valued, with weights). Therefore, in order to find asymptotically sharp entropy estimates, it is essential to have a full arsenal of entropy techniques at hand.

The main aim of this talk is to give an overview over these techniques. Some of them are by now almost standard (at least to specialists), but still very powerful, some others are quite new and rather elaborate or tricky. Every technique will be illustrated by a typical example/application, explaining in this way the main underlying ideas. Here is a list of the techniques that will be discussed:

- Factorization methods
- Volume estimates
- Combinatorial arguments
- Interpolation methods
- Operator ideal techniques
- Random techniques
- Tensor product techniques

The applications are:

- (1) the Carl-Triebel inequality (factorization and volume estimates) as well as entropy estimates for
- (2) identities  $\ell_p^n \rightarrow \ell_q^n$  (combinatorics and interpolation),
- (3) diagonal operators with polynomial diagonal (operator ideal techniques)
- (4) diagonal operators with logarithmic diagonal (random techniques)
- (5) certain tensor product operators from  $\ell_p(X)$  to  $\ell_q(Y)$  (tensor techniques)

Most of these results are well known. For (1)–(3) we refer to the monographs by Pietsch [P], König [Kö], and Carl and Stephani [CS]; the extension to operators in quasi-Banach spaces was mainly done by Edmunds and Triebel [ET]. Concerning random techniques (Dudley's and Sudakov's inequalities, and their refinements) see Pisier's book [Pi]. Result (4) is contained in the article [K1], while (5) is very recent work [K2]. To conclude with, we state one typical result of [K2].

**Theorem.** *Let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be an operator between quasi-Banach spaces and let  $D : \ell_p \rightarrow \ell_q$ ,  $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ , be a diagonal operator. Assume that*

$$e_k(T) \sim k^{-\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad e_k(D) \sim k^{-\beta}$$

*for some  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta > \max(0, 1/p - 1/q)$ . Then, if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ ,*

$$e_k(D \otimes T : \ell_p(X) \rightarrow \ell_q(Y)) \sim k^{-\min(\alpha, \beta)}.$$

In the limiting case  $\alpha = \beta$  similar two-sided estimates can be shown, which are optimal up to logarithmic factors. Using this result, one can improve some entropy estimates for embeddings of Besov spaces in spaces of Lipschitz type.

#### REFERENCES

- [CS] B. Carl and I. Stephani, *Entropy, compactness and the approximation of operators*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [ET] D.E. Edmunds and H. Triebel, *Function spaces, entropy numbers, differential operators*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.

- [KT] A.N. Kolmogorov and V.M. Tihomirov,  $\varepsilon$ -entropy and  $\varepsilon$ -capacity of sets in function spaces (Russian), *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **14**(2) (1959), 3–86.  
English translation in: *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2)*, **17**(2) (1961), 122–364.
- [Kö] H. König, *Eigenvalue distribution of compact operators*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1986.
- [K1] T. Kühn, Entropy numbers of diagonal operators of logarithmic type, *Georgian Math. J.*, **8** (2001), 307–318.
- [K2] T. Kühn, Entropy numbers of certain tensor products of operators, preprint 2004.
- [P] A. Pietsch, *Operator ideals*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1978, and North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [Pi] G. Pisier, *The volume of convex bodies and Banach space geometry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [PS] L.S. Pontrjagin and L.G. Schnirelman, Sur une propriété métrique de la dimension, *Ann. Math.* **33** (1932), 152–162.

## Closed operator ideals and indices

LUZ M. FERNÁNDEZ-CABRERA

Let  $E$  and  $F$  be rearrangement invariant spaces on  $[0, 1]$  with fundamental functions having regular variation at 0 and the embedding  $E \hookrightarrow F$  failing to be disjointly strictly singular. Then, spaces  $E$  and  $F$  cannot be distinguished by means of the spaces  $L_p[0, 1]$ . This result was proved by García del Amo, Hernández, Sánchez and Semenov [3]. To understand it fully, it is useful to consider the notion of inclusion indices. Recall that if  $L_\infty[0, 1] \hookrightarrow E \hookrightarrow L_1[0, 1]$  then the inclusion indices of  $E$  are defined by  $\bar{\delta}_E = \sup\{p \geq 1 : E \hookrightarrow L_p[0, 1]\}$ ,  $\bar{\gamma}_E = \inf\{p \leq \infty : L_p[0, 1] \hookrightarrow E\}$ . The conclusion of the result means that  $\bar{\delta}_E = \bar{\gamma}_E = \bar{\delta}_F = \bar{\gamma}_F$ . Since  $L_p$ -spaces can be obtained applying the complex interpolation method to the couple  $(L_\infty[0, 1], L_1[0, 1])$ , it is natural to wonder whether inclusion indices are only a special case of an abstract notion, with more ample applications. This investigation has been carried out in a joint paper with Cobos, Hernández and Sánchez [1]. In this talk I will describe some of our results.

Let  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  be Banach spaces with  $A_0 \hookrightarrow A_1$ . We assume that  $A_0$  is not closed in  $A_1$ . For  $0 < \theta < 1$ , we put  $A_\theta = (A_0, A_1)_{[\theta]}$ . Given any Banach space  $A$  with  $A_0 \hookrightarrow A \hookrightarrow A_1$ , the indices of  $A$  relative to the scale  $\{A_\theta\}_{0 \leq \theta \leq 1}$  are defined by

$$\delta_A = \sup\{\theta : A_\theta \hookrightarrow A\}, \quad \gamma_A = \inf\{\theta : A \hookrightarrow A_\theta\}.$$

Our first result shows that the interpolation theorems for closed operator ideals can be used to compare the indices of two spaces. We have also computed the indices analytically by using the functions  $\psi_A(t) = \sup\{K(t, a) : \|a\|_A = 1\}$  and  $\rho_A(t) = \inf\{J(t, a) : a \in A_0, \|a\|_A = 1\}$ . It turns out that

$$\gamma_A = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \psi_A(t)}{\log t}, \quad \delta_A = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \rho_A(t)}{\log t}.$$

