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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove that given an octonion algebra $A$ over a field $F$, a subring $E \subseteq F$ and an octonion $E$-algebra $R$ inside $A$, the set $S$ of polynomials $f(x) \in A[x]$ satisfying $f(R) \subseteq R$ is an octonion $(S \cap F[x])$-algebra, under the assumption that either $\frac{1}{2} \in R$ or $\operatorname{char}(F) \neq 0$, and $R$ contains the standard generators of $A$ and their inverses. The project was inspired by a question raised by Werner on whether integer-valued octonion polynomials over the reals form a nonassociative ring. We also prove that the polynomials $\frac{1}{p}\left(x^{p^{2}}-x\right)\left(x^{p}-x\right)$ for prime $p$ are integer-valued in the ring of polynomials $A[x]$ over any real nonsplit Cayley-Dickson algebra $A$.
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## 1. Introduction

Integer-valued polynomials have been the subject of research for a long time. Polya studied polynomials $f(x)$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ satisfying $f(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ and provided a generating set for their ring ([4]).

In [7], Werner addressed the situation of polynomials $f(x) \in \mathbb{H}[x]$ satisfying $f(R) \subseteq R$ where $R$ is a subring of $\mathbb{H}$ containing $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} i \oplus \mathbb{Z} j \oplus \mathbb{Z} i j$, and proved that they form a subring of $\mathbb{H}[x]$. In [8], Werner raised the question of whether the set of polynomials $f(x) \in \mathbb{O}[x]$ satisfying $f(R) \subseteq R$ where $R=\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} i \oplus \mathbb{Z} j \oplus \mathbb{Z}(i j) \oplus$ $\mathbb{Z} \ell \oplus \mathbb{Z} i \ell \oplus \mathbb{Z} j \ell \oplus \mathbb{Z}(i j) \ell$ is closed under multiplication.

We rephrase Werner's question in a more general setting, with a more specified structure: given a field $F$, a subring $E$, an octonion $F$-algebra $A$, and an octonion $E$-algebra $R$ inside $A$, write $\operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x])$ for the set of polynomials $f(x) \in A[x]$

[^0] We answer this question affirmatively, under the assumption that either $\frac{1}{2} \in R$ or $\operatorname{char}(F) \neq 0$, and $R$ contains the standard generators of $A$ and their inverses. We also prove that for any prime integer $p$, the polynomial $\frac{1}{p}\left(x^{p^{2}}-x\right)\left(x^{p}-x\right)$ is in $\operatorname{Sub}_{R}(\mathbb{O}[x])$ where $R$ is the octonion $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra inside $\mathbb{O}$ generated by the standard generators $i, j, \ell$ of $\mathbb{O}$. This is in fact proven in a more general setting that addresses the entire family of real nonsplit Cayley-Dickson algebras.

## 2. Preliminaries

Given a field $F$ of $\operatorname{char}(F) \neq 2$, an octonion algebra $A$ over $F$ is an algebra admitting the structure $A=Q \oplus Q \ell$ where $Q$ is a quaternion $F$-algebra, and

$$
(q+r \ell)(s+t \ell)=q s+\bar{t} r \gamma+(r \bar{s}+t q) \ell
$$

for any $q, r, s, t \in Q$ and a fixed $\gamma \in F^{\times}$and $\bar{z} \mapsto z$ is the canonical (symplectic) involution on $Q$. The quaternion algebra $Q$ in turn is of the form

