

Oberwolfach Preprints



OWP 2008 - 19

CATALIN BADEA, SOPHIE GRIVAUX AND
VLADIMIR MÜLLER

Epsilon-hypercyclic Operators

Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach gGmbH
Oberwolfach Preprints (OWP) ISSN 1864-7596

Oberwolfach Preprints (OWP)

Starting in 2007, the MFO publishes a preprint series which mainly contains research results related to a longer stay in Oberwolfach. In particular, this concerns the Research in Pairs-Programme (RiP) and the Oberwolfach-Leibniz-Fellows (OWLF), but this can also include an Oberwolfach Lecture, for example.

A preprint can have a size from 1 - 200 pages, and the MFO will publish it on its website as well as by hard copy. Every RiP group or Oberwolfach-Leibniz-Fellow may receive on request 30 free hard copies (DIN A4, black and white copy) by surface mail.

Of course, the full copy right is left to the authors. The MFO only needs the right to publish it on its website *www.mfo.de* as a documentation of the research work done at the MFO, which you are accepting by sending us your file.

In case of interest, please send a **pdf file** of your preprint by email to *rip@mfo.de* or *owlf@mfo.de*, respectively. The file should be sent to the MFO within 12 months after your stay as RiP or OWLF at the MFO.

There are no requirements for the format of the preprint, except that the introduction should contain a short appreciation and that the paper size (respectively format) should be DIN A4, "letter" or "article".

On the front page of the hard copies, which contains the logo of the MFO, title and authors, we shall add a running number (20XX - XX).

We cordially invite the researchers within the RiP or OWLF programme to make use of this offer and would like to thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Imprint:

Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach gGmbH (MFO)
Schwarzwaldstrasse 9-11
77709 Oberwolfach-Walke
Germany

Tel +49 7834 979 50
Fax +49 7834 979 55
Email admin@mfo.de
URL www.mfo.de

The Oberwolfach Preprints (OWP, ISSN 1864-7596) are published by the MFO.
Copyright of the content is hold by the authors.

EPSILON-HYPERCYCLIC OPERATORS

CATALIN BADEA, SOPHIE GRIVAUX, AND VLADIMIR MÜLLER

ABSTRACT. For each fixed number ε in $(0, 1)$ we construct a bounded linear operator on the Banach space ℓ_1 having a certain orbit which intersects every cone of aperture ε , but with every orbit avoiding a certain ball of radius d , for every $d > 0$. This answers a question from [8]. On the other hand, if T is an operator on the Banach space X such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a point in X whose orbit under the action of T meets every cone of aperture ε , then T has a dense orbit.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to study some (variations of) density properties of orbits of bounded linear operators acting on a real or complex separable Banach space X . Using a Functional Analysis terminology, an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to be *hypercyclic* if there exists a vector $x \in X$ such that the orbit $\mathcal{O}rb(x, T) = \{T^n x ; n \geq 0\}$ of x under the action of T is dense in X . A vector x with dense orbit is called a *hypercyclic* vector for T .

While the first examples of Banach and Hilbert space hypercyclic operators are relatively recent ([10]), there is now an important literature on hypercyclicity properties and the dynamics of bounded linear operators. We refer the reader to the recent book [1] for more on this topic. It is natural in this context to investigate which properties of the orbit of a vector, weaker than denseness, imply either that the orbit itself is in fact dense, or that the operator is hypercyclic (i.e. some other orbit is dense in X). Let us mention here some of the results in this direction:

– if the orbit $\mathcal{O}rb(x, T)$ is somewhere dense in X , then it is dense in X [3]. This implies in particular that if the union of finitely many orbits $\mathcal{O}rb(x_1, T)$, $\mathcal{O}rb(x_2, T)$, ..., $\mathcal{O}rb(x_n, T)$ is dense in X , then one of these orbits must already be dense. This result was proved directly in [5] and [9].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A16, 47B37.

Key words and phrases. Hypercyclic operators, bilateral weighted shifts.

This research was done at the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach during a stay within the Research in Pairs Programme from February 10 - February 23, 2008. We would like to thank the MFO for excellent working conditions. The first two authors were also partially supported by ANR-Projet Blanc DYNOP. The third author was also partially supported by grant No. 201/06/0128 of GA CR.

– suppose that for some positive number d the orbit of $x \in X$ meets every open ball $B(y, d)$ of radius d . Then $\text{Orb}(x, T)$ is not necessarily dense in X , but T must be hypercyclic [6].

– if x is a frequently hypercyclic vector for T , then $T \oplus T$ must be hypercyclic on $X \oplus X$ [7].