As a consequence we can estimate the grade of proximity between two intermediate spaces  $A \hookrightarrow B$  when the embedding fails an ideal property that the inclusion  $A_\theta \hookrightarrow A$  has. Here  $\gamma_A < \theta$ . Applying these results to function spaces on  $[0, 1]$  we

recover the result of [3] and the extension established in [2] to spaces not necessarily rearrangement invariant. Results proved in [2] for Banach spaces of sequences can be recovered as well. Our approach also applies to  $[0, \infty)$  with the Lebesgue measure. Furthermore, we can derive results for function spaces near to  $L_\infty[0, 1]$  and spaces close to  $L_1[0, 1]$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Cobos, L.M. Fernández-Cabrera, F.L. Hernández and V.M. Sánchez, Indices defined by interpolation scales and applications, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinb., to appear.
- [2] L.M. Fernández-Cabrera, Inclusion indices of function spaces and applications, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 136 (2004), 665-674.
- [3] A. García del Amo, F.L. Hernández, V.M. Sánchez and E.M. Semenov, Disjointly strictly-singular inclusions between rearrangement invariant spaces, J. London Math. Soc. 62 (2000), 239-252.

**Measures of non-compactness and real interpolation**

PEDRO FERNÁNDEZ-MARTÍNEZ

(joint work with F. Cobos and A. Martínez)

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be Banach spaces and let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(A, B)$  be a bounded linear operator acting from  $A$  into  $B$ . The (ball) measure of non-compactness of  $T$  is defined by

$$\beta(T) = \inf \left\{ r > 0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad T(\mathcal{U}_A) \subseteq \bigcup_{\text{finite}} \{b_j + r\mathcal{U}_B\} \right\}$$

where  $\mathcal{U}_A$  (resp.  $\mathcal{U}_B$ ) stands for the closed unit ball of  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ).

Clearly  $\beta(T) = 0$  if and only if  $T$  is compact. Otherwise,  $\beta(T)$  measures how far the operator  $T$  is from being compact.

In this talk we study the behaviour under real interpolation of the measure of non-compactness (see [1]). Previous result on this question have been obtained by Edmunds and Teixeira [4] (see also the monograph by A. Pietsch [9], Prop.12.1.11 and 12.1.12 and that of Triebel [6] § 1.16). These results require the assumption that one of the Banach couples degenerates into a Banach space, i.e.  $A_0 = A_1$  or  $B_0 = B_1$ , or that they are different but the image couple  $(B_0, B_1)$  satisfies a certain approximation condition.

We consider here the case of general couples without assuming any approximation hypothesis on them, and we show (using the techniques in [2]) that the following logarithmically convex inequality holds:

$$\beta(T : (A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q} \longrightarrow (B_0, B_1)_{\theta, q}) \leq c\beta(T : A_0 \longrightarrow B_0)^{1-\theta}\beta(T : A_1 \longrightarrow B_1)^\theta$$

In the special case where one restriction of  $T$  is compact we recover Cwikel's compactness theorem.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Cobos, P. Fernández-Martínez and A. Martínez, Interpolation of the measure of non-compactness by the real method, *Studia Math.* 135 (1999), no. 1, 25–38.
- [2] F. Cobos, T. Kühn and T. Schonbek, One-sided compactness results for Aronszajn-Gagliardo functors, *J. Funct. Anal.* 106 (1992) 274-313.
- [3] F. Cobos, P. Fernández-Martínez and A. Martínez, Interpolation of the measure of non-compactness by the real method, *Studia Math.* 135 (1999), no. 1, 25–38.
- [4] M.F. Teixeira and D.E. Edmunds, Interpolation theory and measures of non-compactness, *Math. Nachr.* 104 (1981) 129-135.
- [5] A. Pietsch, “Operators ideals”, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1980.
- [6] H. Triebel, “Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators”, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1978.

## Entropy numbers of embeddings of weighted Besov spaces.

### I. Polynomial weights with perturbations

### II. Weights of subpolynomial growth

HANS-GERD LEOPOLD AND LESZEK SKRZYPCZAK

(joint work with T. Kühn and W. Sickel)

We investigate the asymptotic behaviour of the entropy numbers of the compact embedding

$$B_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w) \hookrightarrow B_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

of the weighted Besov space  $B_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w)$  into the unweighted space  $B_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Here  $w$  is a smooth, strictly positive function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and a distribution  $f$  belongs to the weighted Besov space  $B_{p, q}^s(\mathbb{R}^d, w)$  if the product  $wf$  belongs to the unweighted space  $B_{p, q}^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

The problem of estimation of entropy numbers of the above compact embedding was first treated by D.Haroske and H.Triebel in [HT 1], where polynomial weights were considered. Possible applications of the estimates to spectral theory of differential and pseudo-differential operators were described in a second paper, cf. [HT 2].

To estimate the asymptotic behaviour of the entropy number for a larger range of weights and the full range of parameters  $(s, p, q)$  we use the following strategy. First of all we reduce the problem to the level of sequence spaces via wavelet bases of weighted Besov spaces. This concept was developed in [KLSS1] and [HT 3]. To estimate the entropy numbers of the corresponding sequence space embeddings we use the known estimates for embeddings of finite dimensional  $\ell_p$  spaces as well as the estimates of entropy numbers of diagonal operators acting between sequence  $\ell_p$  spaces together with the proper splitting of the original embedding operators. The technique of the operator ideals is often used to glue the estimates of different parts of the splitting together. We consider the following types of weights.

- (1) polynomial weights  $w_\alpha(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{\alpha/2}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$
- (2) logarithmic weights  $w_{0, \beta}(x) = (1 + \log(1 + |x|))^{\beta}$ ,  $\beta > 0$



- (3) polynomial weights perturbed (for  $|x| \geq 1$ ) by a slowly varying function  $\varphi$ ,  $w_{\alpha,\varphi}(x) = w_\alpha(x)\varphi(|x|)$ .

Slowly varying (in the sense of Kohlbecker) roughly means that  $\varphi(|x|)$  is small in comparison with  $w_\alpha(x)$  for large  $|x|$ . Any power of  $(1 + \log(1 + |x|))$  is an example of a slowly varying function, but the class is of course much wider.

