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# HEIGHT FUNCTIONS ON QUATERNIONIC STIEFEL MANIFOLDS 

ENRIQUE MACÍAS-VIRGÓS, JOHN OPREA, JEFF STROM, AND DANIEL TANRÉ


#### Abstract

In this note, we study height functions on quaternionic Stiefel manifolds and prove that all these height functions are Morse-Bott. Among them, we characterize the Morse functions and give a lower bound for their number of critical values. Relations with the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category are discussed.


## 1. Introduction

The Lusternik-Schnirelmann category, cat $X$, of a topological space $X$ is defined as the least integer $m \geq 0$ such that $X$ admits a covering by $m+1$ open sets which are contractible in $X$ [1]. Category has proven useful in areas such as dynamical systems and symplectic geometry as well as homotopy theory, but it has also proven to be notoriously difficult to compute. A longstanding problem has been to compute the LS category of Lie groups. A significant step forward was Singhof's computations cat $\mathrm{U}(n)=n$ and cat $\mathrm{SU}(n)=n-1[19]$ of the unitary and special unitary groups, accomplished through a clever use of eigenvalues. Such an approach cannot be carried out for the symplectic groups, $\operatorname{Sp}(n)$, [10]. Some progress has been made, however, for small $n$ and in obtaining lower bounds. Namely, cat $\operatorname{Sp}(2)=3$ [17], cat $\operatorname{Sp}(3)=5$ [3], and cat $\operatorname{Sp}(n) \geq n+2$ when $n \geq 3$ [7].

The quaternionic Stiefel manifolds, $X_{n, k}=\operatorname{Sp}(n) / \operatorname{Sp}(n-k)$, have proved somewhat more amenable to category calculations in certain ranges. For instance, cat $X_{n, k}=$ $k$ when $n \geq 2 k$. This result was proved by Nishimoto [15] using the number of eigenvalues of a complex matrix in a fashion similar to Singhof's approach for the unitary group [3]. This has also been proved by Kadzisa and Mimura [9] by a method based on the cone decomposition induced by the Morse-Bott function defined by Frankel in [4]. The analysis of Morse-Bott functions on Lie groups and homogeneous spaces has a long history (see, for instance, [6, 12, 20]). In this paper, we focus our attention on the properties of height functions themselves and relate these to the LS-category when the critical points are isolated [16]. With this method, it was

[^0]proved in [11] that the category of the symplectic group $\operatorname{Sp}(n)$ is bounded above by $\binom{n+1}{2}$.

We extend this approach to quaternionic Stiefel manifolds and prove that any height function on $X_{n, k}$ is of Morse-Bott type. In order to do this, we collect basic properties on general height functions on $X_{n, k}$, giving an explicit expression for the gradient and an explicit determination of the critical set. We also prove that any Morse height function on $X_{n, k}$ is perfect and has at least $1+\binom{k+1}{2}$ critical values. Moreover, this bound is reached by some height function. This gives an upper bound for the LS-category of $X_{n, k}$, which is not the best possible but shows the limit of the method.

## 2. Height functions on quaternionic Stiefel manifolds

2.1. Stiefel manifolds. Let $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ be the quaternionic $n$-space (with the structure of a right $\mathbb{H}$-vector space) endowed with the hermitian product $\langle u, v\rangle=u^{*} v$. For $0 \leq k \leq n$, let $X_{n, k}$ be the Stiefel manifold of linear maps $\phi: \mathbb{H}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{n}$ which preserve the Hermitian product. The map $\phi$ can be identified with a matrix $x$ of size $n \times k$ such that $x^{*} x=I_{k}$, so the columns of $x$ form an orthonormal $k$-frame of $\mathbb{H}^{n}$. We shall represent any element $x \in X_{n, k}$ by two blocks,

$$
x=\binom{T}{P}
$$

where $T, P$ are quaternionic matrices of order $(n-k) \times k$ and $k \times k$, respectively, which (due to $x^{*} x=I_{k}$ ) verify the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*} T+P^{*} P=I_{k} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\operatorname{Sp}(n)$ be the Lie group of $n \times n$ matrices $A$ such that $A^{*} A=I_{n}$. The linear left action of $\operatorname{Sp}(n)$ on $X_{n, k}$ is transitive and the isotropy group of $x_{0}=\binom{0}{I_{k}}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Sp}(n-k)$, so $X_{n, k}$ is diffeomorphic to $\operatorname{Sp}(n) / \operatorname{Sp}(n-k)$.
2.2. Height functions. Let $\mathbb{H}^{n \times k}$ be the vector space of quaternionic matrices of size $n \times k$. As a real vector space, it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{4(n \times k)}$ with euclidean norm given by $|x|^{2}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(x^{*} x\right)$. It follows that the euclidean inner product is given by $[y, x]=\Re \operatorname{Tr}\left(y^{*} x\right)$, where $\Re \operatorname{Tr}$ is the real part of the trace, and the height of $x$ with respect to the hyperplane orthogonal to a given matrix $\omega^{*}$ is given by $a \Re \operatorname{Tr}(\omega x)+b$, where $a$ and $b$ are real constants. Let $h_{\omega}: \mathbb{H}^{n \times k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function