Recall that x is a frequently hypercyclic vector for T if for every non empty open subset U of X , the set of positive integers n such that $T^n x \in U$ has positive lower density; in other words, for every non empty open subset U of X , there exists a sequence $(n_k)_{k \geq 0}$ with $n_k = O(k)$ such that $T^{n_k} x \in U$.

On the other hand, some conditions on the orbit, which may look strong enough at first sight, do not imply that the operator is hypercyclic. For instance:

– there exist operators which are weakly hypercyclic, i.e. for which there exists a vector x whose orbit is weakly dense in X , but still are not hypercyclic: examples of weighted shifts having this property are given in [4].

– for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a bounded operator on the space $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ which has the following property: there exists a vector $x \in \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ such that for every non empty open subset U of $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$, there exists a sequence $(n_k)_{k \geq 0}$ with $n_k = O(k^{1+\varepsilon})$ such that $T^{n_k} x \in U$, but $T \oplus T$ is not hypercyclic [2]. This shows that the result of [7] that every frequently hypercyclic operator on a Banach space satisfies the Hypercyclicity Criterion is in a sense optimal.

We investigate in this paper a weaker version of Feldman’s result [6] already mentioned above: it states that if given a positive ε there exists a vector x such that for every $y \in X$ $\|T^n x - y\| \leq \varepsilon$ for some integer n , then T is hypercyclic.

Definition 1.1. Let ε be a number in $(0, 1)$. If x is a vector of X , we say that x is ε -hypercyclic if for every non zero vector $y \in X$ there exists an integer n such that $\|T^n x - y\| \leq \varepsilon \|y\|$. The operator T is ε -hypercyclic if it admits an ε -hypercyclic vector.

In particular, the orbit of x must intersect every cone of a fixed aperture. This is in a sense a “scaled” version of the ε -density considered in Feldman’s work. It is obviously weaker than Feldman’s condition, and in a sense more natural in this context. The following question was proposed in [8]:

Question 1.2. *Suppose that T is a bounded operator on X which admits for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ an ε -hypercyclic vector. Is it true that T is hypercyclic?*

The restriction $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ comes from the fact the zero vector is trivially 1-hypercyclic for any operator T .

The main result of this paper gives a negative answer to Question 1.2:

Theorem 1.3. *For every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exists an ε -hypercyclic operator on the space $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ which is not hypercyclic.*

Still:

Theorem 1.4. *If T is a bounded operator on X which is ε -hypercyclic for every $\varepsilon > 0$, then T must be hypercyclic.*

We therefore obtain, together with Feldman's result, the geometric statement stated in the abstract.

Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 are proved in the next section. Surprisingly enough, our construction for the proof of Theorem 1.3 really uses the ℓ_1 -norm, and we are unable to adapt it to the Hilbertian setting. Thus the following question is still open:

Question 1.5. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and suppose that $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is an ε -hypercyclic operator acting on a Hilbert space H . Must T be hypercyclic?*

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

2.1. Outline of the proof of Theorem 1.3. Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and a positive integer a such that $\varepsilon > 2^{-a+1}$. Let X be the space $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ endowed with the canonical basis $(e_n)_{n \geq 0}$. Our operator T will act on the ℓ_1 -direct sum $Y = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} X$ of countably many copies of $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$.

Let $(y^{(k)})_{k \geq 1}$ be a sequence of vectors of Y which has the following properties:

- (i) the set $\{y^{(k)} ; k \geq 1\}$ is dense in Y ;
- (ii) each $y^{(k)} \in Y$ can be written as a sequence $y^{(k)} = (y_1^{(k)}, \dots, y_{k-1}^{(k)}, 0, \dots)$, where each $y_j^{(k)}$ is a vector of $X = \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ which is in the linear span of the vectors $e_i, i \leq k-1$;
- (iii) $2^{-k} \leq \|y_j^{(k)}\|$ for every $j = 0, \dots, k-1$, and $\|y^{(k)}\| \leq \frac{2^k}{1+2^{-a}}$.

For each $k \geq 1$ and each $j \leq k-1$, define $z_j^{(k)} = y_j^{(k)} + 2^{-a}\|y_j^{(k)}\|e_{k^2+j}$: it is a perturbation of the vector $y_j^{(k)}$ obtained by adding to it a (not too small) multiple of the basis vector e_{k^2+j} , which is far away from the support of $y_j^{(k)}$. We have $2^{-k} \leq \|z_j^{(k)}\|$ for each $j \leq k-1$. We then define $z^{(k)} \in Y$ by $z^{(k)} = (z_0^{(k)}, \dots, z_{k-1}^{(k)}, 0, \dots)$. Clearly $\|z^{(k)}\| \leq 2^k$.