$$
Q=F\left\langle i, j: i^{2}=\alpha, j^{2}=\beta, i j+j i=0\right\rangle,
$$

for some $\alpha, \beta \in F^{\times}$. The canonical involution on $Q$ maps $a+b i+c j+d i j$ to $a-b i-c j-d i j$. This involution extends to $A$ by $\overline{r+s \ell}=\bar{r}-s \ell$. The trace map $\operatorname{Tr}: A \rightarrow F$ mapping $z$ to $z+\bar{z}$ is linear, and the norm map Norm : $A \rightarrow F$ mapping $z$ to $z \cdot \bar{z}$ is quadratic. Each $z \in A$ then satisfies $z^{2}-\operatorname{Tr}(z) z+\operatorname{Norm}(z)=0$. The algebra $A$ is a composition algebra, which means that the norm map is multiplicative, i.e., $\operatorname{Norm}\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Norm}\left(z_{1}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Norm}\left(z_{2}\right)$. The algebra $A$ is a division algebra if and only if its norm map is anisotropic, i.e., for each nonzero element $z \in A, \operatorname{Norm}(z) \neq 0$.

The ring of (central) polynomials $A[x]$ is defined to be $A \otimes_{F} F[x]$, which means that the indeterminate $x$ is in the center. Despite this fact, a polynomial $f(x)=$ $c_{n} x^{n}+\cdots+c_{1} x+c_{0} \in A[x]$ decomposes as $f(x)=g(x)(x-\lambda)$ if and if $c_{n} \lambda^{n}+$ $\cdots+c_{1} \lambda+c_{0}=0$, and thus we define the substitution map $S_{\lambda}: A[x] \rightarrow A$ by $c_{n} x^{n}+\cdots+c_{1} x+c_{0} \mapsto c_{n} \lambda^{n}+\cdots+c_{1} \lambda+c_{0}$. This is why these polynomials are often called "left polynomials". The canonical involution extends from $A$ to $A[x]$ by setting $\bar{x}=x$, and thus $A[x]$ is an octonion $F[x]$-algebra.

The notion of octonion algebras extends to algebras over rings ([3, Section 4]): a non-associative algebra $A$ over a commutative ring $R$ is an octonion algebra if it is finitely generated projective of rank 8 as an $R$-module, contains an identity element and admits a norm, i.e., a quadratic form Norm : $A \rightarrow R$ uniquely determined by the following two conditions:
(i) Norm is non-singular, so its induced symmetric bilinear form $B(x, y)=$ $\operatorname{Norm}(x+y)-\operatorname{Norm}(x)-\operatorname{Norm}(y)$ defines a linear isomorphism from the $R$-module $A$ onto its dual $A^{*}$ by the assignment $x \mapsto B(x,-)$.
(ii) $\operatorname{Norm}$ permits composition, i.e., $\operatorname{Norm}(x y)=\operatorname{Norm}(x) \cdot \operatorname{Norm}(y)$.

For further reading on octonion algebras over fields and rings see also [9], [2], [6].

## 3. General Fields and fields of characteristic not 2

Lemma 3.1. Let $F$ be a field, $E$ a subring of $F, A$ an octonion $F$-algebra and $R$ an octonion E-algebra inside $A$. Let $f(x) \in \operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x])$ and let $u$ be a unit in $R$ of $\operatorname{Tr}(u)=0$. Then the polynomial $h(x)=f(x) \cdot u$ satisfies $h(\lambda)=f\left(u \lambda u^{-1}\right) u$ for any $\lambda \in A$, and thus $h \in \operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x])$ as well.
Proof. Write $f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{n} x^{n}$. Then $h(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{n} u x^{n}$. Let $\lambda \in A$. Since $\operatorname{Tr}(u)=0$, we have $u^{2} \in F^{\times}$, and thus the Moufang identity gives $((a u) b) u^{-1}=$ $a\left(u b u^{-1}\right)$ for any $a, b \in A$. So $h(\lambda) u^{-1}=\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(a_{n} u\right) \lambda^{n}\right) u^{-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{n}\left(u \lambda^{n} u^{-1}\right)=$ $\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{n}\left(u \lambda u^{-1}\right)^{n}=f\left(u \lambda u^{-1}\right)$. Therefore, if $\lambda \in R$, then since $u$ and $u^{-1}$ are in $R$ and given that $f(R) \subseteq R$, we get $h(R) \subseteq R$.

