Oberwolfach Preprints

OWP 2013 - 02

E. G. Vishnyakova

Even-Homogeneous Supermanifolds on the Complex Projective Line

Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach gGmbH
Oberwolfach Preprints (OWP) ISSN 1864-7596

Oberwolfach Preprints (OWP)

Starting in 2007, the MFO publishes a preprint series which mainly contains research results related to a longer stay in Oberwolfach. In particular, this concerns the Research in Pairs-Programme (RiP) and the Oberwolfach-Leibniz-Fellows (OWLF), but this can also include an Oberwolfach Lecture, for example.

A preprint can have a size from 1 - 200 pages, and the MFO will publish it on its website as well as by hard copy. Every RiP group or Oberwolfach-Leibniz-Fellow may receive on request 30 free hard copies (DIN A4, black and white copy) by surface mail.

Of course, the full copy right is left to the authors. The MFO only needs the right to publish it on its website *www.mfo.de* as a documentation of the research work done at the MFO, which you are accepting by sending us your file.

In case of interest, please send a **pdf file** of your preprint by email to *rip@mfo.de* or *owlf@mfo.de*, respectively. The file should be sent to the MFO within 12 months after your stay as RiP or OWLF at the MFO.

There are no requirements for the format of the preprint, except that the introduction should contain a short appreciation and that the paper size (respectively format) should be DIN A4, "letter" or "article".

On the front page of the hard copies, which contains the logo of the MFO, title and authors, we shall add a running number (20XX - XX).

We cordially invite the researchers within the RiP or OWLF programme to make use of this offer and would like to thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Imprint:

Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach gGmbH (MFO)
Schwarzwaldstrasse 9-11
77709 Oberwolfach-Walke
Germany

Tel +49 7834 979 50
Fax +49 7834 979 55
Email admin@mfo.de
URL www.mfo.de

The Oberwolfach Preprints (OWP, ISSN 1864-7596) are published by the MFO.

Even-homogeneous supermanifolds on the complex projective line [1]

E.G. Vishnyakova

ABSTRACT. The classification of even-homogeneous complex supermanifolds of dimension $1|m$, $m \leq 3$, on $\mathbb{CP}^1$ up to isomorphism is given. An explicit description of such supermanifolds in terms of local charts and coordinates is obtained.

1. Introduction. The study of homogeneous supermanifolds with underlying manifold $\mathbb{CP}^1$ was started in [2]. There the classification of homogeneous complex supermanifolds of dimension $1|m$, $m \leq 3$, up to isomorphism was given. The purpose of this paper is to classify up to isomorphism even-homogeneous non-split complex supermanifolds of dimension $1|m$, $m \leq 3$, on $\mathbb{CP}^1$. Some other classification results concerning non-split complex supermanifolds on $\mathbb{CP}^n$ can be found in [1, 5, 6].

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we explain the idea of the classification. Similar idea was used in [2] by the classification of homogeneous supermanifolds on $\mathbb{CP}^1$. In Section 3 we calculate the 1-cohomology group with values in the tangent sheaf. We use here an easier way than in [2], which permits to classify even-homogeneous supermanifolds.

By the Green Theorem we can assign a supermanifold to each cohomology class of the 1-cohomology group. In Section 4 we find out cohomology classes corresponding to even-homogeneous supermanifolds. Notice that these supermanifolds can be isomorphic. The classification up to isomorphism of even-homogeneous complex supermanifolds of dimension $1|m$, $m \leq 3$, on $\mathbb{CP}^1$ is obtained in Section 5.

2. Even-homogeneous supermanifolds on $\mathbb{CP}^1$. We study complex analytic supermanifolds in the sense of [2, 3]. If $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{M})$ is a supermanifold, we denote by $\mathcal{M}_0$ the *underlying complex manifold* of $\mathcal{M}$ and by $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{M}$ the *structure sheaf* of $\mathcal{M}$, i.e. the sheaf of commutative associative complex superalgebras on $\mathcal{M}_0$. Denote by $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{M}$ the *tangent sheaf* of $\mathcal{M}$, i.e. the sheaf of derivations of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{M}$. Denote by $(\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{M})_{\bar{0}} \subset \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{M}$ the subsheaf of all even vector fields. An *action of a Lie group G on a supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$* is a morphism $\nu = (\nu_0, \nu^*) : G \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ such that it satisfies the usual conditions, modeling the action axioms. An action ν is called *even-transitive* if ν_0 is transitive. A supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$ is called *even-homogeneous* if it possesses an even-transitive action of a Lie group.