$$
h_{\omega}(x)=\Re \operatorname{Tr}(\omega x) .
$$

Since $h_{\omega}$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear, its gradient at any point is $\omega^{*}$. If we denote $\omega$ by a block matrix $(\delta \mid D)$, with blocks $\delta, D$ of size $k \times(n-k)$ and $k \times k$ respectively, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\omega}\left(\binom{T}{P}\right)=\Re \operatorname{Tr}(\omega x)=\Re \operatorname{Tr}(\delta T+D P) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $n=k$, the notation above means $\omega=D \in \mathbb{H}^{n \times n}, x=P$ and the Stiefel manifold is the group $\operatorname{Sp}(n)$. The corresponding height function is that considered in [11].
2.3. Height functions on $X_{n, k}$. Let us now consider the restriction $f_{\omega}: X_{n, k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the height function $h_{\omega}$ to the Stiefel manifold. We want to choose the matrix $\omega$ in such a way that $f_{\omega}$ has isolated critical points and few critical levels. In order to determine the critical points, we first need expressions for the gradient and the Hessian of $f_{\omega}$.

Proposition 2.1. The gradient of $f_{\omega}: X_{n, k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at the point $x \in X_{n, k}$ is given by

$$
\left(\operatorname{grad} f_{\omega}\right)_{x}=\omega^{*}-(1 / 2) x\left(\omega x+x^{*} \omega^{*}\right)
$$

Proof. Begin with $x_{0}=\binom{0}{I_{k}}$. The tangent space, $T_{x_{0}}\left(X_{n, k}\right)$, is obtained as the image of the tangent space at $I_{n} \in \operatorname{Sp}(n)$ by the canonical projection $\operatorname{Sp}(n) \rightarrow X_{n, k}$. It is therefore formed by the matrices $\binom{X}{Y}$ such that $Y+Y^{*}=0$. (This may also be seen by differentiating a curve of matrices satisfying (1).) Moreover, the orthogonal subspace $\left(T_{x_{0}}\left(X_{n, k}\right)\right)^{\perp}$ is formed by the matrices $\binom{0}{Z}$ such that $Z-Z^{*}=0$. So we see that the projection of an arbitrary matrix $y=\binom{X}{Y} \in \mathbb{H}^{n \times k}$ onto $T_{x_{0}}\left(X_{n, k}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{0}(y)=\binom{X}{(1 / 2)\left(Y-Y^{*}\right)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $x=\binom{T}{P} \in X_{n, k}$ and $\pi: \mathbb{H}^{n \times k} \rightarrow T_{x}\left(X_{n, k}\right)$ be the projection. The gradient of $f_{\omega}: X_{n, k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at $x$ is the projection $\pi\left(\omega^{*}\right)$ of the gradient $\omega^{*}$ of $h_{\omega}$. Since the action of $\operatorname{Sp}(n)$ on $X_{n, k}$ is transitive, there is some $A \in \operatorname{Sp}(n)$ such that $x=A x_{0}$, so $T_{x}\left(X_{n, k}\right)=A \cdot T_{x_{0}}\left(X_{n, k}\right)$. Thus, we may consider $\omega^{*}$ as a vector in the tangent space of $\mathbb{H}^{n \times k}$ at $x$, translate it via $A^{*}=A^{-1}$ to the tangent space of $\mathbb{H}^{n \times k}$ at $x_{0}$, where the projection is already determined by (3), and return to the tangent space at $x$ via $A$. As the action is an isometry, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\operatorname{grad} f_{\omega}\right)_{x}=A \pi_{0}\left(A^{*} \omega^{*}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $A$ can be written as $A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & T \\ \beta & P\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Sp}(n)$, with blocks $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}^{(n-k) \times(n-k)}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{H}^{k \times(n-k)}$, the condition $A A^{*}=I_{n}$ being equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \alpha^{*}+T T^{*}=I_{n-k}, \beta \alpha^{*}+P T^{*}=0, \beta \beta^{*}+P P^{*}=I_{k} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We replace $A, x, \omega$ in (4), by their decomposition in blocks. Using (3) and (5) we obtain,
which is the expression of the statement.
The study of height functions can be simplified by using the singular value decompositions, see [21, Theorem 7.2]. Let $\omega^{*}=U\binom{0}{S} V^{*}$ be a singular value decomposition of $\omega^{*}=(\delta \mid D)^{*}$. In this decomposition, we have $U \in \operatorname{Sp}(n), V \in \operatorname{Sp}(k)$ and $S \in \mathbb{H}^{k \times k}$ is a block diagonal matrix

