

Report No. 28/2001

## 4-dimensional Manifolds

July 1st – July 7th, 2001

The talks at the conference presented a broad view of current research on 4-manifolds. Particular emphasis was placed on the interrelation of three- and four-dimensional geometry.

One focal point thus consisted of various Floer-type invariants: In a series of three talks, P. Ozsvath and Z. Szabo presented 3- and 4-manifold invariants constructed by the use of holomorphic disks in configuration spaces. Other aspects, including Manolescu's stable homotopy picture, were outlined in various other research reports.

Low dimensional symplectic and contact geometry was another focal point of the conference with talks for example on open book decompositions and on tight contact structures.

Other talks addressed the interplay of 4-manifold theory with complex geometry, stable homotopy and  $L^2$ -homology theory.

# Abstracts

## Legendrian Knots

JOHN ETNYRE

In this talk I will discuss what is currently known concerning the classification of Legendrian knots in tight contact three manifolds. Specifically, I will describe the classification of Legendrian torus and figure eight knots and then explain some general "structure theorems." The first structure theorem describes how the classification of reducible Legendrian knots can be understood in terms of the classification of its prime summands. It is a little surprising that using this theorem one can construct many Legendrian knots that are not determined by their classical invariants. The second structure theorem says that under certain circumstances Legendrian knots in a cabled knot type can be classified if a Legendrian classification for the uncabled knot type is known. Finally, I will indicate how to use convex surface theory to prove these results.

## Knotted curves in complex surfaces

SERGEY FINASHIN

Theorem: For any  $d \geq 5$ , there exist infinitely many surfaces  $F_i \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$  homoeomorphic to and realizing the same homology class as non-singular curves of degree  $d$ , with  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus F_i) \cong \mathbb{Z}/d$ , but pairwise non-diffeomorphic as pairs  $(\mathbb{C}P^2, F_i)$ . This answers a long-standing problem.

The surfaces  $F_i$  are obtained from an algebraic curve  $A$  by a version of rim-surgery of Fintushel and Stern, applied along a 0-framed annulus membrane on  $A$ . Non-existence of a diffeomorphism is detected by Seiberg-Witten invariants in the covering spaces  $X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$  branched along  $F_i$ .

This theorem can be generalized and applied to simply connected algebraic surfaces  $X$  with a curve  $A$  admitting a degeneration to an irreducible curve with a unique singularity of the type  $X_g$  (four non-singular pairwise transverse branches), with  $A \cdot A > 16$ .

Indeed, one can define rim-basic classes  $\alpha_i$ , for an embedded surface  $F \hookrightarrow X$ , lying in  $H_1(F) \cong H^1(F)$ . These classes (1) distinguish diffeomorphism types of embeddings  $F \hookrightarrow X$ , (2) provide adjunction inequalities for membranes  $M$  on  $F$ :  $-\chi(M) \geq M \cdot M + |\partial M \cdot \alpha|$ . The basic classes  $\alpha_i$  can be viewed as differences of the relative basic classes in  $X \setminus N(F)$ , where  $N(F)$  is a tubular neighborhood of  $F$ .

## Seiberg-Witten Floer theory for rational homology 3-spheres

KIM FROYSHOV

We defined irreducible and equivariant Seiberg-Witten Floer homology groups of oriented rational homology 3-spheres with a spin-c structure. While the equivariant group is an invariant of the oriented spin-c manifold, the irreducible Floer group depends in addition on the chamber of the metric and perturbation form, which we label by a rational number. This additional parameter runs through a coset mod  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

The irreducible Floer groups come with some extra structure (u-map, and interaction with the reducible critical point), which we use to construct a group homomorphism from

the spin-c rational homology cobordism group onto the rational numbers. This invariant, which has analogues both in instanton Floer theory (of integral homology 3-spheres) and in the Ozsvath-Szabo Floer groups, constrains the 4-manifolds that the homology 3-sphere in question can bound. As an application we showed that if a simple lens space  $L(p, 1)$ ,  $p > 1$ , bounds a smooth rational homology 4-ball then  $p = 4$  (and  $L(4, 1)$  does bound). P. Kronheimer pointed out that the same argument proves that  $L(p, 1)$  is not rational homology cobordant to any integral homology 3-sphere unless  $p = 4$ .