The symbol  $a_n \preceq b_n$  means that there exist a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $a_n \leq cb_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , while  $a_n \sim b_n$  stands for  $a_n \preceq b_n \preceq a_n$ . Furthermore let  $\frac{1}{p^*} = (\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2})_+$  and  $\delta = s_1 - s_2 - d(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2})$ .

A previous version of the following results is contained in [KLSS1].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $0 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$ ,  $s_1 > s_2$ , and let  $\varphi$  be a slowly varying function. Then for the entropy numbers of the embedding*

$$\text{id} : B_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_{\alpha, \varphi}) \rightarrow B_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

it holds

$$e_n(\text{id}) \sim \begin{cases} n^{-\frac{\delta}{d} - (\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2})} & \text{if } d/p^* < \delta < \alpha \\ n^{-\frac{\alpha}{d} - (\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2})} (\varphi(n^{1/d}))^{-1} & \text{if } d/p^* < \alpha < \delta. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, the theorem covers also polynomial weights ( $\varphi \equiv 1$ ), but the most difficult case  $\alpha = \delta$  is not contained. In that so-called limiting case we gave in [KLSS2] a new and simpler proof of the following result for polynomial weights.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $0 < p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$  and  $s_1 > s_2$ . Suppose*

$$\alpha = \delta > d/p^* \quad \text{and set } \tau := \frac{s_1 - s_2}{d} + \frac{1}{q_2} - \frac{1}{q_1}.$$

Consider the embedding  $\text{id} : B_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_\alpha) \rightarrow B_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

(i) *If  $\tau \neq 0$ , then* 
$$e_n(\text{id}) \sim n^{-\frac{s_1 - s_2}{d}} (1 + \log n)^{\max(\tau, 0)}.$$

(ii) *If  $\tau = 0$ , then* 
$$n^{-\frac{s_1 - s_2}{d}} \preceq e_n(\text{id}) \preceq n^{-\frac{s_1 - s_2}{d}} (1 + \log \log n)^{\frac{1}{q_1}}.$$

The corresponding estimates for logarithmic weights look as follows.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $0 < q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \infty$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ . Then for the embedding*

$$\text{id} : B_{p_1, q_1}^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^d, w_{0, \beta}) \rightarrow B_{p_2, q_2}^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

one has

$$e_n(\text{id}) \sim \begin{cases} n^{-(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2})} (\log n)^{\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2} - \beta} & \text{if } \beta \geq \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2}, \\ n^{-\beta} & \text{if } \beta < \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2}. \end{cases}$$

## REFERENCES

- [HT 1] D. Haroske and H. Triebel, *Entropy numbers in weighted function spaces and eigenvalue distributions of some degenerate pseudodifferential operators I*. Math. Nachr. **167** (1994), 131-156.
- [HT 2] D. Haroske and H. Triebel, *Entropy numbers in weighted function spaces and eigenvalue distributions of some degenerate pseudodifferential operators II*. Math. Nachr. **168** (1994), 109-137.
- [HT 3] D. Haroske and H. Triebel, *Wavelet bases and entropy numbers in weighted function spaces*. Jenaer Schriften zur Mathematik und Informatik Math/Inf/01/04, 2004, 31 pp.
- [KLSS1] T. Kühn, H.-G. Leopold, W. Sickel and L. Skrzypczak, *Entropy numbers of embeddings of weighted Besov spaces*. Jenaer Schriften zur Mathematik und Informatik Math/Inf/13/03, 2003, 57 pp.
- [KLSS2] T. Kühn, H.-G. Leopold, W. Sickel and L. Skrzypczak, *Entropy numbers of embeddings of weighted Besov spaces* (preprint) .

**A new class of approximation spaces and operator ideals**

EVGENIY PUSTYLNİK

Let  $X$  be a quasi-Banach space and let  $G_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , be a sequence of subsets of  $X$  with the following properties:

$$G_0 = \{0\}, \quad G_n \subset G_{n+1}, \quad \lambda G_n \subset G_n, \quad G_n + G_m \subset G_{n+m},$$

so that, for any  $f \in X$ , we can define its approximation numbers

$$a_n(f, X) = \inf_{g \in G_{n-1}} \|f - g\|_X.$$

Let  $E = \mathcal{F}(l_1, l_\infty)$  be arbitrary symmetric sequence space, defined by some interpolation functor  $\mathcal{F}$ . Denote by  $\tilde{E}$  the corresponding sequence space  $\tilde{E} = \mathcal{F}(\tilde{l}_1, l_\infty)$ , where

$$\tilde{l}_1 = \{a = (a_n) : \|a\|_{\tilde{l}_1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|/n < \infty\},$$

(for more details see [1]). Now, for arbitrary positive increasing function  $\varphi(t)$  with positive extension indices, we define an approximation space  $X_{\varphi, E}$  as the set of all  $f \in X$  such that

$$\|f\|_{X_{\varphi, E}} = \|(\varphi(n)a_n(f, X))\|_{\tilde{E}} < \infty.$$

For approximation spaces thus obtained, we prove the standard statements of approximation theory analogous to [2]:

- a) equivalent formula of norms  $\|f\|_{X_{\varphi, E}} = \|(\varphi(2^n)a_{2^n}(f, X))\|_E$ ;  
 b) representation theorem: *an element  $f \in X$  belongs to  $X_{\varphi, E}$  if and only if it can be represented in a form  $f = \sum_1^\infty g_n$  such that any  $g_n \in G_{2^n}$  and*

$$\|f\|_{X_{\varphi, E}}^{repr} = \inf \|\varphi(2^n)g_n\|_E < \infty.$$

c) *interpolation theorem: a space  $X_{\varphi, E}$  is interpolation between spaces  $X_{\varphi, E_0}$  and  $X_{\varphi, E_1}$  if and only if the space  $E$  is interpolation between spaces  $E_0$  and  $E_1$  and in both cases one can use the same interpolation functor.*

Some other properties and interrelations with interpolation theory also are found. At last, all results are applied to operator spaces  $X = \mathcal{L}(A, B)$ , where the set  $G_n$  is defined as the set of all operators with the rank no greater than  $n$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. Pustyl'nik. Ultrasymmetric spaces. J. London Math. Soc. (2), v. **68** (2003), 165–182.  
 [2] A. Pietsch. Approximation spaces. J. Approx. Theory, v. **32** (1981), 115–134.