[1]Supported by the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach, Leibniz Fellowship

Assume that $\mathcal{M}_0$ is compact and connected. It is well-known that the group of all automorphisms of $\mathcal{M}$, which we denote by $\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathcal{M}$, is a Lie group with the Lie algebra $H^0(\mathcal{M}_0, (\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}})_{\bar{0}})$. (Recall that by definition any morphism of a supermanifold is even.) Let us take any homomorphism of Lie algebras $\varphi : \mathfrak{g} \to H^0(\mathcal{M}_0, (\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}})_{\bar{0}})$. We can assign the homomorphism of Lie groups $\Phi : G \to \mathrm{Aut}\,\mathcal{M}$ to φ, where G is the simple connected Lie group with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Notice that Φ is even-transitive iff the image of $\mathfrak{g}$ in $H^0(\mathcal{M}_0, (\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}})_{\bar{0}})$ generates the tangent space $T_x(\mathcal{M})$ at any point $x \in \mathcal{M}_0$.

In this paper we will consider the case $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathbb{CP}^1$. Therefore, the classification problem reduces to the following problem: *to classify up to isomorphism complex supermanifolds $\mathcal{M}$ of dimension $1|m$, $m \leq 3$, such that $H^0(\mathcal{M}_0, (\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}})_{\bar{0}})$ generates the tangent space $T_x(\mathcal{M})$ at any point $x \in \mathcal{M}_0$.*

Recall that a supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$ is called *split* if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} \simeq \bigwedge \mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a sheaf of sections of a vector bundle $\mathbf{E}$ over $\mathcal{M}_0$. In this case $\dim\,\mathcal{M} = n|m$, where $n = \dim \mathcal{M}_0$ and m is the rank of $\mathbf{E}$. The structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ of a split supermanifold possesses by definition the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading; it induces the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}} = \bigoplus_{p=-1}^{m} (\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}})_p$. Hence, the superspace $H^0(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}})$ is also $\mathbb{Z}$-graded. Consider the subspace $\mathrm{End}\,\mathbf{E} \subset H^0(\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}})_0$ consisting of all endomorphisms of the vector bundle $\mathbf{E}$, which induce the identity morphism on $\mathcal{M}_0$. Denote by $\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathbf{E} \subset \mathrm{End}\,\mathbf{E}$ the group of automorphisms containing in $\mathrm{End}\,\mathbf{E}$. We define an action Int of $\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathbf{E}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$ by $\mathrm{Int} A : v \mapsto AvA^{-1}$. Since the action preserves the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading, we have the action of $\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathbf{E}$ on $H^1(\mathcal{M}_0, (\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}})_2)$.

We can assign the split supermanifold $\mathrm{gr}\,\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{gr}\,\mathcal{M}})$ to each supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$, see e.g. [2]. It is called the *retract* of $\mathcal{M}$. To classify supermanifolds, we will use the following corollary of the well-known Green Theorem (see e.g. [2] for more details).

Theorem 1. [Green] *Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = (\mathcal{M}_0, \bigwedge \mathcal{E})$ be a split supermanfold of dimension $n|m$, where $m \leq 3$. Then classes of isomorphic supermanifolds $\mathcal{M}$ with the retract* $\mathrm{gr}\,\mathcal{M} = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ *are in bijection with orbits of the action* Int *of the group* $\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathbf{E}$ *on* $H^1(\mathcal{M}_0, (\mathcal{T}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}})_2)$.

Remark. This theorem permits to classify supermanifolds $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathrm{gr}\,\mathcal{M}$ is fix up to isomorphisms which induce identity morphism on $\mathrm{gr}\,\mathcal{M}$.

In what follows we will consider the case $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathbb{CP}^1$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a supermanifold of dimension $1|m$. Denote by U_0 and U_1 the standard charts on $\mathbb{CP}^1$ with coordinates x and $y = \frac{1}{x}$ respectively. By the Grothendieck Theorem we can cover $\mathrm{gr}\,\mathcal{M}$ by two charts $(U_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{gr}\,\mathcal{M}}|_{U_0})$ and $(U_1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{gr}\,\mathcal{M}}|_{U_1})$ with local coordinates $x, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_m$ and $y, \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_m$, respectively, such that in $U_0 \cap U_1$

we have

$$y = x^{-1}, \quad \eta_i = x^{-k_i}\xi_i, \ i = 1, \dots, m,$$

where k_i, $i = 1, \dots, m$, are integers. We will identify $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{M}$ with the set $(k_1, \dots, k_m)$. Note that a permutation of k_i induces the automorphism of $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{M}$. It was shown that any supermanifold $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{M}$ is even-homogeneous, see [2], Formula (18). The following theorem was also proved in [2], Proposition 14:

Theorem 2. *Assume that $m \leq 3$ and $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathbb{CP}^1$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a supermanifold with the retract $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{M} = \bigwedge\mathcal{E}$, which corresponds to the cohomology class $\gamma \in H^1(\mathcal{M}_0, (\mathcal{T}_{\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{M}})_2)$ by Theorem 1. The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *The supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$ is even-homogeneous.*
2. *There is a subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that*

$$H^0(\mathcal{M}_0, (\mathcal{T}_{\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{M}})_0) = \operatorname{End}\mathbf{E} \niplus \mathfrak{a}, \tag{1}$$

and $[v, \gamma] = 0$ in $H^1(\mathcal{M}_0, (\mathcal{T}_{\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{M}})_2)$ for all $v \in \mathfrak{a}$.

Here $\mathbf{E}$ is the vector bundle corresponding to the locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}$.

From now on we will omit the index $\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{M}$ and will denote by $\mathcal{T}$ the sheaf of derivations of $\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{M}}$. Recall that the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{gr}\mathcal{M}}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded; it induces the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading in $\mathcal{T} = \bigoplus_p \mathcal{T}_p$. Denote by $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{a}} \subset H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ the subset of $\mathfrak{a}$-invariants, i.e. the set of all elements w such that $[v, w] = 0$ for all $v \in \mathfrak{a}$. The supermanifold corresponding to a cohomology class $\gamma \in H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{a}}$ by Theorem 1 is called $\mathfrak{a}$-*even-homogeneous.*

The description of subalgebras $\mathfrak{a}$ satisfying (1) up to conjugation by elements from $\operatorname{Aut}\mathbf{E}$ and up to renumbering of k_i was obtained in [2]:

1) $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{s} = \langle \mathbf{e} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \mathbf{f} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \mathbf{h} = [\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}] \rangle$.
2) $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{s}' = \langle \mathbf{e}' = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}, \mathbf{f}' = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \eta_1\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_2}, \mathbf{h}' = [\mathbf{e}', \mathbf{f}'] \rangle$ if $k_1 = k_2$.
3) $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{s}'' = \langle \mathbf{e}'' = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} + \xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}, \mathbf{f}'' = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + 2\eta_1\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_2} + 2\eta_2\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_3}, \mathbf{h}'' = [\mathbf{e}'', \mathbf{f}''] \rangle$ if $k_1 = k_2 = k_3$.

3. Basis of $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)$. Assume that $m = 3$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a split supermanifold, $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathbb{CP}^1$ be its reduction and $\mathcal{T}$ be its tangent sheaf. In [2] the $\mathfrak{s}$-invariant decomposition

$$\mathcal{T}_2 = \sum_{i<j} \mathcal{T}_2^{ij} \tag{2}$$

was obtained. The sheaf $\mathcal{T}_2^{ij}$ is a locally free sheaf of rank 2; its basis sections over $(U_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}|_{U_0})$ are:

$$\xi_i\xi_j\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \xi_i\xi_j\xi_l\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_l}; \tag{3}$$

where $l \neq i, j$. In $U_0 \cap U_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_i \xi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &= -y^{2-k_i-k_j} \eta_i \eta_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - k_l y^{1-k_i-k_j} \eta_i \eta_j \eta_l \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_l}, \\ \xi_i \xi_j \xi_l \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_l} &= y^{-k_i-k_j} \eta_i \eta_j \eta_l \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_l}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Let us calculate a basis of $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2^{ij})$. We will use the Čech cochain complex of the cover $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_0, U_1\}$. Hence, 1-cocycle with values in the sheaf $\mathcal{T}_2^{ij}$ is a section v of $\mathcal{T}_2^{ij}$ over $U_0 \cap U_1$. We are looking for *basis cocycles*, i.e. cocycles such that their cohomology classes form a basis of $H^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{T}_2^{ij}) \simeq H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2^{ij})$. Note that if $v \in Z^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{T}_2^{ij})$ is holomorphic in U_0 or U_1 then the cohomology class of v is equal to 0. Obviously, any $v \in Z^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{T}_2^{ij})$ is a linear combination of vector fields (3) with holomorphic in $U_0 \cap U_1$ coefficients. Further, we expand these coefficients in a Laurent series in x and drop the summands x^n, $n \geq 0$, because they are holomorphic in U_0. We see that v can be replaced by

$$v = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}^n x^{-n} \xi_i \xi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{ij}^n x^{-n} \xi_i \xi_j \xi_l \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_l}, \tag{5}$$

where $a_{ij}^n, b_{ij}^n \in \mathbb{C}$. Using (4), we see that the summands corresponding to $n \geq k_i + k_j - 1$ in the first sum of (5) and the summands corresponding to $n \geq k_i + k_j$ in the second sum of (5) are holomorphic in U_1. Further, it follows from (4) that

$$x^{2-k_i-k_j} \xi_i \xi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \sim -k_l x^{1-k_i-k_j} \xi_i \xi_j \xi_l \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_l}.$$