Set $n_0 = n'_0 = 0$. Our goal is to construct by induction a sequence $(S_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of bounded operators on X and two strictly increasing sequences of positive integers $(n_k)_{k \geq 1}$ and $(n'_k)_{k \geq 1}$ such that $n'_{k-1} \leq n'_{k-1} + n_{k-1} \leq n_k < n_k + k < n'_k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and the six following properties hold true:

- (a) each operator S_j is bounded and invertible with $\|S_j^{-1}\| \leq 2$;
- (b) $S_j e_0 = e_0$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (c) $\|S_j S_{j-1} \dots S_1\| \leq 2^{a+1}$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (d) $S_{n'_k} \dots S_2 S_1 = I$ (the identity operator) for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (e) $S_j = I$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and every j such that $n_k - n_{k-1} < j \leq n_k + k$;
- (f) $\|S_{n_k} \dots S_j S_{j+1} z_j^{(k)}\| \leq 2^{-2k-a}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $j = 0, \dots, k-1$.

Suppose that (n_k) , (n'_k) and (S_j) have been constructed so as to satisfy properties (a) to (f). Consider on Y the operator T which is the backward shift with operator-weights S_j^{-1} : for any sequence $(v_j)_{j \geq 0}$ of Y ,

$$T(v_0, v_1, \dots) = (S_1^{-1}v_1, S_2^{-1}v_2, \dots).$$

Clearly T is bounded on Y with $\|T\| \leq 2$ by (a). For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$T^n(v_0, v_1, \dots) = (S_1^{-1} \dots S_n^{-1}v_n, S_2^{-1} \dots S_{n+1}^{-1}v_{n+1}, \dots, S_{j+1}^{-1} \dots S_{n+j}^{-1}v_{n+j}, \dots).$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ define $x^{(k)} \in Y$ by

$$x^{(k)} = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n_k}, S_{n_k} \dots S_1 z_0^{(k)}, S_{n_k} \dots S_2 z_1^{(k)}, \dots, S_{n_k} \dots S_k z_{k-1}^{(k)}, 0, \dots).$$

By (f), we have $\|x^{(k)}\| \leq 2^{-2k-a} \|z^{(k)}\| \leq 2^{-k-a}$, and thus the vector

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{(k)}$$

belongs to Y .

2.2. The vector x is ε -hypercyclic for T . Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that by (e) we can rewrite $x^{(k)}$ as

$$x^{(k)} = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n_k}, S_{n_k} \dots S_1 z_0^{(k)}, S_{n_k+1} \dots S_2 z_1^{(k)}, \dots, S_{n_k+k-1} \dots S_k z_{k-1}^{(k)}, 0, \dots),$$

and so $T^{n_k} x^{(k)} = z^{(k)}$. Clearly $T^{n_k} x^{(m)} = 0$ for $m < k$, and for $m > k$ we have $T^{n_k} x^{(m)} = x^{(m)}$ by (e) again. Hence

$$\|T^{n_k} x - z^{(k)}\| = \left\| \left(\sum_{m=k+1}^{\infty} x^{(m)} \right) \right\| \leq \sum_{m=k+1}^{\infty} 2^{-m-a} = 2^{-k-a}.$$

Let v be any non zero vector of Y . Choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|v - y^{(k)}\| < \varepsilon' \|v\|$, where $\varepsilon' > 0$ satisfies $(1 + \varepsilon')2^{1-a} + \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$. Then $\|y^{(k)}\| < \|v\|(1 + \varepsilon')$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{n_k} x - v\| &\leq \|T^{n_k} x - z^{(k)}\| + \|z^{(k)} - y^{(k)}\| + \|y^{(k)} - v\| \\ &\leq 2^{-k-a} + 2^{-a} \|y^{(k)}\| + \varepsilon' \|v\| \leq \|y^{(k)}\| 2^{-a+1} + \varepsilon' \|v\| \\ &\leq \|v\| ((1 + \varepsilon')2^{-a+1} + \varepsilon') \leq \varepsilon \|v\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence x is an ε -hypercyclic vector for T .

2.3. The operator T is not hypercyclic on Y . Suppose on the contrary that there is a vector $v = (v_0, v_1, \dots) \in Y$ hypercyclic for T . Then there exists an increasing sequence $(m_j)_{j \geq 0}$ of integers such that the quantity $\|T^{m_j}v - (e_0, 0, \dots)\|$ tends to zero as j tends to infinity. In particular, reading this on the first coordinate yields that $\|S_1^{-1}S_2^{-1} \dots S_{m_j}^{-1}v_{m_j} - e_0\|$ tends to zero. Here assumptions (b) and (c) come into play:

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{m_j} - e_0\| &= \|v_{m_j} - S_{m_j} \dots S_1 e_0\| \leq \|S_{m_j} \dots S_1\| \cdot \|S_1^{-1} \dots S_{m_j}^{-1} v_{m_j} - e_0\| \\ &\leq 2^{a+1} \|S_1^{-1} \dots S_{m_j}^{-1} v_{m_j} - e_0\| \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|v_{m_j} - e_0\|$ tends to zero, thus $\|v_{m_j}\|$ tends to 1, which contradicts the assumption that v belongs to Y .