**Distance of  $L_1$ -functions to the ball of radius  $t$  in the space  $Lip\ \alpha$** 

NATAN KRUGLJAK

If the function  $f \in L_1 = L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is given, it is easy to calculate the distance from it (in the metric of  $L_1$ ) to the ball of radius  $t$  of the space  $L_\infty$  as follows:

$$\rho(f, B_t(L_\infty))_{L_1} = \int (|f| - t)_+ dx.$$

However, if instead of the space  $L_\infty$  we consider another space, for example the space  $Lip\ \alpha$ , the situation becomes much more complicated. From the point of view of real interpolation it is enough to have a formula up to the following equivalence, which we will call *the radial equivalence*.

**Definition.** We will call two non-negative functions on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  radial equivalent (notation  $f \approx_R g$ ) if it is possible to find positive constants  $c_1, c_2, c_3$ , and  $c_4$  such that

$$f(t) \leq c_1 g(c_2 t) \quad \text{and} \quad g(t) \leq c_3 f(c_4 t)$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

From [C] it follows that the usual seminorm in the space  $Lip\ \alpha$

$$\|f\|_{Lip\ \alpha} = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha}$$

is equivalent to

$$\|f\|_{Lip\ \alpha} \approx \sup_Q \frac{\int_Q |f(x) - f_Q|}{|Q|^{1+\frac{\alpha}{n}}} = \sup_Q \frac{\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x) - f_Q|}{(|Q|^{\frac{1}{n}})^\alpha}, \quad f_Q = \frac{\int_Q f}{|Q|},$$

where sup is taken over all cubes  $Q$  with sides parallel to the coordinate hyperplanes. In particular, for  $\alpha = 0$  instead of the space  $Lip\ \alpha$  we obtain the space  $BMO$ . Using the technique developed in the paper by Bennett-Sharpley [BS] it is possible to obtain the following formula for the distance to the ball of radius  $t$  of the space  $BMO$ :

$$\rho(f, B_t(BMO))_{L_1} \approx_R t \cdot |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f^\sharp(x) > t\}|,$$

where  $f^\sharp(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} (\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x) - f_Q|)$  is the Fefferman-Stein maximal function. Therefore, it looks natural to try to obtain an analogous formula for the distance  $\rho(f, B_t(Lip\ \alpha))_{L_1}$ . This hope is justified; however, instead of the measure

of the set  $\left\{x \in R^n : f^\sharp(x) > t\right\}$  we need to take another quantitative. To formulate the result let us consider the set of cubes  $\Omega_t$  defined by the formula

$$\Omega_t = \left\{Q \mid \frac{\int_Q |f(x) - f_Q|}{|Q|^{1+\frac{\alpha}{n}}} > t\right\}$$

and denote by  $|\Omega_t|_\alpha$  the  $\sup(\sum |Q_i|^{1+\frac{\alpha}{n}})$ , where  $\sup$  is taken over all families of pairwise disjoint cubes from  $\Omega_t$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $f \in L_1$  be given. Then the distance from  $f$  to the ball of radius  $t$  of  $Lip\alpha$  is given by the formula*

$$\rho(f, B_t(Lip\alpha))_{L_1} \approx_R t \cdot |\Omega_t|_\alpha$$

with the constants of equivalence independent of  $f$  and  $t$ .

**Remark.** *In the case  $\alpha = 0$  the formula is equivalent to the formula of distance to the ball of radius  $t$  of the space  $BMO$  (the proof is based on the Besicovitch covering theorem). Therefore, the theorem provides an extension of the previous formula to the case of the space  $Lip\alpha$ .*

The proof of the Theorem is based on a technique (covering lemma and smooth analogs of Calderon-Zygmund decomposition) developed in [K].

#### REFERENCES

- [BS] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Weak-type inequalities for  $H_p$  and  $BMO$* , Proc. Symp. in Pure Math., 1979, 35(1), 221-229.
- [C] S. Campanato, *Proprieta di hölderianita di alcune classi di funzioni*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3), 17(1963), 175-188.
- [K] N. Krugljak, *Smooth analogs of Calderon-Zygmund decompositions, quantitative covering theorems and the  $K$ -functional for the couple  $(L_q, \dot{W}_p^k)$* , Algebra i Analiz 8(1996), No. 4, 110-160 (Russian), English translation in St.Petersburg Math.J. 8(1997),No.4, 617-649.

### Compact operators between real interpolation spaces

ANTÓN MARTÍNEZ

(joint work with F. Cobos and L.M. Fernández-Cabrera)

The behaviour of compact operators under interpolation is a question that has received much attention during the last few years. Talking only about the real method, it was shown in the joint papers of one of the present authors with Edmunds and Potter [2], with Fernandez [3] and with Peetre [6], that properties of vector valued sequence spaces related to the real interpolation space  $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q}$  are very useful to study the behaviour of compact operators under interpolation. These efforts were culminated by Cwikel [7] who proved that if  $T : \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{B}$  with  $T : A_0 \rightarrow B_0$  compact then  $T : (A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q} \rightarrow (B_0, B_1)_{\theta, q}$  is also compact. Later, approach developed in [2, 3, 6] was used by Cobos, Kühn and Schonbek [5]

to give a broad generalization of Cwikel's result, including a function parameter version and even compactness theorems for other interpolation methods.

Another side of these ideas has been shown very recently by other of the present authors in [9], where she has characterized compact operators between real interpolation spaces in terms of weaker compactness conditions and convergence of certain sequences of operators involving projections on the vector valued sequence spaces.