Corollary 3.2. As an immediate result of Lemma 3.1 we conclude that if we assume in addition that $R$ contains the standard generators of $A$ and their inverses, then $\operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x])$ is a right $R$-module.
Proposition 3.3. Let $F$ be a field of $\operatorname{char}(F) \neq 2, E$ a subring of $F, A$ an octonion $F$-algebra and $R$ an octonion E-algebra inside $A$ containing the standard generators $i, j, \ell$ of $A$, their inverses, and $\frac{1}{2}$. Then every polynomial $f(x) \in \operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x])$ decomposes as $f(x)=f_{0}(x)+f_{1}(x) i+f_{2}(x) j+f_{3}(x) i j+f_{4}(x) \ell+f_{5}(x)(i \ell)+f_{6}(x)(j \ell)+$ $f_{7}((i j) \ell)$ where $f_{0}(x), \ldots, f_{7}(x)$ are polynomials in $\operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x]) \cap F[x]$.

Proof. The decomposition is obvious. It is left to explain why $f_{m}(x)(R) \subseteq R$ for $m=0, \ldots, 7$. By Lemma 3.1, $g(x)=((f(x) i) j)(i j)^{-1}$ satisfies $g(R) \subseteq R$, and so does $h(x)=\frac{1}{2}(g(x)+f(x))$, which is equal to $f_{0}(x)+f_{1}(x) i+f_{2}(x) j+f_{3}(x)(i j)$. Now, $\varphi(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(h(x)+((h(x) i) \ell)(i \ell)^{-1}\right)=f_{0}(x)+f_{1}(x) i$ satisfies $\varphi(R) \subseteq R$ too. Finally $\frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi(x)+((\varphi(x) j) \ell)(j \ell)^{-1}\right)=f_{0}(x)$ satisfies $f_{0}(R) \subseteq R$, and so also $f_{1}(x)=$ $\left(\varphi(x)-f_{0}(x)\right) i^{-1}$ satisfies $f_{1}(R) \subseteq R$. A similar argument applies for the rest of the polynomials in the decomposition.

Remark 3.4. Note that Proposition 3.3 is false without assuming $\frac{1}{2} \in R$. Take for example $F=\mathbb{R}, E=\mathbb{Z}, A=\mathbb{O}$ and $R$ the octonion $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra inside $\mathbb{O}$ generated by $i, j, \ell$. Then by [8, Lemma 31], $f(x)=\frac{1}{2}(1+i+j+i j+\ell+i \ell+j \ell+(i j) \ell)\left(x^{2}-x\right) \in$ $\operatorname{Sub}_{R}(\mathbb{O}[x])$. However, $f_{0}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}-x\right)$ is not in $\operatorname{Sub}_{R}(\mathbb{O}[x])$ for $\frac{1}{2}\left(i^{2}-i\right)=-\frac{1}{2}(1+i)$.
Lemma 3.5. Let $F$ be a field, $E$ a subring of $F, A$ an octonion $F$-algebra and $R$ an octonion E-algebra inside $A$. Let $f(x) \in \operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x])$ and $g(x) \in \operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x]) \cap F[x]$. Then $f(x) \cdot g(x) \in \operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x])$. Moreover, if $f(x)$ is also in $F[x]$, then $f(x) \cdot g(x) \in$ $\operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x]) \cap F[x]$, and as a result, $\operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x]) \cap F[x]$ is a commutative ring.

Proof. Write $f(x)=a_{n} x^{n}+\cdots+a_{0}$ and $g(x)=b_{m} x^{m}+\cdots+b_{0}$, and $h(x)=f(x) g(x)$. Then $h(\lambda)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m}\left(a_{k} b_{r}\right) \lambda^{k+\ell}$ for $\lambda \in A$, but since the $b_{r}$ 's are central and for each $k$, the elements $a_{k}$ and $\lambda$ live in an associative subalgebra of $A$, we have $h(\lambda)=$ $\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} g(\lambda) \lambda^{k}=f(\lambda) g(\lambda)$. Therefore, $h(R) \subseteq R$, because $f(R), g(R) \subseteq R$. Hence, $f(x) \cdot g(x) \in \operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x])$. If we assume in addition that $f(x) \in F[x]$, then all the coefficients of $f(x) \in g(x)$ are in $F[x]$, and thus $f(x) \cdot g(x) \in \operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x]) \cap F[x]$. As a result, $\operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x]) \cap F[x]$ is closed under multiplication, and since it is commutative and clearly closed under addition, it is a commutative ring.