Hence the cohomology classes of the following cocycles

$$\begin{aligned} &x^{-n} \xi_i \xi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad n = 1, \ldots, k_i + k_j - 3, \\ &x^{-n} \xi_i \xi_j \xi_l \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_l}, \quad n = 1, \ldots, k_i + k_j - 1, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

generate $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2^{ij})$. If we examine linear combination of (6) which are cohomological trivial, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Assume that $i < j$, $l \neq i, j$. The basis of* $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2^{ij})$

1. *is given by* (6) *if* $k_i + k_j > 3$*;*
2. *is given by*

$$x^{-1} \xi_i \xi_j \xi_l \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_l}, \quad x^{-2} \xi_i \xi_j \xi_l \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_l},$$

if $k_i + k_j = 3$;

3. *is given by*

$$x^{-1}\xi_i\xi_j\xi_l\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_l},$$

if $k_i + k_j = 2$, $k_l = 0$.

4. *If* $k_i + k_j = 2$, $k_l \neq 0$ *or* $k_i + k_j < 2$, *we have* $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2^{ij}) = \{0\}$.

Note that the similar method can be used for computation of a basis of $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_q)$ for any m and q.

4. Basis of $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{a}}$. Let us calculate a basis of $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}}$. The decomposition (2) is $\mathfrak{s}$-invariant, hence,

$$H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}} = \bigoplus_{i<j} H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2^{ij})^{\mathfrak{s}}.$$

Denote by $[z]$ the cohomology class corresponding to a 1-cocycle z.

Theorem 4. *Let us fix* $i < j$ *and* $l \neq i, j$. *Then*

1) $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2^{ij})^{\mathfrak{s}} = \langle [\frac{1}{x}\xi_i\xi_j\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{k_l}{2x^2}\xi_i\xi_j\xi_l\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_l}]\rangle$ *if* $k_i + k_j = 4$,

2) $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2^{ij})^{\mathfrak{s}} = \langle [\frac{1}{x}\xi_i\xi_j\xi_l\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_l}]\rangle$ *if* $k_i + k_j = 2$, $k_l = 0$,

3) $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2^{ij})^{\mathfrak{s}} = \{0\}$ *otherwise.*

Proof. We have to find out highest vectors of the $\mathfrak{s}$-module $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2^{ij})$ having weight 0. By Propositions 8 and 9 of [2], any cocycle z from the Theorem 3 fulfils the condition $[\mathbf{h}, z] = \lambda z$. More precisely, $\lambda = 0$ if $z = x^{-r}\xi_i\xi_j\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, $2r = k_i + k_j - 2$ $z = x^{-r}\xi_i\xi_j\xi_l\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_l}$, $2r = k_i + k_j$. If we examine linear combination w of these cocycles such that $[\mathbf{e}, w] \sim 0$, we obtain the result of the Theorem.□

Theorem 5. *Assume that* $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}'} \neq 0$. *Then we have the following possibilities:*

1) $(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (2, 2, 1)$ *and a basis of* $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}'}$ *is given by*

$$[\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}],\ \ [\frac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} - \frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}]; \tag{7}$$

2) $(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (2, 2, 3)$ *and a basis of* $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}'}$ *is given by*

$$\begin{gathered}[\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{3}{2x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}],\\ [\frac{1}{x}\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{2}{3x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} - \frac{4}{3x^3}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}];\end{gathered} \tag{8}$$

3) $(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (2, 2, k_3)$, $k_3 \neq 1, 3$; $(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (k, k, 3 - k)$, $k \neq 2$ *or* $(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (k, k, 5 - k)$, $k \neq 2$ *or* $(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (1, 1, 0)$. *Then*

$$\dim H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}'} = 1$$

and a basis of $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}'}$ *is given by the following cocycles:*

$$\begin{array}{c}[\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{k_3}{2x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}],\ [\frac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} - \frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}],\\ [\frac{1}{x}\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{k}{3x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} - \frac{2k}{3x^3}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}],\\ [\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}],\end{array} \tag{9}$$

respectively.

Proof. Use similar argument as in Theorem 4.□

The calculation of $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ and $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}'}$ was already done in [2], Proposition 19 and Proposition 21, using more difficult methods. Note that the case 2 of Theorem 4 and the case $(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (1, 1, 0)$ of Theorem 5 was lost in [2]. Furthermore, in [2] the following theorem was proved, see Proposition 22.