In this talk we show some results of the paper [4], where we continue the research of [9] working now with general  $K$ -functors and general  $J$ -functors. The interest of these interpolation methods has been pointed out by many authors. See, for example, the books by Brudnyĭ and Krugljak [1], as well as the papers by Cwikel and Peetre [8], Janson [10] and Nilsson [11].

We establish necessary and sufficient conditions for compactness of operators acting between  $K$ -spaces, between  $J$ -spaces and from a  $J$ -space into a  $K$ -space. Moreover, we show by means of examples that conditions required on the sequence space that define the  $K$ -functor (respectively, the  $J$ -functor) are essential for the result.

When we specialize the results we recover the theorems of [9], but we also obtain new results. In particular, we get a characterization of compact operators between real interpolation spaces that blends conditions found in [9]. As another application of our characterizations we obtain extended versions of compactness results of [2, 3, 5, 6, 7].

## REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Brudnyĭ and N. Krugljak, "*Interpolation functors and interpolation spaces*", Vol. 1, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1991.
- [2] F. Cobos, D. E. Edmunds and A. J. B. Potter, *Real interpolation and compact linear operators*, J. Funct. Analysis **88** (1990) 351-365.
- [3] F. Cobos, and D. L. Fernandez, *On interpolation of compact operators*, Ark. Mat. **27** (1989) 211-217.
- [4] F. Cobos, L. M. Fernández-Cabrera and A. Martínez, *Compact operators between  $K$ - and  $J$ -spaces*, Studia Math. (to appear).
- [5] F. Cobos, T. Kühn and T. Schonbek, *One-sided compactness results for Aronszajn-Gagliardo functors*, J. Funct. Analysis **106** (1992) 274-313.
- [6] F. Cobos and J. Peetre, *Interpolation of compactness using Aronszajn-Gagliardo functors*, Israel J. Math. **68** (1989) 220-240.
- [7] M. Cwikel, *Real and complex interpolation and extrapolation of compact operators*, Duke Math. J. **65** (1992) 333-343.
- [8] M. Cwikel and J. Peetre, *Abstract  $K$  and  $J$  spaces*, J. Math. Pures et Appl. **60** (1981) 1-50.
- [9] L. M. Fernández-Cabrera, *Compact operators between real interpolation spaces*, Math. Inequal. & Appl. **5** (2002) 283-289.
- [10] S. Janson, *Minimal and maximal methods of interpolation*, J. Funct. Analysis **44** (1981) 50-73.
- [11] P. Nilsson, *Reiteration theorems for real interpolation and approximation spaces*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **132** (1982) 291-330.

## Weak compactness and general $J$ - and $K$ - methods

ANTONIO MANZANO

(joint work with F. Cobos, L.M. Fernández-Cabrera, and A. Martínez)

The behaviour of weak compactness under interpolation has attracted considerable attention since Davis, Figiel, Johnson and Pelczyński [7] established their celebrated result on factorization of weakly compact operators. For the classical real method  $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q}$ , relevant contributions on this problem are due to Beauzamy [1] and Heinrich [H] (other related results can be found in [9], [6] and [4]).

But the real method is not enough to describe all interpolation spaces with respect to many important couples. For example, applying this method to  $(L_1, L_\infty)$  we only obtain  $L_p$  and  $L_{p, q}$  spaces, while Lorentz spaces, Marcinkiewicz spaces and the majority of symmetric spaces are interpolation spaces with respect to  $(L_1, L_\infty)$ . However, as a famous result of Calderón and Mitjagin says, any interpolation space with respect to the couple  $(L_1, L_\infty)$  is  $K$ -monotone and so it can be obtained by the general  $K$ -method (see [2]).

The general  $K$ -method has been studied widely, as well as the general  $J$ -method, and the interest of both interpolation methods has been pointed out by many authors (we refer to the book by Brudnyi and Krugljak [2] for wide information and relevant references about these methods).

Aizenstein and Brudnyi [2], Section 4.6, and Mastyo [10] have investigated the interpolation of weakly compact operators by the general  $K$ -method. In all cases, the techniques used by these authors are based on specific properties of the  $K$ -space.

We develop a new approach that allows us to establish interpolation results for general  $K$ - and  $J$ - spaces at the same time, as well as to apply them to other closed operator ideals different from weakly compact operators. In particular, we cover the cases of Rosenthal operators and Banach-Saks operators. The new approach is based on ideas of Heinrich [H] and our previous results in [3] and [5].

### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Beauzamy, “*Espaces d’interpolation réels: topologie et géométrie*”, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 666, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1978.
- [2] Y. Brudnyi and N. Krugljak, “*Interpolation functors and interpolation spaces*”, vol. 1, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1991.
- [3] F. Cobos, M. Cwikel and P. Matos, *Best possible compactness results of Lions-Peetre type*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 44 (2001) 153-172.
- [4] F. Cobos, A. Manzano and A. Martínez, *Interpolation theory and measures related to operator ideals*, Quart. J. Math. 50 (1999) 401-416.
- [5] F. Cobos, A. Manzano, A. Martínez and P. Matos, *On interpolation of strictly singular operators, strictly cosingular operators and related operator ideals*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 130 (2000) 971-989.
- [6] F. Cobos and A. Martínez, *Extreme estimates for interpolated operators by the real method*, J. London Math. Soc. 60 (1999) 860-870.

- [7] W. J. Davis, T. Figiel, W. B. Johnson and A. Pelczyński, *Factoring weakly compact operators*, J. Funct. Analysis 17 (1974) 311-327.
- [8] S. Heinrich, *Closed operator ideals and interpolation*, J. Funct. Analysis 35 (1980) 397-411.
- [9] L. Maligranda and A. Quevedo, *Interpolation of weakly compact operators*, Arch. Math. 55 (1990) 280-284.
- [10] M. Mastyło, *On interpolation of weakly compact operators*, Hokkaido Math. J. 22 (1993) 105-114.