Theorem 3.6. Let $F$ be a field of $\operatorname{char}(F) \neq 2, E$ a subring of $F, A$ an octonion $F$ algebra and $R$ an octonion $E$-algebra inside $A$ containing the standard generators $i, j, \ell$ of $A$, their inverses, and $\frac{1}{2}$. Write $S=\operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x])$ and $C=S \cap F[x]$. Then $S$ is an octonion C-algebra.

Proof. It is a free $C$-module of rank 8 (hence, projective) by Proposition 3.3:

$$
S=C \oplus C i \oplus C j \oplus C i j \oplus C \ell \oplus C i \ell \oplus C j \ell \oplus C(i j) \ell .
$$

The set $S$ is clearly closed under addition. Consider two polynomials $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ in the set. Then $g(x)=g_{0}(x)+\cdots+g_{7}(x)((i j) \ell)$ as in Proposition 3.3. Now, $f(x) g(x)=f(x) g_{0}(x)+\cdots+f(x)((i j) \ell) g_{7}(x)$. Since the polynomials $f(x) i, \ldots, f(x)((i j) \ell)$ are in $S$ by Lemma 3.1, and multiplying a polynomial from $S$ by a polynomial from $S$ with central coefficients is in $S$ by Lemma 3.5, we conclude that $f(x) g(x) \in S$, i.e., $S$ is closed under multiplication.

Now, since in the decomposition $f(x)=f_{0}(x)+\cdots+f_{7}(x)(i j) \ell$, the polynomials $f_{0}(x), \ldots, f_{7}(x)$ are in $C$, and $S$ is closed under multiplication, we conclude that $\overline{f(x)}=f_{0}(x)-\cdots-f_{7}(x)(i j) \ell$ is also in $S$, i.e., $S$ is closed under the canonical involution of $A[x]$. Moreover, $\operatorname{Norm}(f(x))=f(x) \cdot \overline{f(x)}$ is thus in $S$, and since its coefficients live in $F, \operatorname{Norm}(f(x)) \in C$. Therefore $S$ has a norm form Norm : $S \rightarrow C$ mapping $f(x) \mapsto \operatorname{Norm}(f(x))=f(x) \cdot \overline{f(x)}$, which allows composition by the embedding of $S$ into $A \otimes F(x)$. The underlying symmetric bilinear form $B(x, y)=\operatorname{Norm}(x+y)-\operatorname{Norm}(x)-\operatorname{Norm}(y)$ gives rise to the linear transformation from $S$ to $S^{*}$ by the assignment $x \mapsto B(x,-)$, whose inverse maps each $\varphi \in S^{*}$ to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{2} \varphi(1) \cdot 1-\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \varphi(i) \cdot i-\frac{1}{2 \beta} \varphi(j) \cdot j+\frac{1}{2 \alpha \beta} \varphi(i j) \cdot i j-\frac{1}{2 \gamma} \varphi(\ell) \cdot \ell+\frac{1}{2 \alpha \gamma} \varphi(i \ell) \cdot i \ell \\
+\frac{1}{2 \beta \gamma} \varphi(j \ell) \cdot j \ell-\frac{1}{2 \alpha \beta \gamma} \varphi((i j) \ell) \cdot(i j) \ell,
\end{array}
$$

and so $S$ is an octonion $C$-algebra.
Corollary 3.7. Let $F$ be a field of $\operatorname{char}(F)=p \geq 3, E$ a subring of $F, A$ an octonion $F$-algebra and $R$ an octonion $E$-algebra inside $A$ containing the standard
generators $i, j, \ell$ of $A$ and their inverses. Write $S=\operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x])$ and $C=S \cap F[x]$. Then $S$ is an octonion C-algebra, and a free C-module or rank 8.