Theorem 6. *Assume that* $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}''} \neq 0$*. Then we have the following possibilities:*
1) $(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (2, 2, 2)$ *and the basis of* $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}''}$ *is given by*

$$[\frac{1}{x^3}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3} - \frac{1}{2x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} + \frac{1}{2x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}]; \tag{10}$$

2) $(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (3, 3, 3)$ *and the basis of* $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}''}$ *is given by*

$$\begin{array}{c}[\frac{1}{x^3}\xi_1\xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2x^2}\xi_1\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2x}\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\\ +\frac{3}{8x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} - \frac{3}{4x^3}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} + \frac{9}{4x^4}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}].\end{array} \tag{11}$$

5. Classification of even-homogeneous supermanifolds

In Section 4 we calculated a basis of $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ and $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}'}$ and gave a basis of $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}''}$, which were calculated in [2]. In this section we will complete the classification of even-homogeneous supermanifolds, i.e. we will find out, which vectors of these spaces belong to different orbits of the action of $\operatorname{Aut}\mathbf{E}$ on $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)$.

Let (ξ_i) be a local basis of $\mathbf{E}$ over U_0 and A be an automorphism of $\mathbf{E}$. Assume that $A(\xi_j) = \sum a_{ij}(x)\xi_i$. In U_1 we have

$$A(\eta_j) = A(y^{k_j}\xi_j) = \sum y^{k_j - k_i}a_{ij}(y^{-1})\eta_i.$$

Therefore, $a_{ij}(x)$ is a polynomial in x of degree no greater than $k_j - k_i$, if $k_j - k_i \geq 0$ and 0, if $k_j - k_i < 0$. We will denote by b_{ij} the entries of the matrix $B = A^{-1}$. The entries are also polynomials in x of degree no greater than $k_j - k_i$. We will need the following formulas:

$$\begin{array}{c} A(\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k})A^{-1} = \det(A)\sum_s b_{ks}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_s};\\ A(\xi_i\xi_j\frac{\partial}{\partial x})A^{-1} = \det(A)\sum_{k<s}(-1)^{l+r}b_{lr}\xi_k\xi_s\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\\ +\det(A)\sum_s b'_{ls}\xi_i\xi_j\xi_l\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_s}. \end{array} \tag{12}$$

where $i<j$, $l\neq i,j$, $r\neq k,s$ and $b'_{ls} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(b_{ls})$.

Theorem 7. [Classification of $\mathfrak{s}$-even-homogeneous supermanifolds.]

1. *Assume that*

$$\{k_1, 4-k_1, k_3\} \neq \{-2,0,4\}, \quad \{k, 2-k, 0\} \neq \{-2,0,4\}$$

as sets. Then there exists a unique up to isomorphism $\mathfrak{s}$-even-homogeneous non-split supermanifold with retract

a. $(k_1, 4-k_1, k_3)$, *which correspond to the cocycle*

$$\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{k_3}{2x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3};$$

b. $(k, 2-k, 0)$, *which correspond to the cocycle*

$$b)\ \frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}.$$

2. *There exist two up to isomorphism $\mathfrak{s}$-even-homogeneous non-split supermanifolds with retract $(-2,0,4)$. The corresponding cocycles are*

$$a)\ z = \frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}, \quad b)\ z = \frac{1}{x}\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}.$$

Proof. Since $m=3$, the number of different pairs $i<j$ is less than or equal to 3. It follows from the Theorem 4 that $\dim H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}} \leq 3$. It is easy to see that $\dim H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}} = 3$ if and only if $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = 2$. Let us take $A \in \operatorname{Aut}\mathbf{E} = \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$. Recall that $\operatorname{Int} A(z) = AzA^{-1}$. The direct calculation shows, see (12), that in the basis

$$\begin{array}{c} v_1 = \frac{1}{x}\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}, \quad v_2 = -\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}\\ v_3 = \frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}, \end{array}$$

the automorphism $\operatorname{Int} A$ is given by

$$\operatorname{Int} A(v_i) = \det A \sum_j b_{ij} v_j. \tag{13}$$

Note that for any matrix $C \in \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ there exists a matrix B such that $C = \frac{1}{\det B}B$. Indeed, we can put $B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det C}}C$. Let us take a cocycle $z = \sum \alpha_i v_i \in H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}} \setminus \{0\}$. Obviously, it exists a matrix $D \in \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ such that $D(z) = (0, 0, 1)$. Therefore, in the case $(2, 2, 2)$ there exists a unique up to isomorphism $\mathfrak{s}$-even-homogeneous non-split supermanifold given by the cocycle $\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}$.