2.4. Construction of the sequences $(n_k)_{k \geq 0}$, $(n'_k)_{k \geq 0}$ and $(S_j)_{j \geq 1}$. Recall that we set formally $n_0 = n'_0 = 0$. Define the numbers n_k, n'_k inductively by setting

$$n_k = n'_{k-1} + 4k + 2a + 1 + n_{k-1}$$

and

$$n'_k = n_k + 5k + 2a + 1.$$

We define the operators S_j by induction: at step k the operators S_j are constructed for $n'_{k-1} < j \leq n'_k$. So let $k \geq 1$ and suppose that $S_j \in B(X)$ are already defined and invertible for $j \leq n'_{k-1}$. For $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ write

$$w_i^{(k)} = S_1^{-1} \dots S_i^{-1} y_i^{(k)}$$

and

$$\alpha_i^{(k)} = 2^{-a} \|y_i^{(k)}\| \cdot \|S_1^{-1} \dots S_i^{-1} e_{k^2+i}\|.$$

Note that for $k=1$ we have $w_0^{(1)} = y_0^{(1)}$ and $\alpha_0^{(1)} = 2^{-a} \|y_0^{(1)}\|$.

At step $k \geq 2$ we have already defined in particular the invertible operators S_1, \dots, S_{k-1} , since $k-1 \leq n'_{k-1}$.

We define the operators S_j , $n'_{k-1} < j \leq n'_k$, by defining $S_j e_i$, depending on the values of i and j :

- For $i < k^2$, define

$$(1) \quad S_j e_i = e_i \quad \text{for } n'_{k-1} < j \leq n'_k.$$

- For $k^2 \leq i \leq k^2 + k - 1$, define

$$(2a) \quad S_j e_i = 2e_i \quad (n'_{k-1} < j \leq n'_{k-1} + a);$$

$$(2b) \quad S_j e_i = -\frac{w_{i-k^2}^{(k)}}{2^a \alpha_{i-k^2}^{(k)}} + e_i \quad (j = n'_{k-1} + a + 1);$$

$$(2c) \quad S_j e_i = \frac{1}{2} e_i \quad (n'_{k-1} + a + 1 < j < n'_{k-1} + 2a + 4k + 1 = n_k - n_{k-1});$$

$$(2d) \quad S_j e_i = e_i \quad (n_k - n_{k-1} < j \leq n_k + k);$$

$$(2e) \quad S_j e_i = 2e_i \quad (n_k + k < j \leq n_k + 5k + a);$$

$$(2f) \quad S_j e_i = \frac{w_{i-k^2}^{(k)}}{2^a \alpha_{i-k^2}^{(k)}} + e_i \quad (j = n_k + 5k + a + 1);$$

$$(2g) \quad S_j e_i = \frac{1}{2} e_i \quad (n_k + 5k + a + 1 < j \leq n_k + 5k + 2a + 1 = n'_k).$$

• For $i > k^2 + k - 1$, define

$$(3a) \quad S_j e_i = \frac{1}{2} e_i \quad (n'_{k-1} < j \leq n_k - n_{k-1});$$

$$(3b) \quad S_j e_i = e_i \quad (n_k - n_{k-1} < j \leq n_k + k);$$

$$(3c) \quad S_j e_i = \frac{1}{2} e_i \quad (n_k + k < j \leq n'_k - 1);$$

$$(3d) \quad S_j e_i = 2^{n'_k - n'_{k-1} - n_{k-1} - k - 1} e_i \quad (j = n'_k).$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $M_k = \overline{\text{sp}}[e_i ; i = 0 \dots k^2 + k - 1]$ and $L_k = \overline{\text{sp}}[e_i ; i > k^2 + k - 1]$.

2.5. Boundedness and invertibility of the operators S_j . We show first by induction on k that the operators S_j , $n'_{k-1} < j \leq n'_k$, defined above are bounded, invertible and upper triangular, and that their inverses S_j^{-1} are also bounded and upper triangular.