Proof. One only needs to stress that $R$ contains the inverse of 2 in this case, because $R$ is unital and thus $\mathbb{F}_{p} \subseteq R$, and $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ contains the inverse of 2 .

## 4. Fields of characteristic 2

If we want to include the possibility of $\operatorname{char}(F)=2$, the quaternion algebra presentation takes a different form

$$
Q=F\left\langle i, j: i^{2}+i=\alpha, j^{2}=\beta, i j+j i=j\right\rangle
$$

for some $\alpha \in F$ and $\beta \in F^{\times}$. The canonical involution now maps $a+b i+c j+d i j$ to $a+b+b i+c j+d i j$. The octonion algebra is again defined as $A=Q \oplus Q \ell$ with $(q+r \ell)(s+t \ell)=q s+\bar{t} r \gamma+(r \bar{s}+t q) \ell$ for any $q, r, s, t \in Q$ and a fixed $\gamma \in F^{\times}$. This involution extends to $A$ by $\overline{r+s \ell}=\bar{r}+s \ell$, giving rise to the trace and norm maps, which satisfy the same properties as before. Note that Lemmas 3.1 and 3.5 hold true in any characteristic.

Proposition 4.1. Let $F$ be a field of $\operatorname{char}(F)=2, E$ a subring of $F, A$ an octonion $F$-algebra and $R$ an octonion E-algebra inside $A$ containing the standard generators $i, j, \ell$ of $A$ and their inverses. Then every polynomial $f(x) \in \operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x])$ decomposes as $f(x)=f_{0}(x)+f_{1}(x) i+f_{2}(x) j+f_{3}(x) i j+f_{4}(x) \ell+f_{5}(x)(i \ell)+f_{6}(x)(j \ell)+$ $f_{7}((i j) \ell)$ where $f_{0}(x), \ldots, f_{7}(x)$ are polynomials in $\operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x]) \cap F[x]$.

Proof. The decomposition is obvious. It is left to explain why $f_{m}(x)(R) \subseteq R$ for $m=0, \ldots, 7$. By Lemma 3.1, $g(x)=((f(x) j) \ell)(j \ell)^{-1}$ satisfies $g(R) \subseteq R$, and so does $h(x)=g(x)+f(x)$, which is equal to $f_{1}(x)+f_{3}(x) j+f_{5}(x) \ell+f_{7}(x) j \ell$. Now, $\varphi(x)=h(x)+((h(x)(i j)) \ell)((i j) \ell)^{-1}=f_{3}(x)+f_{7}(x) \ell$ satisfies $\varphi(R) \subseteq R$ too. Finally $\left.\varphi(x)+((\varphi(x) j)(i \ell))(j(i \ell))^{-1}\right)=f_{7}(x)$ satisfies $f_{7}(R) \subseteq R$. A similar argument applies for the rest of the polynomials in the decomposition.

Then the following analogue of Theorem 3.6 holds true with the same proof:
Theorem 4.2. Let $F$ be a field of $\operatorname{char}(F)=2$, $E$ a subring of $F, A$ an octonion $F$ algebra and $R$ an octonion E-algebra inside $A$ containing the standard generators $i, j, \ell$ of $A$ and their inverses. Write $S=\operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x])$ and $C=S \cap F[x]$. Then $S$ is an octonion $C$-algebra.