Assume now that $\dim H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}} = 2$. Let us consider three cases.

1. Assume that $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is generated by two cocycles from the item 1 of Theorem 4. Obviously, we may consider only the case $k_1+k_2 = 4$, $k_1+k_3 = 4$. It follows that $k_2 = k_3$. Denote $k_2 := k \neq 2$. Let us take $z \in H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $z = \frac{\alpha}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{k\alpha}{2x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3} + \frac{\beta}{x}\xi_1\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{k\beta}{2x^2}\xi_1\xi_3\xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}$. The group $\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathbf{E}$ contains in this case the subgroup H:

$$H := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \tag{14}$$

Let us take $A \in H$, denote $v_1 := -\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{k}{2x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}$, $v_2 := \frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{k}{2x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}$. Using (12) or (13) we see that the operator $\mathrm{Int}\,A$ is given in the basis v_1, v_2 by:

$$\det A \begin{pmatrix} b_{22} & b_{23} \\ b_{32} & b_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Obviously, for any cocycle $z = (-\beta, \alpha) \neq 0$ there exists a matrix $C \in \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ such that $C(z) = (0, 1)$. Therefore, in the case $(4 - k, k, k)$ there exists a unique up to isomorphism $\mathfrak{s}$-even-homogeneous non-split supermanifold given by the cocycle $\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{k}{2x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}$.

2. Assume that $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is generated by two cocycles from the item 2 of Theorem 4. We may consider only the case $k_1+k_2 = 2$, $k_1+k_3 = 2$, $k_2 = k_3 = 0$. It follows that $(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (2, 0, 0)$. Let us take $z \in H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $z = \frac{\alpha}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3} + \frac{\beta}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. As above, the group $\mathrm{Aut}\,\mathbf{E}$ contains the subgroup H given by (14). As above using the basis $v_1 = \frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}$, $v_2 = \frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}$, we show that in the case $(2, 0, 0)$ there exists a unique up to isomorphism $\mathfrak{s}$-even-homogeneous non-split supermanifold given by the cocycle $\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}$.

3. Assume that $H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}}$ is generated by one cocycle from the item 1 and by one cocycle from the item 2 of Theorem 4. We may consider only the case $k_2 + k_3 = 4$, $k_1 + k_3 = 2$, $k_2 = 0$, i.e. $(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (-2, 0, 4)$. Let us take $z \in H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $z = \frac{\alpha}{x}\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\alpha}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} + \frac{\beta}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}$ for certain $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Let us take $A \in \mathrm{Aut}\,\mathbf{E}$. Using Theorem 3 and 12, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A([\tfrac{1}{x}\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x}])A^{-1} &= [b_{11}\det A(\tfrac{1}{x}\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x} + (b_{12})'\tfrac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2})];\\ A([\tfrac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}])A^{-1} &= [b_{11}\det A\tfrac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}];\\ A([\tfrac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}])A^{-1} &= [\det A(b_{22}\tfrac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2})], \end{aligned}$$

where $(b_{12})' := \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(b_{12})$. Consider the subgroup $H = \{\operatorname{diag}(a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33})\}$ of $\operatorname{Aut}\mathbf{E}$. Let us choose the basis $v_1 = \frac{1}{x}\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}$, $v_2 = \frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}$ and take $A \in H$. Then the operator $\operatorname{Int} A$ is given by the matrix

$$(\det A)\operatorname{diag}(b_{11}, b_{22})$$

in the basis v_1, v_2. Obviously, for any cocycle $z = (\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$ there exists an operator $\operatorname{Int} A$ such that: $\operatorname{Int} A(z) = (1, 1)$, if $\alpha \neq 0$, $\beta \neq 0$, $\operatorname{Int} A(z) = (0, 1)$, if $\alpha = 0$, $\beta \neq 0$, $\operatorname{Int} A(z) = (1, 0)$, if $\alpha \neq 0$, $\beta = 0$. Let us take

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Aut}\mathbf{E}.$$

The direct calculation shows that $A(v_1)A^{-1} = v_1 + v_2$. In other words, v_1 and $v_1 + v_2$ corresponds to one orbit of the action Int. Since $b_{11} \neq 0$, we see that the cocycles $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$ correspond to different orbits of the action Int.

In the case $\dim H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)^{\mathfrak{s}} = 1$ we may use the following proposition proved in [4].