$$
S=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0_{n_{0}} & & &  \tag{7}\\
& s_{1} I_{n_{1}} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & s_{r} I_{n_{r}}
\end{array}\right), \quad n_{0}+n_{1}+\cdots+n_{r}=k
$$

with real numbers $0<s_{1}<\cdots<s_{r}$. The next result reduces the determination of the gradient and the Hessian to the case $\omega=\omega_{0}$.
Corollary 2.2. Let $f_{\omega}$ and $f_{\omega_{0}}$ be the height functions on $X_{n, k}$ with respect to $\omega=$ $(\delta \mid D)$ and $\omega_{0}=(0 \mid S)$. Then the gradient and Hessian of $f_{\omega}$ are related to those of $f_{\omega_{0}}$ as follows.
a) For any $x \in X_{n, k}$, we have $\left(\operatorname{grad} f_{\omega}\right)_{x}=U\left(\operatorname{grad} f_{\omega_{0}}\right)_{U^{*} x V} V^{*}$.
b) For any $x \in X_{n, k}$ and $W \in T_{x}\left(X_{n, k}\right)$, we have

$$
\left(\mathcal{H} f_{\omega}\right)_{x}(W)=U\left(\left(\mathcal{H} f_{\omega_{0}}\right)_{U^{*} x V}\left(U^{*} W V\right)\right) V^{*}
$$

Proof. The equality in a) follows from Proposition 2.1. Using this equality, the Hessian, $\left(\mathcal{H} f_{\omega}\right)_{x}: T_{x}\left(X_{n, k}\right) \rightarrow T_{x}\left(X_{n, k}\right)$ can be computed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathcal{H} f_{\omega}\right)_{x}(W) & =\left(\frac{d}{d t}\left(\operatorname{grad} f_{\omega}\right)_{x+t W}\right)_{\mid t=0} \\
& =U\left(\frac{d}{d t}\left(\operatorname{grad} f_{\omega_{0}}\right)_{U^{*}(x+t W) V}\right)_{\mid t=0} V^{*} \\
& =U\left(\left(\mathcal{H} f_{\omega_{0}}\right)_{U^{*} x V}\left(U^{*} W V\right)\right) V^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

2.4. Critical points. We now characterize the critical points of the height function on $X_{n, k}$. Let $x=\binom{T}{P} \in X_{n, k}$ and $\omega=(\delta \mid D)$, with $\delta \in \mathbb{H}^{k \times(n-k)}$ and $D \in \mathbb{H}^{k \times k}$ as above.
Proposition 2.3. The point $x$ is critical for the height function, $f_{\omega}: X_{n, k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if, and only if, $\omega^{*}=x \omega x$. In this case, the matrix $\omega x=\delta T+D P$ is Hermitian.
Proof. From Proposition 2.1 we deduce that $x$ is a critical point of $f_{\omega}$ if, and only if, $2 \omega^{*}=x\left(\omega x+x^{*} \omega^{*}\right)$. Since $x^{*} x=I_{k}$, we have $2 x^{*} \omega^{*}=\omega x+x^{*} \omega^{*}$, then $(\omega x)^{*}=\omega x$. Moreover $2 \omega^{*}=x(2 \omega x)$, hence $\omega^{*}=x \omega x$.

Conversely, if $\omega^{*}=x \omega x$, it is $x^{*} \omega^{*}=\omega x$, so $\omega x$ is Hermitian. Then, $x\left(\omega x+x^{*} \omega^{*}\right)=$ $2 x \omega x=2 \omega^{*}$, that is, $(\operatorname{grad} f)_{x}=0$.
Corollary 2.4. If the point $x=\binom{T}{P}$ is critical for the height function, $f_{\omega}: X_{n, k} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$, then the following formulae hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta^{*} & =T(\delta T+D P),  \tag{8}\\
D^{*} & =P(\delta T+D P),  \tag{9}\\
\delta \delta^{*}+D D^{*} & =(\delta T+D P)^{2} . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The first two formulae follow from Equation (6) and the fact that $\omega x=$ $\delta T+D P$ is Hermitian, as proved in Proposition 2.3. The third one is $\omega \omega^{*}=(\omega x)^{2}$, which is also an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.3.

In the case $\omega_{0}=(0 \mid S)$, Proposition 2.3 can be simplified as follows.
Corollary 2.5. Let $\omega_{0}=(0 \mid S)$ be as in (7) and $\omega=U \omega_{0} V^{*}$, with $U \in \operatorname{Sp}(n)$, $V \in \operatorname{Sp}(k)$. Then, the following properties hold.
a) The point $x=\binom{T}{P}$ is critical for the height function, $f_{\omega_{0}}$, if, and only if, TSP $=$ 0 and $P S P=S$. In this case, the matrix $S P$ is Hermitian.
b) The point $x$ is a critical point of $f_{\omega}$ if, and only if, $U^{*} x V$ is a critical point of $f_{\omega_{0}}$.

Proof. The statement a) is a rewriting of Proposition 2.3 in this particular case. Property b) is a direct consequence of Corollary 2.2.a.