**Entropy numbers in vector valued sequence spaces**

TOMAS SCHONBEK

(joint work with P. Fernández-Martínez)

In this talk we consider the following question. Assume  $T$  is a bounded linear operator from the quasi-Banach space  $X$  to the quasi-Banach space  $Y$  and for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $\tilde{T} = \tilde{T}_N$  be the operator  $(x_n)_{n=1}^N \mapsto (Tx_n)_{n=1}^N$  from  $X^N$  to  $Y^N$ . We consider  $X^N, Y^N$  as quasi-Banach spaces normed with (weighted)  $l^p, l^q$  norms, respectively;  $0 < p \leq q \leq \infty$ . How do the entropy numbers  $\tilde{e}_k = e_k(\tilde{T} : \ell_p^N(X) \rightarrow \ell_q^N(Y))$  of  $\tilde{T}$  relate to the entropy numbers  $e_k = e_k(T : X \rightarrow Y)$  of  $T$ ? Apart from its intrinsic merit, solving this problem would allow one to extend the methods used by Cobos, Fernández and Martínez in [1] to estimate the measure of non-compactness of an operator under real interpolation, to estimating the entropy numbers of such an operator.

We further simplify the problem assuming  $q = \infty$  and use a combinatorial approach to estimating the entropy numbers of  $\tilde{T}$  based on the following idea. For each  $\rho > 0$  we construct an approximation to a set  $S_N(\rho)$  of vectors contained in the closed ball of radius  $\rho$  of  $\ell_p^N(X)$  such that if  $x, y \in S_N(\rho)$  and  $x \neq y$ , then  $\|Tx - Ty\|_Y > 1$ ; if  $x \in X$  and  $\|x\|_X \leq \rho$ , then there exists  $y \in S_N(\rho)$  such that  $\|Tx - Ty\|_Y \leq 1$ . Let  $K_N(\rho)$  be the cardinality of the set  $S_N(\rho)$ . Because  $K_N(\rho) \leq 2^{k-1}$  implies  $\tilde{e}_k \leq 1/\rho$ , it suffices to estimate  $K_N(\rho)$  to get an estimate for the entropy numbers. To achieve this estimate, the following simple recurrence formula for  $K_N$  is established, where  $0 = \rho_0 < \rho_1 < \dots < \rho_n = \rho$  is a partition of the interval  $[0, \rho]$  and  $\lambda(\rho) = K_1(\rho)$ :

$$K_{N+1}(\rho) \leq \lambda(\rho_1)K_N(\rho) + \sum_{k=2}^n (\lambda(\rho_k) - \lambda(\rho_{k-1})) K_N \left( (\rho^p - \rho_{k-1}^p)^{1/p} \right)$$

Assuming a moderate growth of  $\lambda$  as a function of  $\rho$ ; specifically that there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $\lambda((n+1)\gamma) \leq \kappa\lambda(n\gamma)$  for some constant  $\kappa > 0, n = 1, 2, \dots$ , we obtain that there exist positive constants  $C, a, b, d, r$  (not depending on  $k$  or  $N$ ) such that

- (1)  $\tilde{e}_k \leq C e_{\log(\frac{k}{b}) - \log \log(\frac{abN}{k} + 1)}$  for  $k < Nd + 1$ , and
- (2)  $\tilde{e}_k \leq C e_{\frac{k-1}{N} + \log \frac{N}{r}}$  if  $\lambda(\gamma) = 1$  and  $k \geq dN + 1$ .

The growth condition on  $\lambda$  is satisfied if the entropy numbers of  $T$  decay sufficiently fast:  $e_k \sim k^{-\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \geq 1$ . For details, see [2].

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Cobos, P. Fernández-Martínez and A. Martínez, Interpolation of the measure of non-compactness by the real method., *Studia Math.*, **135** (1999), 25–38.
- [2] P. Fernández-Martínez and T. Schonbek, Entropy Numbers in Vector Valued Sequence Spaces, preprint 2000.

### Optimal approximation of elliptic problems by linear and nonlinear mappings

WINFRIED SICKEL

(joint work with Stephan Dahlke and Erich Novak)

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $\mathcal{B} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots\}$  be a countable subset of  $H$ . Then the best  $n$ -term approximation of  $g \in H$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$  is defined to be

$$\sigma_n(g, \mathcal{B})_H := \inf_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \inf_{c_1, \dots, c_n} \left\| g - \sum_{k=1}^n c_k g_{i_k} \right\|_H.$$

For  $C \geq 1$  let

$$\mathcal{B}_C := \{\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{B} \subset H, \mathcal{B} \text{ is a Riesz basis of } H \text{ with constants } A, B > 0, B/A \leq C\}.$$

Here the constants  $A, B$ , related to the Riesz basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots\}$ , are the optimal positive numbers in the inequality

$$A \left( \sum_k |c_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left\| \sum_k c_k g_k \right\|_H \leq B \left( \sum_k |c_k|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

which has to be valid for any set of coefficients  $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $G$  be a Hilbert space and let  $S : G \rightarrow H$  be an isomorphism. Let  $F$  be a quasi-normed subspace of  $G$ . Then, parallel to the approximation numbers of  $S$ , we introduce the quantities:

$$e_n^{non, C}(S, F, H) := \inf_{\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{B}_C} \sup_{\|f\|_F \leq 1} \sigma_n(Sf, \mathcal{B})_H, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Similar widths, even in a more general context, have been considered by Temlyakov [T]. In the particular situation, where  $H = H^s(Q)$  is a fractional order Sobolev space on  $Q = [0, 1]^d$ ,  $F = B_{p,q}^{s+t}(Q)$  is a Besov space and  $S$  is the identity operator,  $S = I : B_{p,q}^{s+t}(Q) \rightarrow H^s(Q)$  we have obtained the following.

**Theorem.** Let  $-\infty < s < \infty$ ,  $0 < p, q \leq \infty$  and

$$t > d \max \left( 0, \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Let  $C \geq 1$ . Then there exists a constant  $c_1$  such that

$$e_n^{non, C}(I, B_{p,q}^{s+t}(Q), H^s(Q)) \leq c_1 n^{-t/d}$$



holds for all natural numbers  $n$ . In case  $0 < p \leq 2$  there exists a positive constant  $c_2$  such that

$$e_n^{non,C}(I, B_{p,q}^{s+t}(Q), H^s(Q)) \geq c_2 n^{-t/d}$$

holds.