Proposition 1. *If $\gamma \in H^1(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, then γ and $c\gamma$ correspond to isomorphic supermanifolds.*

Theorem 7 follows.□

Theorem 8. [Classification of $\mathfrak{s}'$-even-homogeneous supermanifolds.] 1. *There exist two up to isomorphism $\mathfrak{s}'$-even-homogeneous non-split supermanifolds with retract*
a) $(2, 2, 1)$, *which correspond to the cocycles*

$$\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}, \quad \frac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} - \frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1},$$

b) $(2, 2, 3)$, *which correspond to the cocycles*

$$\begin{gathered} \tfrac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x} + \tfrac{3}{2x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3},\\ \tfrac{1}{x}\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x} + \tfrac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x} + \tfrac{2}{3x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} - \tfrac{4}{3x^3}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}. \end{gathered}$$

2. *There exists a unique up to isomorphism* $\mathfrak{s}'$*-even-homogeneous non-split supermanifold with retract*
a) $(2,2,k)$*,* $k\neq 1,3$*, which corresponds to the cocycle*

$$\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{k}{2x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3},$$

b) $(k,k,3-k)$*,* $k\neq 2$*, which corresponds to the cocycle*

$$\frac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}-\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1},$$

c) $(k,k,5-k)$*,* $k\neq 2$*, which corresponds to the cocycle*

$$\frac{1}{x}\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{k}{3x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}-\frac{2k}{3x^3}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}.$$

d) $(1,1,0)$*, which corresponds to the cocycle*

$$\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 5 and Proposition 1 we get 2.

Let us prove 1.a Denote by z a linear combination of cocycles (7). Let us take $A\in \operatorname{Aut}\mathbf{E}$. Using (12), we get:

$$A([\tfrac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x}])A^{-1}=[\det A(b_{33}\tfrac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x}+(b_{31})'\tfrac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}+(b_{32})'\tfrac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2})];$$

$$\begin{aligned}&A([\tfrac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}])A^{-1}=[\det A(b_{33}\tfrac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}+b_{32}\tfrac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}+\\&+b_{31}\tfrac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1})];\\&A([\tfrac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}])A^{-1}=[\det A(b_{21}\tfrac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}+b_{22}\tfrac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2})],\\&A([\tfrac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}])A^{-1}=[\det A(b_{11}\tfrac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}+b_{12}\tfrac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2})].\end{aligned}$$

Consider the subgroup $H=\{\operatorname{diag}(a_{11},a_{11},a_{33},)\}$ of $\operatorname{Aut}\mathbf{E}$ and $A\in H$. Again a direct calculation shows that in the basis $v_1=\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{2x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}$, $v_2=\frac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}-\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}$ the automorphism $\operatorname{Int} A$ is given by $(\det A)\operatorname{diag}(b_{33},b_{11})$. Clearly, for $z=(\alpha,\beta)\neq 0$, there exist an operator $\operatorname{Int} A$ such that: $\operatorname{Int} A(z)=(1,1)$, if $\alpha\neq 0$, $\beta\neq 0$, $\operatorname{Int} A(z)=(0,1)$, if $\alpha=0$, $\beta\neq 0$, $\operatorname{Int} A(z)=(1,0)$, if $\alpha\neq 0$, $\beta=0$.

Let us take

$$A=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1&1\\-\frac{2}{3}x&2&1\end{pmatrix},$$

A direct calculation shows that $A(v_1+v_2)A^{-1}=v_1$. Since $b_{33}\neq 0$, we see that the cocycles $(0,1)$ and $(1,0)$ correspond to different orbits of the action Int. We have got $1a)$. The proof of $1b)$ is similar. The result follows.□

Theorem 9. [Classification of $\mathfrak{s}''$-even-homogeneous supermanifolds.] *There exist a unique up to isomorphism $\mathfrak{s}''$-even-homogeneous non-split supermanifold with retract* $(2,2,2)$, *which corresponds to the cocycle* (10); *and with retract* $(3,3,3)$, *which corresponds to the cocycle* (11).

Proof. It follows from Theorem 6 and Proposition 1.□

Comparing Theorems 7, 8 and 9, we get our main result:

Theorem 10. [Classification of even-homogeneous supermanifolds.]