Recall that $\langle$,$\rangle denotes the Hermitian product in \mathbb{H}^{n}$. Even if the matrix $\omega$ is not a square matrix, we have $\left\langle\omega \omega^{*} v, v\right\rangle=\left\langle\omega^{*} v, \omega^{*} v\right\rangle=|\omega v|^{2} \geq 0$, for all $v \in \mathbb{H}^{k}$. Therefore, the matrix

$$
\Delta=\omega \omega^{*}=\delta \delta^{*}+D D^{*}
$$

is positive semi-definite.

Proposition 2.6. The point $x$ is critical for the height function, $f_{\omega}: X_{n, k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if, and only if, there exists a Hermitian square root $Y$ of $\Delta$ such that $\omega^{*}=x Y$. In this case $Y=\omega x$.

Proof. First, let $\omega^{*}=x Y$ with $Y=Y^{*}$ and $Y^{2}=\Delta$. Then $x \omega x=x\left(Y^{*} x^{*}\right) x=$ $x Y\left(x^{*} x\right)=x Y=\omega^{*}$, so $x$ is a critical point by Proposition 2.3. Moreover from $x^{*} x=I_{k}$ it follows $x^{*} \omega^{*}=Y$, so $Y=Y^{*}=\omega x$.

Conversely, if $x$ is a critical point, then $\omega^{*}=x(\omega x)$, and the matrix $Y=\omega x$ is Hermitian. Moreover $(\omega x)^{2}=(\omega x)(\omega x)^{*}=\omega\left(x^{*} x\right) \omega^{*}=\omega \omega^{*}=\Delta$.

Proposition 2.7. Let $\omega_{0}=(0 \mid S), x=\binom{T}{P} \in X_{n, k}, x_{0}=\binom{0}{I_{k}}$ and $W \in T_{x} X_{n, k}$. Let $A \in \operatorname{Sp}(n)$ such that $x=A x_{0}$ and $W=A W_{0}$, with $W_{0}=\binom{X}{Y} \in T_{x_{0}} X_{n, k}$. Then, if $x$ is a critical point of $f_{\omega_{0}}$, the Hessian of $f_{\omega_{0}}$ is given by,

$$
\left(\mathcal{H} f_{\omega_{0}}\right)_{x}(W)=-(1 / 2) A\binom{2 X S P}{Y S P+S P Y} .
$$

Proof. We first observe that the existence of $A$ comes from the transitivity of the action of $\operatorname{Sp}(n)$. For the determination of the Hessian, we follow a classical procedure (see [5]) which consists of three steps.
(i) First, we extend the gradient computed in Proposition 2.1 to a vector field on $\mathbb{H}^{n \times k}$, by

$$
\left(\widetilde{\operatorname{grad}} f_{\omega_{0}}\right)_{M}=\omega_{0}^{*}-(1 / 2) M\left(\omega_{0} M+M^{*} \omega_{0}^{*}\right)
$$

(ii) Secondly, we determine the covariant derivative $\nabla_{W}\left(\widetilde{\operatorname{grad}} f_{\omega_{0}}\right)$. Since the covariant derivative in $\mathbb{H}^{n \times k}$ is the usual derivative, we find after computation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d}{d t}\left(\widetilde{\operatorname{grad}} f_{\omega_{0}}\right)_{M+t W}\right)_{\mid t=0}=(-1 / 2)\left[M\left(\omega_{0} W+W^{*} \omega_{0}^{*}\right)+W\left(\omega_{0} M+M^{*} \omega_{0}^{*}\right)\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) Finally, the Hessian consists of the projection of the expression (11) onto the tangent space, $T_{x} X_{n, k}$. We denote $A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & T \\ \beta & P\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Sp}(n)$ and recall that in $W_{0}=\binom{X}{Y} \in T_{x_{0}} X_{n, k}$, the matrix $X$ is arbitrary and $Y$ is anti-hermitian, i.e., $Y+Y^{*}=0$. Set

$$
\left.\left(\Gamma_{\omega_{0}}\right)_{x}(W)=\left(\frac{d}{d t} \widetilde{(\operatorname{grad}} f_{\omega_{0}}\right)_{M+t W}\right)_{\mid t=0}
$$

Replacing $M$ by $x$ and $\omega_{0}, W$ by their values in (11) gives,

$$
\left(\Gamma_{\omega_{0}}\right)_{x}\binom{U}{V}=-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\binom{T}{P}\left(S V+V^{*} S\right)+\binom{U}{V}\left(S P+P^{*} S\right)\right\}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
A^{*}\left(\Gamma_{\omega_{0}}\right)_{x}\binom{U}{V} & =-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\binom{0}{I}\left(S V+V^{*} S\right)+\binom{X}{Y}\left(S P+P^{*} S\right)\right\} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\binom{0}{S V+V^{*} S}+\binom{X S P+X P^{*} S}{Y S P+Y P^{*} S}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $x$ is a critical point, we have $S P=P^{*} S$ and we obtain

$$
A^{*}\left(\Gamma_{\omega_{0}}\right)_{x}\binom{U}{V}=-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\binom{0}{S V+V^{*} S}+\binom{2 X S P}{2 Y S P}\right\} .
$$