### **Spin 4-manifolds with $b_1 > 0$**

MIKIO FURUTA

In this talk I tried to explain that one should consider the cup product on  $H^1$  to formulate the geography of 4-dimensional closed spin manifolds. In a joint work with Y. Kametani, H. Matsue and N. Minami, we showed that a spin 4-manifold with the same rational cohomology ring as  $K3\#K3\#T^4$  does not have any  $S^2 \times S^2$  summand. A proof of this statement is given by using a kind of Pin bordism group. An extension of the above statement to spin 4-manifolds with larger  $b_2$  or  $b_1$  was discussed, which is a joint work with Kametani.

### **Contact structures, linkings and fibrations**

EMMANUEL GIROUX

The goal of the talk was to show that isotopy classes of contact structures on a closed three-manifold are in one-to-one correspondence with “stable isotopy” classes of open book decompositions. We also described some applications of this result, and in particular explained how it applies to answer a question of Harer on fibered links. Finally, we presented the high-dimensional generalization of the main theorem.

### **On the Finiteness of Tight Contact Structures**

KO HONDA

In this joint work (in progress) with Vincent Colin and Emmanuel Giroux, we improve on finiteness results of Eliashberg and Kronheimer-Mrowka to prove the following theorems:

1. On every closed 3-manifold there exist finitely many homotopy classes of 2-plane fields which carry tight contact structures.
2. On a closed, atoroidal 3-manifold there exist finitely many isotopy classes of tight contact structures.

### **Bounded cohomology of mapping class groups**

DIETER KOTSCHICK

We discussed the comparison map from the second bounded cohomology in the sense of Gromov to ordinary cohomology. In the case of mapping class groups of closed oriented surfaces of genus at least three, this comparison map is surjective but not injective. The image is generated by Meyer’s signature cocycle, and estimating its Gromov-Thurston

norm is equivalent to proving signature bounds for surface bundles over surfaces. We proved such bounds using symplectic geometry, specifically the existence of symplectic submanifolds Poincaré dual to the canonical class of any symplectic structure, see [2].

In joint work with H. Endo [1], this argument was extended to Lefschetz fibrations, proving that the commutator lengths of powers of Dehn twists along separating simple closed curves have linear growth. This shows that mapping class groups are not uniformly perfect, and that the above comparison map is not injective.

At the end of the talk we discussed briefly some related work of Korkmaz, Bestvina-Fujiwara, Farb-Lubotzky-Minsky, and of Burger-Monod.

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Endo and D. Kotschick, *Bounded cohomology and non-uniform perfection of mapping class groups*, Invent. math. **144** (2001), 169–175.
2. D. Kotschick, *Signatures, monopoles and mapping class groups*, Math. Research Letters **5** (1998), 227–234.

### Floer homotopy type of rational homology 3-spheres, after Manolescu

PETER KRONHEIMER

This talk presented the work of Ciprian Manolescu, which provides a new viewpoint on Seiberg-Witten Floer homology of rational homology 3-spheres. For the sake of exposition, the talk dealt with the case of integral homology 3-spheres: in this case, for each  $Y^3$ , Manolescu constructs a space  $\sigma(Y)$  (actually an  $S^1$ -equivariant suspension spectrum), whose ordinary equivariant cohomology groups should recover the Floer cohomology of  $Y$ . Two properties of  $\sigma$  are: (i) if  $Y = S^3$ , then  $\sigma(Y)$  is the sphere spectrum; and (ii) if  $X$  is a 4-dimensional cobordism with spin-c structure and with  $\partial X = Y_2 - Y_1$ , then there is an associated map  $\sigma(X)$  from  $\sigma(Y_1)$  to  $\sigma(Y_2)$ . In the case that  $X$  is obtained from a closed manifold by removing two balls,  $\sigma(X)$  recovers the Furuta-Bauer invariant of the 4-manifold.