1. *There exist two up to isomorphism even-homogeneous non-split supermanifolds with retract*
a) $(2,2,1)$, *which correspond to the cocycles*

$$\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{2x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3},\quad \frac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}-\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1};$$

b) $(2,2,3)$, *which correspond to the cocycles*

$$\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{3}{2x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3},$$
$$\frac{1}{x}\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{2}{3x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}-\frac{4}{3x^3}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2};$$

c) $(2,2,2)$, *which correspond to the cocycles*

$$\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{2x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3},$$
$$\frac{1}{x^3}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}-\frac{1}{2x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}+\frac{1}{2x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1};$$

d) $(-2,0,4)$, *which correspond to the cocycles*

$$\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2},\quad \frac{1}{x}\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x}-\frac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}.$$

2. *a) Assume that*

$$\{k,4-k,k_3\}\neq\{-2,0,4\},\ \{2,2,1\},\ \{2,2,3\},\ \{2,2,2\}.$$

Then there exists a unique up to isomorphism even-homogeneous non-split supermanifold corresponding to the cocycle

$$\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{k_3}{2x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}.$$

b) Assume that

$$\{k, 2-k, 0\} \neq \{-2, 0, 4\}.$$

Then there exists a unique up to isomorphism even-homogeneous non-split supermanifold corresponding to the cocycle

$$\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}.$$

There exists a unique up to isomorphism even-homogeneous non-split supermanifold with retract
c) $(k, k, 3-k)$, $k \neq 2$, *which corresponds to the cocycle*

$$\frac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} - \frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1},$$

d) $(k, k, 5-k)$, $k \neq 2$, *which corresponds to the cocycle*

$$\frac{1}{x}\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{x^2}\xi_1\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{k}{3x^2}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} - \frac{2k}{3x^3}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}.$$

d) $(3, 3, 3)$, *which corresponds to the cocycle (11).* □

By the similar argument as in [2], Corollary of Theorem 1, we get:
Corollary. Any non-split even-homogeneous supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$ of dimension $1|2$, where $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathbb{CP}^1$, is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}^{1|2}$.

Here $\mathbb{Q}^{1|2}$ is the (homogeneous) supermanifold corresponding to the cocycle $x^{-1}\xi_1\xi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ (see [2] for more details).

Remark 1. Theorem 10 gives rise to a description of even-homogeneous supermanifolds in terms of local charts and coordinates. Indeed, let $\mathcal{M}$ be any supermanifold of dimension $1|m$, $m \leq 3$, with underlying space $\mathbb{CP}^1$, v be the corresponding cocycle by Theorem 1 and $(U_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{gr}\,\mathcal{M}}|_{U_0})$, $(U_1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{gr}\,\mathcal{M}}|_{U_1})$ be two standard charts of the retract $\mathrm{gr}\,\mathcal{M}$ with coordinates (x, ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3) and $(y, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$, respectively. In $U_0 \cap U_1$ we have:

$$y = x^{-1}, \quad \eta_i = x^{-k_i}\xi_i, \; i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Consider an atlas on $\mathcal{M}$: $(U_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}|_{U_0})$, $(U_1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}|_{U_1})$, with coordinates $(x', \xi_1', \xi_2', \xi_3')$ and $(y', \eta_1', \eta_2', \eta_3')$, respectively. Then the transition function of $\mathcal{M}$ in $U_0 \cap U_1$ have the form

$$y' = (\mathrm{id} + v)(x'^{-1}), \quad \eta_i = (\mathrm{id} + v)((x')^{-k_i}\xi_i'), \; i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Remark 2. The supermanifold $\mathcal{M}$ with the retract $(k, 2-k, 0)$, corresponding to the cocycle $\frac{1}{x}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3}$, which was lost in [2], in even-homogeneous but not homogeneous. Hence the main result in [2], Theorem 1, is correct.

References

[1] *Bashkin, M. A.* On a family of supermanifolds. (Russian) Proceedings of the Fifth Kolmogorov Lectures (Russian), 57-62, Yaroslav. Gos. Ped. Univ. im. K. D. Ushinskogo, Yaroslavl', 2007.

[2] *Bunegina V.A. and Onishchik A.L.* Homogeneous supermanifolds associated with the complex projective line. Algebraic geometry, 1. J. Math. Sci. 82 (1996), no. 4, 3503-3527.

[3] *Manin Yu.I.* Gauge field theory and complex geometry, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, V. 289, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1997.

[4] *Onishchik A.L.* Non-Abelian Cohomology and Supermanifolds. SFB 288, Preprint N 360. Berlin, 1998. C. 1-38.

[5] *Onishchik A.L., Platonova, O. V.* Homogeneous supermanifolds associated with a complex projective space. I. (Russian) Mat. Sb. 189 (1998), no. 2, 111-136; translation in Sb. Math. 189 (1998), no. 1-2, 265-289

[6] *Onishchik A.L., Platonova, O. V.* Homogeneous supermanifolds associated with the complex projective space. II. (Russian) Mat. Sb. 189 (1998), no. 3, 103-124; translation in Sb. Math. 189 (1998), no. 3-4, 421-441

Elizaveta Vishnyakova
Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach and
University of Luxembourg
E-mail address: VishnyakovaE@googlemail.com