## 5. Examples

- When $F=\mathbb{F}_{p}(r, s, t)$ is the function field in three algebraically independent variables over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ for a prime integer $p, E=\mathbb{F}_{p}(s, t)[r], A=Q \oplus Q \ell$ where $\ell^{2}=t$ and $Q$ is generated over $F$ by $i$ and $j$ where $j^{2}=s$ and $\mathbb{F}_{p}(i) / \mathbb{F}_{p}$ is the unique quadratic field extension of $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, and $R$ is the octonion $E$-algebra generated by $i, j, \ell$, the set $S=\operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x])$ is an octonion $(S \cap F[x])$-algebra.
- When $F=\mathbb{Q}_{p}(s, t)$ is the function field in two algebraically independent variables over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ for an odd prime $p, E=\mathbb{Z}_{p}(s, t), A=Q \oplus Q \ell$ where $\ell^{2}=t$ and $Q$ is generated over $F$ by $i$ and $j$ where $j^{2}=s$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{p}(i) / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ is the unique quadratic field extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ which is unramified with respect to the $p$-adic valuation, and $R$ is the octonion $E$-algebra generated by $i, j, \ell$, the set $S=\operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x])$ is an octonion $(S \cap F[x])$-algebra. Note that 2 is invertible in $R$ in this case, and therefore Theorem 3.6 applies.
- When $F=\mathbb{Q}, E=\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right], A=\mathbb{O}$ and $R$ is the octonion $E$-algebra generated by the standard generators of $\mathbb{O}, S=\operatorname{Sub}_{R}(A[x])$ is an octonion $(S \cap F[x])$ algebra.


## 6. Cayley-Dickson Algebras

Given a field $F$, an $F$-algebra $A$ with involution $\sigma$ and an element $\delta \in F^{\times}$, the Cayley-Dickson doubling ( $A, \sigma, \delta$ ) gives an algebra $B=A \oplus A \ell$ whose dimension over $F$ is twice the dimension of $A$, and its multiplication is defined by

$$
(q+r \ell)(s+t \ell)=q s+\sigma(t) r \delta+(r \sigma(s)+t q) \ell
$$

for any $q, r, s, t \in A$. The involution $\sigma$ extends to $B$ by $\sigma(q+r \ell)=\sigma(q)-r \ell$.
Starting with a separable quadratic extension $K / F$ with the nontrivial automorphism as the involution, one step would give rise to a quaternion algebra, and another step would give an octonion algebra. Algebras that are obtained by this process are called Cayley-Dickson algebras. In particular, such algebras are power-associative (see [5]). Moreover, every element $\lambda$ in a Cayley-Dickson algebra $A$ with involution $\sigma$ over $F$ satisfies $\lambda^{2}-\operatorname{Tr}(\lambda) \cdot \lambda+\operatorname{Norm}(\lambda)=0$ where $\operatorname{Tr}(\lambda)=\lambda+\sigma(\lambda) \in F$ and $\operatorname{Norm}(\lambda)=\lambda \cdot \sigma(\lambda) \in F$.

In this section we focus on the Cayley-Dickson algebras obtained by repeating those steps with $\delta$ always being -1 , starting with the quadratic extension $\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R}$. We call these algebras "the real nonsplit Cayley-Dickson algebras", because their norm forms are the nonsplit quadratic Pfisre forms. The algebras $\mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{O}$ are among those algebras.

In what follows, let $A$ be a real nonsplit Cayley-Dickson algebra. This algebra has a natural $\mathbb{R}$-basis provided by the process. Let $R$ be the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module spanned by that basis. By the multiplication law, it is clear that $R$ is closed under multiplication. Our aim in this section is to prove that for any $\lambda \in R$, also $\frac{1}{p}\left(\lambda^{p^{2}}-\lambda\right)\left(\lambda^{p}-\lambda\right)$ is in $R$, thus extending this result from [7] that was stated for $\mathbb{H}$ only. The congruence $\alpha \equiv \beta(\bmod p)$ means that $\alpha-\beta \in p \cdot R$.

Lemma 6.1. Let $\lambda \in R$. Write $\lambda=y+z$ where $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\operatorname{Tr}(z)=0$. Then $\lambda^{p} \equiv y+z^{p}$ $(\bmod p)$ for any prime integer $p$.