The construction of  $\sigma(Y)$  uses finite-dimensional approximation and Conley index theory, two ideas which were part of the early development of Floer homology and the related work of Conley and Zehnder.

### $S^1$ -valued Morse Theory and Seiberg-Witten Invariants of 3-Manifolds

THOMAS MARK

We describe a technique introduced by Donaldson for computing the Seiberg-Witten invariants of a closed 3-manifold  $Y$  having  $b_1 > 0$  by using a circle-valued Morse function  $\phi$  and a topological field theory arising from that situation. We show that the Seiberg-Witten invariant is equal to the intersection number of a pair of submanifolds in a product of symmetric powers of a slice for  $\phi$ , and use this fact to interpret the Seiberg-Witten invariant as a count of certain gradient flow lines for  $\phi$ . In particular we verify a conjecture of Hutchings and Lee, leading to an alternative proof of a theorem of Meng and Taubes relating Seiberg-Witten and torsion invariants of 3-manifolds. Finally, this result suggests the possibility of defining a Floer-type homology theory based on data given by the Morse function, along the lines of recent work of Ozsváth and Szabó.

## Amoebas in dimension 4: complex curves and surfaces

GRIGORY MIKHALKIN

Given an algebraic variety in the complex torus  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  one may consider its amoeba which is the image of the variety under the map  $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto (\log |z_1|, \dots, \log |z_n|)$ . It turns out that the shape of the amoeba carries a lot of information about the topology of the variety. In the talk I reviewed the current state of knowledge on amoebas as well as their applications to topology of real and complex varieties, in particular to complex surfaces.

## Holomorphic disks and invariants for three- and four-manifolds

PETER OZSVATH

(joint work with Zoltan Szabo)

I discussed joint work with Zoltan Szabo in which we defined invariants for three- and four-manifolds. In my talk I focused mainly on the three-dimensional case. Start with a Heegaard diagram for the three-manifold  $Y$ , and with splitting surface  $\Sigma$  and attaching circles  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_g\}$  and  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_g\}$  for the two handlebodies (where  $g$  is the genus of the surface). The invariants are then defined by using a suitable variant of Lagrangian Floer homology in the  $g$ -fold symmetric product of  $\Sigma$ , relative to the totally real subspaces  $\alpha_1 \times \dots \times \alpha_g$  and  $\beta_1 \times \dots \times \beta_g$ .

## Dual decompositions of 4-manifolds

FRANK QUINN

A *dual decomposition* is a description as a union of two submanifolds, each of which is a handlebody with handles of index  $\leq 2$ . This is somewhat like a Heegaard decomposition of a 3-manifold, but the purposes and flavor of the theory are very different than in 3 dimensions, so we avoid use of the same term. Three areas of application have been identified so far:

- 1) As a general setting for certain types of knot and link invariants. The links are the attaching maps of 2-handles in one part of the decomposition, and invariants come from algebraic topology of the other part. A perturbative version of the Cochran-Teichner-Orr invariants arises this way.
- 2) As a setting for topological field theories in 3+1 dimensions. For some of these, state spaces of 3-manifolds are defined in terms of Heegaard decompositions. Dual decompositions of 4-manifolds seem to be the right notion of bordism for Heegaard decompositions. Specifically, half of a Heegaard decomposition is a 3d thickening of a graph. Consider joining two of these by a relative 4d thickening of a 2-complex. Map this to the interval, with the two 3d thickenings mapping to the endpoints. Then time slices can be arranged to generically be thickenings of graphs (slices through the 2-complex spine). This presents the bordism as a 1-parameter family (with singularities) of thickenings of 1-complexes.
- 3) As a setting for a weak substitute for standard handlebody theory. Generally handles can be manipulated to follow algebra, particularly the chain complex of the universal cover, but this fails for 2-handlebodies. This means we cannot manipulate handle structures on half of a dual decomposition if the decomposition is fixed. The weak substitute is to get the

desired sort of handle structure by controlled changes of the decomposition. The ambient manifold is still held fixed.