So, the image by the projection onto $T_{x_{0}} X_{n, k}$, recalled in (3), equals,

$$
-\frac{1}{2}\binom{2 X S P}{Y S P+S P Y} .
$$

Finally, a left translation by $A$ gives the projection onto $T_{x} X_{n, k}$ and we get the formula of the statement.
2.5. Critical sets. In this paragraph, we characterize the critical sets of any height function on a quaternionic Stiefel manifold.

Theorem 2.8. The critical set of the height function $f_{\omega}: X_{n, k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is diffeomorphic to the product

$$
X_{n-k+n_{0}, n_{0}} \times \Sigma\left(n_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times \Sigma\left(n_{r}\right),
$$

where $X_{n-k+n_{0}, n_{0}}=\operatorname{Sp}\left(n-k+n_{0}\right) / \operatorname{Sp}(n-k)$ is a Stiefel manifold and $\Sigma(m)$ denotes a disjoint union $G_{0, m} \sqcup G_{1, m} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup G_{m, m}$ of Grassmannians, $G_{i, m}=\operatorname{Sp}(m) /(\operatorname{Sp}(i) \times$ $\mathrm{Sp}(m-i))$.

Proof of Theorem 2.8. According to Corollary 2.5, it is sufficient to consider the case $f_{\omega_{0}}$ with $\omega_{0}=(0 \mid S)$ and $S=\operatorname{diag}\left(0_{n_{0}}, s_{1} I_{n_{1}}, \ldots, s_{r} I_{n_{r}}\right)$ a non-negative real diagonal block matrix. From Corollary 2.5 we know that $x=\binom{T}{P}$ is a critical point of $f_{\omega_{0}}$ if, and only if, $T S P=0$ and $P S P=S$. Moreover, we have also that $S P$ is Hermitian and $S^{2}=(S P)^{2}$.

The latter equality implies that $S$ is the modulus of $S P$ and [2, Theorem 5.5] implies the existence of $U \in \operatorname{Sp}(k)$ such that $S P=U S$. As $S P=U S$ is Hermitian, we get $S U=U^{*} S$, hence

$$
S=U S U
$$

A direct computation (see [12, Lemma 6]) implies that the unitary matrix $U$ is of the form $U=\operatorname{diag}\left(U_{0}, U_{1}, \ldots, U_{r}\right)$ with $U_{i} U_{i}^{*}=I_{n_{i}}$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$, and $U_{i}=U_{i}^{*}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Now, we decompose $T$ in $T=\left(T_{0}, \ldots, T_{r}\right)$, where $T_{j}$ is a block of size $(n-k) \times n_{j}$. The equality $T S P=0$ implies $s_{j} T_{j} U_{j}=0$ and thus $T_{j}=0$ for $j \geq 1$.
Secondly, we decompose $P$ in $P=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}P_{00} & P_{01} & \ldots & P_{0 r} \\ P_{10} & P_{11} & \ldots & P_{1 r} \\ \vdots & & & \\ P_{r 0} & P_{r 1} & \ldots & P_{r r}\end{array}\right)$, where $P_{i j}$ is a block
of size $n_{i} \times n_{j}$. The equality $S=P S P=P U S$ gives $P_{i j}=0$ if $i \neq j$ and $P_{i i}=U_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

In conclusion, $\binom{T}{P}$ has for its first columns $\left(\begin{array}{c}T_{0} \\ P_{00} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0\end{array}\right)$ and the other columns are of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 \\
U_{1} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & U_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The equality $T^{*} T+P^{*} P=I_{k}$ implies $T_{0}^{*} T_{0}+P_{00}^{*} P_{00}=I_{n_{0}}$, so these first columns represent an element of the Stiefel manifold $X_{n-k+n_{0}, n_{0}}$. Finally each Hermitian matrix $U_{i} \in \operatorname{Sp}\left(n_{i}\right)$ verifies $U_{i}^{2}=I_{n_{i}}$, so it can be written as $U_{i}=V D_{i} V^{*}$, where $D_{i}$ is a diagonal matrix with $\pm 1$ on the diagonal. The orbit for the action of $\operatorname{Sp}\left(n_{i}\right)$ by conjugation of such $D_{i}$ is diffeomorphic to some Grassmannian, $\operatorname{Sp}\left(n_{i}\right) /(\operatorname{Sp}(l) \times$ $\left.\operatorname{Sp}\left(n_{i}-l\right)\right)$.
2.6. Height functions as Morse functions: Indices and critical values. By definition, a function on a compact manifold is Morse-Bott if the Hessian is nondegenerate in the directions transverse to the critical set. Moreover, such a function is Morse if it has a finite number of critical points. The next result characterizes the height functions that are Morse on a quaternionic Stiefel manifold. (Note that the case of real Stiefel manifolds is developed in [18, Theorem 1.2].)