Proof. Since $y$ commutes with $z$, we have $(y+z)^{p}=\sum_{n=0}^{p}\binom{p}{n} y^{n} z^{p-n}$. Since all the coefficients, except for the initial and final coefficients, are multiples of $p$, we have $\lambda^{p} \equiv y^{p}+z^{p}(\bmod p)$. Now, $y^{p} \equiv y(\bmod p)$ by Fermat's little theorem, and so $\lambda^{p} \equiv y+z^{p}(\bmod p)$.

Corollary 6.2. For any odd prime $p$, positive integer $n$ and $\lambda=y+z \in R$ where $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\operatorname{Tr}(z)=0, \lambda^{p^{n}} \equiv y+z^{p^{n}}(\bmod p)$.

Proof. By induction on $n$. Since $p$ is odd, $z^{p^{n}}=(-\operatorname{Norm}(z))^{\frac{p^{n}-1}{2}} z$, which means $\operatorname{Tr}\left(z^{p^{n}}\right)=0$, and so $\left(y+z^{p^{n}}\right)^{p} \equiv y+z^{p^{n+1}}(\bmod p)$.

Theorem 6.3. Let $p$ be an odd prime integer. Then $\left(\lambda^{p^{2}}-\lambda\right)\left(\lambda^{p}-\lambda\right) \in p \cdot R$ for any $\lambda \in R$.

Proof. Write $\lambda=y+z$ where $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\operatorname{Tr}(z)=0$. Then $\lambda^{p} \equiv y+z^{p}(\bmod d)$. By the previous corollary, $\lambda^{p^{2}}-\lambda \equiv z^{p^{2}}-z(\bmod p)$. If $p \nmid \operatorname{Norm}(z)$, then $z^{p^{2}}-z=$ $z \cdot\left(\left((-\operatorname{Norm}(z))^{\frac{p+1}{2}}\right)^{p-1}-1\right)$. Since $\operatorname{Norm}(z)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash p \mathbb{Z}$, by Fermat's little theorem we conclude that $\left((-\operatorname{Norm}(z))^{\frac{p+1}{2}}\right)^{p-1}-1 \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, and so $\lambda^{p^{2}}-\lambda \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Suppose now that $p \mid \operatorname{Norm}(z)$. Then $\left(\lambda^{p^{2}}-\lambda\right)\left(\lambda^{p}-\lambda\right) \equiv\left(z^{p^{2}}-z\right)\left(z^{p}-z\right)=$ $z^{p^{2}+p}-z^{p^{2}+1}-z^{p+1}+z^{2}$. Since the powers $p^{2}+p, p^{2}+1, p+1$ and 2 are all even integers, the latter is an integer multiple of $\operatorname{Norm}(z)$, and therefore a multiple of $p$. Consequently, $\left(\lambda^{p^{2}}-\lambda\right)\left(\lambda^{p}-\lambda\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ in all cases.

Theorem 6.4. For any $\lambda \in R$, we have $\left(\lambda^{4}-\lambda\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-\lambda\right) \in 2 \cdot R$.
Proof. Write $\lambda=y+z$ where $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\operatorname{Tr}(z)=0$. Then $\lambda^{2} \equiv y+z^{2}(\bmod 2)$. Since $z^{2}$ is also in $\mathbb{Z}$, we have $\left(y+z^{2}\right)^{2} \equiv y^{2}+z^{4}(\bmod 2)$. The latter is congruent to $y+z^{2}$ $(\bmod 2)$. Hence, $\lambda^{4} \equiv y+z^{2} \equiv \lambda^{2}(\bmod 2)$. $\operatorname{Now}\left(\lambda^{4}-\lambda\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-\lambda\right)=\lambda^{6}-\lambda^{5}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2}$, and $\lambda^{6}=\lambda^{2} \cdot \lambda^{4} \equiv \lambda^{2} \cdot \lambda^{2}=\lambda^{4} \equiv \lambda^{2}(\bmod 2)$ and $\lambda^{5}=\lambda \cdot \lambda^{4} \equiv \lambda \cdot \lambda^{2}=\lambda^{3}$, and so $\lambda^{6}-\lambda^{5}-\lambda^{3}+\lambda^{2} \equiv 2 \lambda^{2}-2 \lambda^{3} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. Consequently, $\left(\lambda^{4}-\lambda\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-\lambda\right) \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 2)$.