As mentioned above, for $k = 1$ we have $w_0^{(1)} = y_0^{(1)} \in \mathbb{C} \cdot e_0$, so the operators S_j , $j \leq n'_1$ are upper triangular. Moreover, for each $j \leq n'_1$ we have $S_j(M_1) \subseteq M_1$, $S_j(L_1) \subseteq L_1$. The operator $S_j|_{M_1}$ is upper triangular with a positive main diagonal and $S_j|_{L_1}$ is a nonzero scalar multiple of the identity operator. So S_j is bounded and invertible and its inverse S_j^{-1} is also bounded and upper triangular.

Suppose that $k \geq 2$ and that the operators S_j, S_j^{-1} , $j \leq n'_{k-1}$, are bounded, invertible and upper triangular. For $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, $y_i^{(k)}$ belongs to the linear span of the vectors e_l , $l = 0 \dots k-1$, and so this is also the case for the vector $w_i^{(k)} = S_1^{-1} \dots S_{k-1}^{-1} y_i^{(k)}$. Hence the operators S_j , $n'_{k-1} < j \leq n'_k$ defined by (1) – (3) are upper triangular. As above, we conclude that they are also bounded and invertible, and that their inverses S_j^{-1} are also bounded and upper triangular.

We now have to show that the operators S_j satisfy conditions (a)–(f).

2.6. Proof of properties (b), (e) and (d). By definition, $S_j e_0 = e_0$ for all j and S_j is equal to the identity operator for $n_k - n_{k-1} < j \leq n_k + k$. Hence conditions (b) and (e) are satisfied trivially. Then we have to prove by induction on k that $S_{n'_k} \dots S_1 = I$, i.e., that $S_{n'_k} \dots S_{n'_{k-1}+1} = I$:

- for $i < k^2$, clearly $S_{n'_k} \dots S_{n'_{k-1}+1} e_i = e_i$ since all the operators S_j , $n'_{k-1} + 1 \leq j \leq n'_k$, act on e_i as the identity operator by (1);
- for $i > k^2 + k - 1$ it is also easy to check using property (3) that $S_{n'_k} \dots S_{n'_{k-1}+1} e_i = e_i$ (just multiply all coefficients together);
- for $k^2 \leq i \leq k^2 + k - 1$ we have that $S_{n'_k} \dots S_{n'_{k-1}+1} e_i$ is equal to

$$S_{n'_k} \dots S_{n'_{k-1}+a+1} (2^a e_i) = S_{n'_k} \dots S_{n'_{k-1}+a+2} \left(-\frac{w_{i-k^2}^{(k)}}{\alpha_{i-k^2}^{(k)}} + 2^a e_i \right)$$

by (2b). Then since $w_{i-k^2}^{(k)}/\alpha_{i-k^2}^{(k)}$ is supported by the first k vectors e_l , $l = 0, \dots, k-1$, by (2c),(2d) and (2e) applied successively this quantity is equal to

$$S_{n'_k} \cdots S_{n'_{k-1}+2a+4k+2} \left(-\frac{w_{i-k^2}^{(k)}}{\alpha_{i-k^2}^{(k)}} + 2^{-4k} e_i \right)$$

and so equal to

$$S_{n'_k} \cdots S_{n_k+k+1} \left(-\frac{w_{i-k^2}^{(k)}}{\alpha_{i-k^2}^{(k)}} + 2^{-4k} e_i \right) = S_{n'_k} \cdots S_{n_k+5k+a+1} \left(-\frac{w_{i-k^2}^{(k)}}{\alpha_{i-k^2}^{(k)}} + 2^a e_i \right).$$

Then the expression in (2f) destroys the quantity $w_{i-k^2}^{(k)}/\alpha_{i-k^2}^{(k)}$ in this expression, and we eventually get that

$$S_{n'_k} \cdots S_{n'_{k-1}+2a+4k+2} \left(-\frac{w_{i-k^2}^{(k)}}{\alpha_{i-k^2}^{(k)}} + 2^{-4k} e_i \right) = S_{n'_k} \cdots S_{n_k+5k+a+2} (2^a e_i) = e_i.$$

Hence $S_{n'_k} \cdots S_{n'_{k-1}+1} = I$ and property (d) is proved.

2.7. Proof of property (a). We now have prove by induction on k that $\|S_j^{-1}\| \leq 2$ for every j with $n'_{k-1} < j \leq n'_k$. Let $k \geq 1$ and suppose that $\|S_j^{-1}\| \leq 2$ for every $j \leq n'_{k-1}$. For $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ we have

$$S_1^{-1} \cdots S_i^{-1} e_{k^2+i} = \|S_1^{-1} \cdots S_i^{-1} e_{k^2+i}\| \cdot e_{k^2+i}$$

since the operators $S_1^{-1}, \dots, S_i^{-1}$ just multiply the vector e_{k^2+i} by some coefficient. Thus

$$S_1^{-1} \cdots S_i^{-1} z_i^{(k)} = S_1^{-1} \cdots S_i^{-1} y_i^{(k)} + 2^{-a} \|y_i^{(k)}\| S_1^{-1} \cdots S_i^{-1} e_{k^2+i} = w_i^{(k)} + \alpha_i^{(k)} e_{k^2+i}.$$