Theorem 2.9. Height functions, $f_{\omega}: X_{n, k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, on quaternionic Stiefel manifolds satisfy the following properties.
a) Any height function is Morse-Bott.
b) A height function, $f_{\omega}$, is a Morse function if, and only if, the singular values of $\omega \omega^{*}$ are positive and pairwise different. In this case, the critical set is diffeomorphic to the set of points $\binom{0}{E}$ where $E=\operatorname{diag}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k}\right) \in \operatorname{Sp}(k)$, with $\varepsilon_{i}= \pm 1$.

Proof. Property b) is a direct consequence of a) and Theorem 2.8. We begin the proof of Property a) for the height function $f_{\omega_{0}}$, with $\omega_{0}=(0 \mid S)$, see (7). Observe that the definition of Morse-Bott means that the kernel of the Hessian equals the tangent space to the critical set. Let $A \in \operatorname{Sp}(n)$ such that $x=A x_{0}$ with $x_{0}=\binom{0}{I}$ and $x$ a critical point.

The tangent space to the critical set $\Sigma$ can be computed as usual (here, the coordinates correspond to the lines of the matrix) from the equations established in Corollary 2.5.a, i.e., we have

$$
W=\binom{U}{V} \in T_{x} \Sigma \text { if, and only if, }\left\{\begin{array}{c}
U S P=0  \tag{12}\\
V S P+P S V=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $W=\binom{U}{V}=A W_{0}$ with $W_{0}=\binom{X}{Y}$. According to Proposition 2.7, the kernel of the Hessian of $f_{\omega_{0}}$ is characterized by,

$$
W=\binom{U}{V} \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\mathcal{H} f_{\omega_{0}}\right)_{x} \text { if, and only if, }\left\{\begin{array}{c}
X S P=0  \tag{13}\\
Y S P+S P Y=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We observe

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
X S P=0 \\
Y S P+S P Y=0
\end{array}\right. & \Longleftrightarrow\binom{X}{Y} S P+\binom{0}{S P Y}=0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow A\binom{X}{Y} S P+A\binom{0}{S P Y}=0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow\binom{U}{V} S P+A\binom{0}{S P Y}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

So the equivalence of the systems (12) and (13) is a consequence of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Claim: } \quad A\binom{0}{S P Y}=\binom{0}{P S V} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

To establish this equality, we first deduce from Theorem 2.8 that

$$
\binom{T}{P}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
T_{0} & 0 \\
P_{00} & 0 \\
0 & U_{1 \ldots r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $U_{1 \ldots r}=\operatorname{diag}\left(U_{1}, \ldots, U_{r}\right)$ with $U_{i} \in \operatorname{Sp}\left(n_{i}\right)$. We decompose the matrix $A$ as

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha & T_{0} & 0 \\
\beta_{0} & P_{00} & 0 \\
\beta_{1 \ldots r} & 0 & U_{1 \ldots r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\beta_{0} \in \mathbb{H}^{n_{0} \times\left(n_{0}-k\right)}, \beta_{1, \ldots, r} \in \mathbb{H}^{\left(k-n_{0}\right) \times(n-k)}$. The equality $A A^{*}=I_{n}$ implies $\beta_{1 \ldots r} U_{1 \ldots r}^{*}=0$, so $\beta_{1 \ldots r}=0$. Finally we have

$$
S \beta=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
& s_{1} I & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & s_{r} I
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\beta_{00} & \beta_{01} & \ldots & \beta_{0 r} \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
& & \ddots & \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right)=0 .
$$

Now, we compute, by using $T S P=0$ and $P S P=S$, see Corollary 2.5.a,

$$
A\binom{0}{S P Y}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha & T \\
\beta & P
\end{array}\right)\binom{0}{S P Y}=\binom{T S P Y}{P S P Y}=\binom{0}{S Y}
$$

From $\binom{U}{V}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\alpha & T \\ \beta & P\end{array}\right)\binom{X}{Y}$, we get $V=\beta X+P Y$ and

$$
P S V=P S \beta X+P S P Y=P S P Y=S Y
$$

The claim (14) is proved and the critical point $x$ is non-degenerate.
So, Property a) is proved for $f_{\omega_{0}}$. The general case of $f_{\omega}$ follows from the transformation rule of the Hessian (Corollary 2.2.b) and the determination of critical points (see Corollary 2.5.b).

If we denote by $\alpha_{i}$ the number of critical points of index $i$ and by $b_{i}$ the $i$-th Betti number of $X_{n, k}$, we know, from classical Morse theory, that $\alpha_{i} \geq b_{i}$, for all $i$. A Morse function is called perfect if we have $\alpha_{i}=b_{i}$, for all $i$.