**Remark.** In the preprint [DNS] we discuss consequences of this Theorem for our model problem, the Poisson equation on a general Lipschitz domain. In particular, we compare nonlinear methods of approximation of the solution with linear methods.

#### REFERENCES

- [DNS] Stephan Dahlke, Erich Novak, Winfried Sickel: *Optimal approximation of elliptic problems by linear and nonlinear mappings*. Preprint, Jena, Marburg, 2004.  
 [T] V.N. Temlyakov: *Nonlinear methods of approximation*. Preprint, Columbia, 2003.

### On interpolation of bilinear operators

MIECZYŚLAW MASTYŁO

Multilinear operators arise naturally in many areas of classical, harmonic analysis as well as functional analysis, including the theory of Banach operator ideals. The latest progress in study of the bilinear Hilbert transform and bilinear multipliers of Marcinkiewicz type has stimulated the need of development of a systematic analysis of bilinear operators. Interpolation of bilinear operators is a classical problem in interpolation theory. The situation for the real and complex method of interpolation is well understood however few results are known for other interpolation methods. We present some new results on interpolation of multilinear operators between products of Banach spaces generated by abstract methods of interpolation in the sense of Aronszajn and Gagliardo. A variant of bilinear interpolation is proved for bilinear operators from corresponding weighted  $c_0$ -spaces into Banach spaces of non-trivial periodic Fourier cotype. This result is then extended to the spaces generated by minimal and maximal methods of interpolation determined by quasi-concave functions. In the case when a maximal construction is generated by Hilbert spaces, we obtain a general variant of bilinear interpolation theorem. Combining this result with the abstract Grothendieck theorem of Pisier yields further results. The results are applied in deriving a bilinear interpolation theorem for Calderón-Lozanovsky, for Orlicz spaces and an embedding formula for  $(E, p)$ -summing operators.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. Aronszajn and E. Gagliardo, *Interpolation spaces and interpolation methods*, Ann. Math. Pura Appl. **68** (1965), 51–118.  
 [2] Yu.A. Brudnyĭ and N.Ya. Krugljak, *Interpolation Functors and Interpolation Spaces I*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991.

- [3] A. Defant, C. Michels and M. Mastyło, *On interpolation of tensor products of Banach spaces*, Rev. R. Acad. Cien. Serie A. Mat. **97** (2003), 209–221.
- [4] L. Grafakos and N.J. Kalton, *The Marcinkiewicz multiplier condition for bilinear operators*, Studia Math. **146** (2001), 115–156.
- [5] S. Janson, *Minimal and maximal methods of interpolation*, J. Funct. Anal. **14** (1981), 50–72.
- [6] G.Ya. Lozanovsky, *On some Banach lattices IV*, Sibirsk. Math. Z., **14** (1973), 140–155 (in Russian); English transl. in Siberian Math. J., **1** (1973), 97–108.
- [7] M. Mastyło, *Multilinear interpolation theorems*, Proc. R. Ir. Acad. **100A** (2000), 149–161.
- [8] M. Mastyło, *On interpolation of bilinear operators*, J. Funct. Anal. **214** (2004), 260–283.
- [9] G. Pisier, *Factorization of Operators and Geometry of Banach spaces*, Vol. 60, American Mathematical Society Providenc R.I., CBMS, 1985.

## Continuity envelopes in spaces of generalised smoothness, and applications

DOROTHEE D. HAROSKE

(joint work with A.M. Caetano and S.D. Moura)

Continuity envelopes provide a new tool to characterise various types of function spaces by their smoothness properties (with respect to Lipschitz continuity); in particular, we consider

$$\mathcal{E}_C^X(t) = \sup_{\|f\|_X \leq 1} \frac{\omega(f, t)}{t}, \quad t > 0,$$

for function spaces  $X$ , and claim that  $\mathfrak{E}_C(X) = (\mathcal{E}_C^X, u_C^X)$  gives precise information about  $X$ ; here  $u_C^X$  is an additional fine index. We continue and extend recent first results ([H], [T]) now in the context of spaces of generalised smoothness, say,  $X = B_{p,q}^{(s,\Psi)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $\Psi$  might be an ‘admissible’ or, more general, a slowly varying function.

In joint work with A.M. Caetano (Aveiro) and S.D. Moura (Coimbra), [CH], [HM], we proved, for instance, that

$$\mathfrak{E}_C \left( B_{p,q}^{(s,\Psi)} \right) = \left( \left( \int_t^1 \Psi(y)^{-q'} \frac{dy}{y} \right)^{1/q'}, q \right), \quad \frac{n}{p} < s \leq \frac{n}{p} + 1, \quad 0 < p, q \leq \infty,$$

assuming  $(\Psi(2^{-j})^{-1})_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \notin \ell_{q'}$  when  $s = \frac{n}{p} + 1$ ; for  $0 < q \leq \infty$  the number  $q'$  is given by  $\frac{1}{q'} = \max \left( 0, 1 - \frac{1}{q} \right)$ .

From results like this we can derive Hardy-type inequalities, sharp assertions on limiting embeddings, and estimates for the asymptotic behaviour of approximation numbers of related compact embeddings. The proofs involve different characterisations of the underlying spaces like the Fourier-analytically based definition, atomic decompositions, and equivalent norms involving differences.

## REFERENCES

- [CH] A.M. Caetano and D.D. Haroske, Continuity envelopes of spaces of generalised smoothness : a limiting case; embeddings and approximation numbers. *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.*, to appear.
- [H] D.D. Haroske, Limiting embeddings, entropy numbers and envelopes in function spaces. Habilitationsschrift, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, Germany, 2002.
- [HM] D.D. Haroske and S.D. Moura, Continuity envelopes of spaces of generalised smoothness, entropy and approximation numbers. *J. Approx. Theory*, **128** (2004), 151–174.
- [T] H. Triebel, *The structure of functions*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.