The lecture described implementations of the third item.

### **An invariant of homology cobordism**

NIKOLAI SAVELIEV

We prove the following theorem. Let  $M$  be an integral homology 3-sphere which is homology cobordant to a Seifert fibered one. If the Rohlin invariant of  $M$  is non-trivial then no finite multiple of  $M$  can bound a smooth homology ball.

This is proved by defining an integral lift of the Rohlin invariant on the class of plumbed homology spheres and proving its homology cobordism invariance for (at least) Seifert fibered homology spheres and their multiples. Such a lift is defined as the Fukumoto-Furuta invariant for a special class of plumbed 4-orbifolds which are introduced in the talk.

Equivalently, this lift can be defined as the Neumann-Siebenmann invariant, and also as an equivariant Casson invariant (joint with Olivier Collin) and as a particular linear combination of the Floer Betti numbers.

### **Symplectic homotopy projective planes**

IVAN SMITH

It is a standard conjecture that a symplectic four-manifold homotopy equivalent to the complex projective plane is in fact symplectomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ . A partial result was proved by Taubes: if  $X \simeq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  and satisfies  $K_X \cdot [\omega] < 0$  then  $X$  is standard. The crux of Taubes' proof is the construction of a symplectic sphere in  $X$ , which he deduces from "Seiberg-Witten = Gromov". Following joint work with Simon Donaldson, we explained a new proof of Taubes' theorem, building on the existence of Lefschetz pencils. A pencil gives rise to a family of symmetric products over  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  and there is an associated Gromov invariant counting  $J$ -holomorphic sections of this new fibration. We proved (i) that the non-vanishing of this invariant is enough to construct symplectic surfaces and (ii) the invariant is often computable - for instance in the case at hand - by using the Abel-Jacobi map to reduce to families of tori and projective spaces. At the end we described a (speculative!) parallel programme to prove that if  $X$  satisfies  $c_1^2 = 3c_2$  and  $K_X \cdot [\omega] > 0$  then it cannot be a homotopy  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ . The strategy is to construct flat connexions on  $X$  from ones on the fibres of a Lefschetz fibration.

### **Holomorphic disks and invariants for smooth 4-manifolds**

ZOLTAN SZABO

(joint work with Peter Ozsvath)

This talk presented a smooth four-manifold invariant  $F : Spin^c(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $X$  is a smooth, closed oriented 4-manifold, with  $b_2^+(X) > 1$ .  $F$  is constructed by using an appropriate handle decomposition of  $X$  together with a pairing on the recently defined

Floer-homology groups  $HF^+(Y, s)$ ,  $HF^-(Y, s)$  where  $s$  is a spin-c structure over the three-manifold  $Y$ . While  $HF^+$  and  $HF^-$  are defined by using a version of Lagrangian Floer-homology in the  $g$ -fold symmetric product  $Sym^g(\Sigma_g)$  and counting holomorphic disks with totally real boundary conditions, the pairing is given by counting holomorphic triangles.

As one of the application of this theory we proved that if  $Y$  is a three-manifold that corresponds to a plumbing tree  $G$ , where the coefficient  $k_i$  of any vertex  $v_i$  satisfies that  $k_i \geq d(v_i)$  (where  $d(v_i)$  denotes the degree of  $v_i$  in  $G$ ), then it is impossible to embed  $Y$  to a symplectic four-manifold  $X = X_1 \cup_Y X_2$  so that  $b_2^+(X_1) > 0$ ,  $b_2^+(X_2) > 0$ . As another application by using surgery exact sequences for the Floer homologies, we gave a combinatorial formula for  $HF^-(Y, s)$  for a certain class of plumbed three-manifolds that included for example all Seifert fibered three-manifolds with  $b_1 = 0$ . These are all joint work with Peter Ozsvath.