Corollary 2.10. Each Morse height function on $X_{n, k}$ is a perfect Morse function.
Proof. From their description in Theorem 2.9, it is clear that a Morse height function has exactly $2^{k}$ critical points. On the other side, we know [14, Theorem 3.10] that, for $k>0$, the cohomology of $X_{n, k}$, with $\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients, is an exterior algebra,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{*}\left(X_{n, k}\right)=\wedge\left(y_{n-k+1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $y_{i}$ of degree $4 i-1$. Its Poincaré series is $P(t)=\left(1+t^{4(n-k)+3}\right) \cdots\left(1+t^{4 n-1}\right)$. The sum of coefficients is $2^{k}$ and we get $\sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_{i}=\sum_{i \geq 0} b_{i}$. With the Morse inequalities, $\alpha_{i} \geq b_{i}$, this implies $b_{i}=\alpha_{i}$, for all $i$.

We have proved the previous Corollary without the determination of the index of a critical point. The next result specifies this index.

Proposition 2.11. Let $x=\binom{0}{E}$ be a critical point of a Morse height function, with $E=\operatorname{diag}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k}\right), \varepsilon_{i}= \pm 1$. Suppose that $\varepsilon_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i_{p}}$ are the positive entries in $E$, with $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{p}$. Then the index of $x$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{Ind}(x)=p(4(n-k)-1)+4\left(i_{1}+\cdots+i_{p}\right)
$$

Proof. According to Theorem 2.9, the matrix defining the height function can be assumed to have the form $\omega_{0}=(0 \mid S)$, with $S=\operatorname{diag}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)$ and $0<s_{1}<$ $\cdots<s_{k}$. A critical point of the associated height function, $f_{\omega_{0}}$, is of the form $x=\binom{0}{\operatorname{diag}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k}\right)}$, with $\varepsilon_{i}= \pm 1$.

We refer to Proposition 2.7. The point $x=\binom{0}{E}$ is the image of $x_{0}=\binom{0}{I}$ by the left action of $\operatorname{Sp}(n)$, so there is some $A$ such that $A x=x_{0}$. Since the isotropy of $x_{0}$ is $\operatorname{Sp}(n-k)$, the matrix $A$ is not unique but we can choose $A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}I & 0 \\ 0 & E\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Sp}(n)$ and we have

$$
\binom{U}{V}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I & 0 \\
0 & E
\end{array}\right)\binom{X}{Y}=\binom{X}{E Y} .
$$

Therefore, the result of Proposition 2.7 can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathcal{H} f_{\omega_{0}}\right)_{x}\binom{U}{V} & =-\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
0 & E
\end{array}\right)\binom{2 X S E}{(Y S E+S E Y} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}\binom{2 X S E}{E Y S E+E S E Y} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}\binom{2 U S E}{V S E+E S V}
\end{aligned}
$$

The Hessian is a self-adjoint linear map, whose eigenvalues are of two types:
(i) $-\varepsilon_{j} s_{j}$, for $1 \leq j \leq k$. The corresponding eigenvectors are the matrices all of whose terms are zero, except the $j^{\text {th }}$-column of $U$ and the element $v_{j j}$ of $V$. As $E V$ is skew-hermitian, the associated eigenspace is of (real) dimension $4(n-k)+3$.
(ii) $(-1 / 2)\left(\varepsilon_{i} s_{i}+\varepsilon_{j} s_{j}\right)$, with $1 \leq i<j \leq k$. The corresponding eigenvectors are the matrices all of whose terms are zero, except $v_{i j}$ and $v_{j i}=-v_{i j}^{*}$. The associated eigenspace is of (real) dimension 4.
Recall that the index of the critical point $x=\binom{0}{E}$, with $E=\operatorname{diag}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k}\right)$, is the total dimension of the eigenspaces associated to negative eigenvalues. Let $\varepsilon_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i_{p}}$ be the positive entries, with $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{p}$. In case (i), negative eigenvalues are
exactly the $\varepsilon_{i_{k}}$ 's, with $k=1, \ldots, p$. In case (ii), they are the pairs $(i, j)$, with $i<j$ and $\varepsilon_{j}=+1$. In conclusion we get the formula

$$
\operatorname{Ind}(x)=p(4(n-k)+3)+4\left(\left(i_{1}-1\right)+\cdots+\left(i_{p}-1\right)\right)
$$