**Banach operator ideals and eigenvalue estimates**

MIECZYŚLAW MASTYŁO

We survey some recent results from [1] and [2]. Let  $E$ ,  $F$  and  $G$  be Banach sequence spaces,  $(e_n)$  be the standard unit vector basis in  $c_0$  and  $\mathcal{M}_n$  be the set of all  $n \times n$  complex matrices. Our aim is to give estimate  $\Lambda_E(T) \leq f(n) \|T\|_{F[G]}$ , where

$$\Lambda_E(T) = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(T) e_i \right\|_E, \quad \|T\|_{F[G]} = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \tau_{ij} e_i \right\|_G e_j \right\|_F$$

for all  $T = (\tau_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}_n$  and  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a function which does not depend on  $T$ . It is shown (see [1]) that under some geometrical conditions the above mentioned estimate holds for a large class of sequence spaces with  $f(n) \simeq \log(1+n)$ . Combining this estimate with some further geometrical estimates for Kronecker's matrices, we obtain that if  $\ell_\varphi$  is an Orlicz sequence space such that  $\varphi$  is a supermultiplicative Orlicz function such that  $t \mapsto \varphi(\sqrt{t})$  is equivalent to a convex function, then  $\Lambda_{\ell_\varphi}(T) \leq C \|T\|_{\ell_{\varphi_*}[\ell_{\overline{\varphi}}]}$  where  $\varphi_*$  is a Young's conjugate function of  $\varphi$ ,  $\overline{\varphi}$  is the minimal submultiplicative function dominating  $\varphi$  and  $C = C(\varphi) > 0$ . For the power function  $\varphi(t) = t^p$ ,  $2 \leq p < \infty$ , we obtain  $C = 1$ , and this gives a celebrated result of Jonhson, König, Maurey and Retherford [3].

For a Banach sequence space  $E$  containing  $\ell_2$ , the Banach operator ideal of  $(E, 2)$ -summing operators consists of all operators  $T$  between Banach spaces for which  $\{\|T(x_n)\|\} \in E$  for all weakly 2-summable sequences  $\{x_n\}$ . Based on interpolation theory, recently several key results within the theory of  $(q, 2)$ -summing operators and its applications have been extended to the more general case of  $(E, 2)$ -summing operators. We only present a variant for  $(E, 2)$ -summing operators of a striking composition formula due to H. König, J.R. Retherford and N. Tomczak-Jaegermann [4], which in its original formulation says that the composition  $T_N \circ \dots \circ T_1$  of  $N$  operators  $T_k$  between Banach spaces which are  $(q_k, 2)$ -summing, is 2-summing and compact provided that  $1/q_1 + \dots + 1/q_N > 1/2$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Defant, M. Mastyło and C. Michels, *Orlicz norm estimates for eigenvalues of matrices*, Israel J. Math. **132** (2002), 45–59.

- [2] A. Defant and M. Mastyło, *Composition of  $(E, 2)$ -summing operators*, Studia Math. **159** (2003), 51–65.
- [3] W. B. Johnson, H. König, B. Maurey and J.R. Retherford, *Eigenvalues of  $p$ -summing and  $\ell_p$ -type operators in Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **32** (1979), 353–380.
- [4] H. König, J.R. Retherford and N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *On the eigenvalues of  $(p, 2)$ -summing operators and constants associated to normed spaces*, J. Functional Anal. **37** (1980), 149–168.

---

## Participants

**Prof. Dr. Fernando Cobos**

Depto. de Analisis Matematico  
Facultad de Matematicas  
Universidad Complutense de Madrid  
E-28040 Madrid

**Prof. Dr. Michael Cwikel**

Department of Mathematics  
Technion  
Israel Institute of Technology  
Haifa 32000  
ISRAEL

**Dr. Luz M. Fernandez-Cabrera**

Departamento de Matematica Aplicada  
Escuela Universitaria de  
Estadística, Universidad  
Complutense de Madrid  
E-28040 Madrid

**Dr. Pedro Fernandez-Martinez**

Departamento de Matematica Aplicada  
Facultad de Informatica  
Universidad de Murcia  
Campus de Espinardo  
E-30071 Espinardo Murcia

**Dr. Dorothee Haroske**

Mathematisches Institut  
Friedrich-Schiller-Universität  
Ernst-Abbe-Platz 1-4  
07743 Jena

**Prof. Dr. Natan Kruglyak**

Department of Mathematics  
Lulea University of Technology  
S-97187 Lulea

**Prof. Dr. Thomas Kühn**

Mathematisches Institut  
Universität Leipzig  
Augustusplatz 10/11  
04109 Leipzig

**Prof. Hans-Gerd Leopold**

Mathematisches Institut  
Universität Jena  
Ernst-Abbe-Platz 1-4  
07743 Jena

**Dr. Antonio Manzano**

Departamento de Matematicas y  
Computacion  
Escuela Politecnica Superior  
Universidad de Burgos  
E-09001 Burgos

**Dr. Anton Martinez**

Depto. de Matematica Aplicada I  
E.T.S. Ingenieros Industriales  
Universidad de Vigo  
E-36200 Vigo

**Prof. Dr. Mieczyslaw Mastyló**

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer  
Science  
A. Mickiewicz University  
ul. Umultowska 87  
61-614 Poznan  
POLAND

**Prof. Dr. Evgeniy Pustyl'nik**

Department of Mathematics  
Technion  
Israel Institute of Technology  
Haifa 32000  
ISRAEL

**Prof. Dr. Tomas Schonbek**

Department of Mathematics  
Florida Atlantic University  
Boca Raton, FL 33431  
USA

**Dr. Winfried Sickel**

Fakultät für Mathematik und  
Informatik  
Friedrich-Schiller-Universität  
Ernst-Abbe-Platz 1-4  
07743 Jena

**Prof. Dr. Leszek Skrzypczak**

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer  
Science  
A. Mickiewicz University  
ul. Umultowska 87  
61-614 Poznan  
POLAND

**Prof. Dr.Dr.h.c. Hans Triebel**

Mathematisches Institut  
Universität Jena  
07740 Jena