Let $r = \text{card} \{s ; 1 \leq s \leq i \text{ and } S_s \neq I\}$. Then $\|S_i^{-1} \cdots S_1^{-1} y_i^{(k)}\| \leq 2^r \cdot \|y_i^{(k)}\|$ by the induction assumption and $\|S_1^{-1} \cdots S_i^{-1} e_{k^2+i}\| = 2^r$ by (3a). Hence

$$\alpha_i^{(k)} = 2^{-a} \|y_i^{(k)}\| 2^r \geq 2^{-a} \|S_i^{-1} \cdots S_1^{-1} y_i^{(k)}\| \geq 2^{-a} \|w_i^{(k)}\|.$$

Clearly $\|S_j^{-1}\| \leq 2$ for all j with $n'_{k-1} < j \leq n'_k$, $j \neq n'_{k-1} + a + 1$ and $j \neq n_k + 5k + a + 1$. In order to prove that $\|S_j^{-1}\| \leq 2$ in these two cases, we only have to check that $\|S_j^{-1} e_i\| \leq 2$ for every $i \geq 0$: observe that at this point we use the ℓ_1 -norm in a crucial way.

- If $i < k^2$ then , $\|S_{n'_{k-1}+a+1}^{-1} e_i\| = \|e_i\| \leq 2$ and $\|S_{n_k+5k+a+1}^{-1} e_i\| \leq 2$ by (1).
- Similarly, if $i > k^2 + k - 1$ then $\|S_{n'_{k-1}+a+1}^{-1} e_i\| \leq 2$ and $\|S_{n_k+5k+a+1}^{-1} e_i\| \leq 2$ by (3).
- Let $k^2 \leq i \leq k^2 + k - 1$. Then

$$S_{n'_{k-1}+a+1} S_{n_k+5k+a+1} e_i S_{n_k+5k+a+1} S_{n'_{k-1}+a+1} e_i = e_i.$$

So $\|S_{n_k+5k+a+1}^{-1} e_i\| = \|S_{n'_{k-1}+a+1}^{-1} e_i\| \leq 1$ and $\|S_{n'_{k-1}+a+1}^{-1} e_i\| = \|S_{n_k+5k+a+1}^{-1} e_i\| \leq 2$.

This proves (a).

2.8. Proof of property (f). Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S_{n_k} \cdots S_{i+1} z_i^{(k)}\| &= \|S_{n_k} \cdots S_1 (S_1^{-1} \cdots S_i^{-1}) z_i^{(k)}\| \\
&= \|S_{n_k} \cdots S_{n_1} (w_i^{(k)} + \alpha_i^{(k)} e_{k^2+i})\| \\
&= \|S_{n_k} \cdots S_{n'_{k-1}+1} (w_i^{(k)} + \alpha_i^{(k)} e_{k^2+i})\| \\
&= \|S_{n_k} \cdots S_{n'_{k-1}+a+1} (w_i^{(k)} + 2^a \alpha_i^{(k)} e_{k^2+i})\| \\
&= \|S_{n_k} \cdots S_{n'_{k-1}+a+2} (2^a \alpha_i^{(k)} e_{k^2+i})\| \\
&= \|S_{n_k} \cdots S_{n'_{k-1}+2a+4k+2} (2^{-4k} \alpha_i^{(k)} e_{k^2+i})\| \\
&= \|2^{-4k} \alpha_i^{(k)} e_{k^2+i}\| \\
&= 2^{-4k} \alpha_i^{(k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then (f) is proved by observing that

$$2^{-4k} \alpha_i^{(k)} = 2^{-4k} 2^{-a} \|y_i^{(k)}\| \cdot \|S_1^{-1} \cdots S_i^{-1} e_{k^2+i}\| \leq 2^{-4k} 2^{-a} 2^k \cdot 2^k = 2^{-2k-a}.$$

2.9. Proof of property (c). It remains to show that $\|S_j \cdots S_1\| \leq 2^{a+1}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By (d), it is sufficient to show that $\|S_j \cdots S_{n'_{k-1}}\| \leq 2^{a+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n'_{k-1} < j \leq n'_k$. Equivalently, using again the ℓ_1 -norm, it must be proved that $\|S_j \cdots S_{n'_{k-1}+1} e_i\| \leq 2^{a+1}$ for every $i \geq 0$ and $n'_{k-1} < j \leq n'_k$.