Corollary 6.5. Setting $R=\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} i \oplus \mathbb{Z} j \oplus \mathbb{Z} i j \oplus \mathbb{Z} \ell \oplus \mathbb{Z} i \ell \oplus \mathbb{Z} j \ell \oplus \mathbb{Z}(i j) \ell$, for any prime integer $p$, the polynomial $\frac{1}{p}\left(x^{p^{2}}-x\right)\left(x^{p}-x\right)$ is in $\operatorname{Sub}_{R}(\mathbb{O}[x])$.

As already mentioned, in addition to the polynomials of the form $\frac{1}{p}\left(x^{p^{2}}-x\right)\left(x^{p}-\right.$ $x$ ), by [8, Lemma 31], we also have $\frac{1}{2}(1+i+j+i j+\ell+i \ell+j \ell+(i j) \ell)\left(x^{2}-x\right)$ in $\operatorname{Sub}_{R}(\mathbb{O}[x])$. Apparently, this extends to arbitrary Cayley-Dickson algebras too.

Theorem 6.6. Let A be a real nonsplit Cayley-Dickson algebra of degree $2^{n},\left\{s_{m}\right.$ : $\left.1 \leq m \leq 2^{n}\right\}$ its natural $\mathbb{R}$-basis, and $R=\oplus_{m=1}^{2^{n}} \mathbb{Z} s_{m}$. Then for any $\lambda \in R$, we have $\left(\sum_{m=1}^{2^{n}} s_{m}\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-\lambda\right) \in 2 \cdot R$.

Proof. The set $Q_{n}=\left\{s_{m},-s_{m}: 1 \leq m \leq 2^{n}\right\}$ studied in [1] forms a loop, and rightmultiplication by any basis element induces a permutation on $Q_{n}$. Consequently, right-multiplication by a basis element acts transitively on the mod 2 classes of $Q_{n}$, and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{m=1}^{2^{n}} s_{m}\right) s_{t} \equiv \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n}} s_{m} \quad(\bmod 2), \quad \text { for any } t \in\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{n}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $\left(\sum_{m=1}^{2^{n}} a_{m} s_{m}\right)^{2}=a_{1}^{2}+\sum_{m=2}^{2^{n}}\left(a_{m}^{2} s_{m}^{2}+2 a_{1} a_{m} s_{m}\right)$, for $s_{1}=1$ and all the other basis elements anti-commute in pairs, and so $\left(\sum_{m=1}^{2^{n}} a_{m} s_{m}\right)^{2} \equiv \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n}} a_{m}^{2} s_{m}^{2} \equiv$ $\sum_{m=1}^{2^{n}} a_{m}^{2} \equiv \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n}} a_{m}(\bmod 2)$. Write $\lambda=\sum_{m=1}^{2^{n}} a_{m} s_{m}$. Then $\lambda^{2} \equiv \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n}} a_{m}$ $(\bmod 2)$, and so $\left(\sum_{m=1}^{2^{n}} s_{n}\right) \lambda^{2} \equiv \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n}}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{2^{n}} a_{t}\right) s_{m}(\bmod 2)$. By (1) we conclude that $\left(\sum_{m=1}^{2^{n}} s_{m}\right) \lambda \equiv \sum_{m=1}^{2^{m}}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{2^{n}} s_{t}\right) a_{m} \equiv\left(\sum_{m=1}^{2^{n}} s_{m}\right) \lambda^{2}(\bmod 2)$. Therefore, $\left(\sum_{m=1}^{2^{n}} s_{n}\right)\left(\lambda^{2}-\right.$ $\lambda) \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$.
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