which is equivalent to that of the statement.
Finally, we give a lower bound for the number of critical values of a Morse height function which is of interest in the determination of the LS-category.
Proposition 2.12. The number of critical values of a Morse height function on $X_{n, k}$ is at least $1+\binom{k+1}{2}$. Moreover, there exists a height function for which the bound is sharp.
Proof. Let $\omega_{0}=(0 \mid S)$, with $S=\operatorname{diag}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right), 0<s_{1}<\cdots<s_{k}$. The value of $f_{\omega_{0}}$ at the critical point $x=\binom{0}{E}$, with $E=\operatorname{diag}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k}\right), \varepsilon_{i}= \pm 1$, is $f_{\omega_{0}}(x)=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{k} \varepsilon_{i} s_{i}$. Therefore, the number of critical values is equal to the cardinality of the set $\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{k} \varepsilon_{i} s_{i} \mid \varepsilon_{i}= \pm 1\right\}$. We observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\#\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{k} \varepsilon_{i} s_{i} \mid \varepsilon_{i}= \pm 1\right\} & =\#\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{k} \varepsilon_{i} s_{i}+s_{1}+\cdots+s_{k} \mid \varepsilon_{i}= \pm 1\right\} \\
& =\#\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{k} f_{i} s_{i} \mid f_{i} \in\{0,2\}\right\} \\
& =\#\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{k} g_{i} t_{i} \mid g_{i} \in\{0,1\}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $t_{i}=2 s_{i}$. The latter set has a cardinality greater than, or equal to, $1+\binom{k+1}{2}$ because it contains the following distinct elements:
$0, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}, t_{k}+t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}+t_{k-1}, t_{k}+t_{k-1}+t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}+t_{k-1}+t_{k-2}, \ldots, t_{k}+\cdots+t_{1}$.
This lower bound is reached in the case $s_{i}=i$, as a direct computation shows (see [11]).

## 3. LS category of Stiefel manifolds

3.1. Properties of LS category. The definition of the LS-category of a topological space, $X$, has been recalled in the introduction. We list here some basic properties of it, referring to [1] for more details.
(1) The LS-category is a homotopy type invariant.
(2) By definition, the cup length of a space $X$ is the largest integer $\ell$ such that there exists a product $x_{1} \cdots x_{\ell} \neq 0$, with $x_{i} \in \tilde{H}^{*}(X ; A)$. Here the coefficient ring $A$ may vary and the cup length may be considered for any coefficients. Then

$$
\operatorname{cup}(X) \leq \operatorname{cat} X .
$$

For instance, from Equation (15), it appears that the cup length of $H\left(X_{n, k} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ equals $k$.
(3) Let $X$ be an $(n-1)$-connected $C W$-complex, then

$$
\operatorname{cat} X \leq(\operatorname{dim} X) / n
$$

(4) If $M$ is a smooth compact manifold and $\operatorname{crit}(M)$ denotes the minimum number of critical points for any smooth function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then

$$
\operatorname{cat} M+1 \leq \operatorname{crit}(M)
$$

In fact, we shall use a refined version of property (4) which was observed in [16].
Theorem 3.1. Let $M$ be a connected compact manifold and let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function with isolated critical points. Then

$$
\text { cat } M+1 \leq \#\{\text { critical values of } f\} .
$$

This result is the reason we are interested in finding Morse functions with as few critical values as possible. In the opposite way, we know (see [13, Lemma 2.8, page 17]) that any Morse function with critical points, $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\ell}$, can be approached by a Morse function, $g$, with the same critical points and such that $g\left(x_{i}\right) \neq g\left(x_{j}\right)$ if $i \neq j$.
3.2. LS category of $\operatorname{Sp}(n)$. The case of $\operatorname{Sp}(n)$, which corresponds to $k=n$, was already studied in [11]. It was shown there that, when $\omega=D=\operatorname{diag}(1,2, \ldots, n)$ the height function $f_{\omega}$ has $\binom{n+1}{2}+1$ different critical values. Then, by Theorem 3.1,

$$
\operatorname{cat} \operatorname{Sp}(n) \leq\binom{ n+1}{2}
$$

3.3. The Stiefel manifolds $X_{n, k}$. In Proposition 2.12, we proved that, for any Morse height function on $X_{n, k}$, the cardinality of the set of different critical values is always greater than, or equal to, $\binom{k+1}{2}+1$. Theorem 3.1 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{cat} X_{n, k} \leq\binom{ k+1}{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall from [8, Proposition 2.1, Page 15], that $X_{n, k}$ is of dimension $2 k(2 n-k+$ $1)-k=4 n k-2 k^{2}+k$ and, of connectivity plus 1 equal to $4 n-4 k+3$. We want to
compare the bound given by the dimension and connectivity to (16). For that, we study the sign of the difference

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(n, k) & =\frac{4 n k-2 k^{2}+k}{4 n-4 k+3}-\frac{k(k+1)}{2} \\
& =\frac{4 n k-4 n k^{2}+4 k^{3}-3 k^{2}-k}{8 n-8 k+6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $0 \leq k \leq n$, the sign of $A(n, k)$ is the same as the sign of

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(n, k) & =4 k^{2}-k(4 n+3)+4 n-1 \\
& =4(k-1)(k-(n-(1 / 4))) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This quadratic polynomial in $k$ has two zeros, $k_{1}=1$ and $k_{2}=n-(1 / 4)$. Thus $B(n, k)$ is only positive when $k=n$ and this is the case of $\operatorname{Sp}(n)$ already studied in [11].
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