- For $i < k^2$ this is clear since the operators S_j , $n'_{k-1} < j \leq n'_k$, act on e_i as the identity operator.

- For $i > k^2 + k - 1$ this is also clear: $\|S_j \cdots S_{n'_{k-1}+1} e_i\| \leq 1$ for all j , $n'_{k-1} + 1 \leq j \leq n'_k$ (just multiply the coefficients, the worst case being when $j = n'_k$).

- For $k^2 \leq i \leq k^2 + k - 1$, the sequence

$$S_{n'_{k-1}+1} e_i, S_{n'_{k-1}+2} S_{n'_{k-1}+1} e_i, \dots, S_{n'_k} \cdots S_{n'_{k-1}+1} e_i$$

is equal to $2e_i, \dots, 2^a e_i, -\frac{w_{i-k^2}^{(k)}}{\alpha_{i-k^2}^{(k)}} + 2^a e_i, \dots, -\frac{w_{i-k^2}^{(k)}}{\alpha_{i-k^2}^{(k)}} + 2^{-4k} e_i, \dots, -\frac{w_{i-k^2}^{(k)}}{\alpha_{i-k^2}^{(k)}} + 2^{-4k} e_i, -\frac{w_{i-k^2}^{(k)}}{\alpha_{i-k^2}^{(k)}} + 2^a e_i, 2^a e_i, \dots, e_i$. Hence

$$\max_{n'_{k-1}+1 \leq j \leq n'_k} \|S_j \cdots S_{n'_{k-1}+1} e_i\| = \left\| -\frac{w_{i-k^2}^{(k)}}{\alpha_{i-k^2}^{(k)}} + 2^a e_i \right\| = \left| \frac{w_{i-k^2}^{(k)}}{\alpha_{i-k^2}^{(k)}} \right| + 2^a \leq 2^{a+1}.$$

This proves (c).

Thus the operators S_j , $j \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy all the properties (a) to (f), and consequently the operator T defined here is ε -hypercyclic but not hypercyclic on $Y = \bigoplus_{\ell_1} \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

2.10. Possible extensions of the method. In the same way it is possible to construct a non-hypercyclic operator T on $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ on an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space such that $T \oplus T$ is ε -hypercyclic. Indeed, consider the space Y as in Theorem 1.3 and a sequence of pairs of vectors $(y^{(k)}, \tilde{y}^{(k)})$ which is dense in $Y \oplus Y$. In the same way one can construct a vector $x \oplus \tilde{x} \in Y \oplus Y$ which is ε -hypercyclic for $T \oplus T$. One can even have that $T_n = \underbrace{T \oplus \cdots \oplus T}_n$ is ε -hypercyclic for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Details are left to the reader.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

3.1. Point spectrum of the adjoint of an ε -hypercyclic operator. We need the following auxiliary result:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and let $T \in B(X)$ be an ε -hypercyclic operator. Then the point spectrum $\sigma_p(T^*)$ of the adjoint of T is empty.*

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that α belongs to $\sigma_p(T^*)$. Let $y^* \in X^*$ satisfy $\|y^*\| = 1$ and $T^*y^* = \alpha y^*$, and let $x \in X$ be an ε -hypercyclic vector for T . We distinguish two cases.

- *First case.* We have either $\langle x, y^* \rangle = 0$, or $|\alpha| \leq 1$. Choose $t > (\|x\| + 1)/(1 - \varepsilon)$ and $y \in X$ with $\|y\| = 1$ and $\langle y, y^* \rangle > 1 - \varepsilon/t$. Since x is an ε -hypercyclic vector for T , there exists an $n \geq 0$ such that $\|T^n x - ty\| \leq \varepsilon\|ty\| = t\varepsilon$. So $|\langle T^n x - y, y^* \rangle| \leq t\varepsilon$. On the other hand,

$$|\langle T^n x - ty, y^* \rangle| \geq |\langle ty, y^* \rangle| - |\langle T^n x, y^* \rangle| \geq t - \varepsilon - |\alpha|^n |\langle x, y^* \rangle| \geq t - 1 - \|x\|.$$

Thus $t - 1 - \|x\| \leq t\varepsilon$ and so $t \leq (1 + \|x\|)/(1 - \varepsilon)$, a contradiction.

- *Second case.* We have $\langle x, y^* \rangle \neq 0$ and $|\alpha| > 1$. Choose $y \in X$ such that $0 \neq \|y\| < |\langle x, y^* \rangle|/(1 + \varepsilon)$. There exists $n \geq 0$ such that $\|T^n x - y\| \leq \varepsilon\|y\|$, and thus $|\langle T^n x - y, y^* \rangle| \leq \varepsilon\|y\|$. On the other hand,

$$|\langle T^n x - y, y^* \rangle| \geq |\langle T^n x, y^* \rangle| - |\langle y, y^* \rangle| \geq |\alpha|^n \cdot |\langle x, y^* \rangle| - \|y\| > |\langle x, y^* \rangle| - \|y\|.$$

Thus $|\langle x, y^* \rangle| - \|y\| < \varepsilon\|y\|$, and so $\|y\| > (|\langle x, y^* \rangle|)/(1 + \varepsilon)$, a contradiction again. \square

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.4. Lemma 3.1 shows that we can assume that X is infinite dimensional. We are going to prove that T is topologically transitive, i.e. that for every nonempty open subsets U and V of X there exists an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T^n(U) \cap V$ is nonempty. Let $u \in U$ and $v \in V$ be two nonzero vectors of U and V respectively, and let $\delta > 0$ be so small that $B(u, \delta) \subseteq U$, $B(v, \delta) \subseteq V$ and $\delta < \min(\|u\|, \|v\|)$. Let $x \in X$ be an ε -hypercyclic vector for T , where $\varepsilon < \delta/(6 \max(\|u\|, \|v\|))$. There exists $n_0 \geq 0$ such that $\|T^{n_0} x - u\| \leq \varepsilon\|u\| < \delta$, and so $T^{n_0} x$ belongs to U . Let us now show that there exist infinitely many n 's

such that $T^n x$ belongs to V . Suppose on the contrary that there are only finitely many such integers n_1, \dots, n_k . As above, for each $v' \in X$ with $\|v' - v\| < \frac{2\delta}{3}$ there exists an integer $n(v')$ which satisfies $\|T^{n(v')}x - v'\| \leq \varepsilon\|v'\| \leq 2\varepsilon\|v\| < \delta/3$. Since $\|T^{n(v')}x - v\| \leq \|T^{n(v')}x - v'\| + \|v' - v\| < \delta$, we have $n(v') \in \{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ and the ball $B(v, (2\delta)/3)$ is covered by a finite number of balls $B(T^{n_1}x, \delta/3), \dots, B(T^{n_k}x, \delta/3)$. However, in an infinite dimensional space this is not possible. Hence there are infinitely many n 's with $\|T^n x - v\| < \delta$, and in particular, there exists $n_1 > n_0$ such that $T^{n_1}x$ is in V . So $T^{n_1-n_0}T^{n_0}x = T^{n_1}x \in V \cap T^{n_1-n_0}(U)$, and consequently T is hypercyclic.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. BAYART, É. MATHERON, Dynamics of linear operators, book to appear, Cambridge University Press.
- [2] F. BAYART, É. MATHERON, (Non)-weakly mixing operators and hypercyclicity sets, preprint 2007.
- [3] P. BOURDON, N. FELDMAN, Somewhere dense orbits are everywhere dense, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **52** (2003), pp 811 – 819.
- [4] K. CHAN, R. SANDERS, A weakly hypercyclic operator that is not norm hypercyclic, *J. Operator Th.* **52** (2004), pp 39 – 59.
- [5] G. COSTAKIS, On a conjecture of D. Herrero concerning hypercyclic operators, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math.*, **330** (2000), pp 179 – 182.
- [6] N. FELDMAN, Perturbations of hypercyclic vectors, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **273** (2002), pp 67 – 74.
- [7] K.-G. GROSSE-ERDMANN, A. PERIS, Frequently dense orbits, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **341** (2005), pp 123 – 128.
- [8] V. MÜLLER, Three problems, Mini-Workshop *Hypercyclicity and Linear Chaos*, organized by T. Bermudez, G. Godefroy, K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann and A. Peris, *Oberwolfach Reports*, **3** (2006), pp. 2227 – 2276.
- [9] A. PERIS, Multi-hypercyclic operators are hypercyclic, *Math. Z.*, **236** (2001), pp 779 – 786.
- [10] S. ROLEWICZ, On orbits of elements, *Studia Math.*, **32** (1969), pp 17 – 22.

LABORATOIRE PAUL PAINLEVÉ, UMR 8524, UNIVERSITÉ DES SCIENCES ET TECHNOLOGIES DE LILLE, CITÉ SCIENTIFIQUE, 59655 VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: badea@math.univ-lille1.fr

LABORATOIRE PAUL PAINLEVÉ, UMR 8524, UNIVERSITÉ DES SCIENCES ET TECHNOLOGIES DE LILLE, CITÉ SCIENTIFIQUE, 59655 VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: grivaux@math.univ-lille1.fr

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AV CR, ZITNA 25, 115 67 PRAGUE 1, CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail address: muller@math.cas.cz