### **Knot concordance and von Neumann $\eta$ -invariants**

PETER TEICHNER

(joint work with Tim Cochran and Kent Orr)

Using gropes or Whitney towers in the 4-ball, we have defined a filtration of the knot concordance group given by so called  $n$ -solvable knots. The previously known concordance invariants, including those by Casson and Gordon, only detect whether the knot bounds a symmetric grope of height 4, i.e. whether the knot is 4-solvable. We have previously constructed infinitely many examples of 4-solvable knots which are not 5-solvable, showing that the previously known concordance invariants cannot detect the concordance group.

Using the Cheeger-Gromov estimate for the von Neumann  $\eta$ -invariant, we can now show that there are  $n$ -solvable knots which are not  $(n+1)$ -solvable for all  $n$ . The idea is to start with a seed fibred ribbon knot of genus 2, and then infect it with a lot of trefoil knots which are tied into curves in the  $n$ -th term of the derived series of the ribbon knot group.

### **Homotopy K3's with several symplectic structures**

STEFANO VIDUSSI

Let  $M$  be a smooth 4-manifold. Whenever  $M$  admits a symplectic form, it is interesting to discuss the uniqueness of this form up to homotopies in the space of symplectic forms and diffeomorphisms of  $M$ . The canonical class of the symplectic form, lying in  $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ , can help detect inequivalent structures. McMullen and Taubes provided the first example of a manifold (a homotopy  $E(4)$ ) admitting 2 inequivalent structures, following the idea (that can be implicitly found in some Thurston's papers) of using a link exterior admitting inequivalent fibrations, and constructing from this, via Fintushel-Stern link surgery, a smooth, simply connected 4-manifold admitting inequivalent symplectic structures, distinguished by their canonical class.

In my talk I have shown how, building a suitable family of 2-component fibered links, it is possible to produce a family of homotopy  $E(2)$ 's whose  $n$ -th member admits  $n$  inequivalent symplectic structures. It is necessary, in this approach, to exploit some accidental diffeomorphism of the link surgery construction, which allow to present the same smooth

manifold as result of different symplectic fiber sums, inducing different symplectic structures. These are finally distinguished by their canonical classes, that can be studied by analysing the Seiberg-Witten polynomial of the 4-manifold.

*Edited by Birgit Schmidt and Markus Szymik*



## Participants

**Prof. Dr. Selman Akbulut**

akbulut@math.msu.edu  
Department of Mathematics  
Michigan State University  
East Lansing, MI 48824-1027  
USA

**Prof. Dr. Stefan Alois Bauer**

bauer@mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de  
Fakultät für Mathematik  
Universität Bielefeld  
Postfach 100131  
33501 Bielefeld

**Prof. Dr. Hans U. Boden**

boden@icarus.mcmaster.ca  
Department of Mathematics and  
Statistics  
Mc Master University  
1280 Main Street West  
Hamilton, Ont. L8S 4K1  
CANADA

**Dr. Christian Bohr**

bohr@rz.mathematik.uni-muenchen.de  
Mathematisches Institut  
Universität München  
Theresienstr. 39  
80333 München

**Prof. Dr. Tim D. Cochran**

cochran@rice.edu  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Rice University  
P.O. Box 1892  
Houston, TX 77005-1892  
USA

**Prof. Dr. Olivier Collin**

oc210@dpms.cam.ac.uk  
Dept. of Pure Mathematics and  
Mathematical Statistics  
University of Cambridge  
16, Mill Lane  
GB-Cambridge, CB2 1SB

**Prof. Dr. John Etnyre**

etnyre@math.stanford.edu  
Department of Mathematics  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305-2125  
USA

**Prof. Dr. Paul M. N. Feehan**

feehan@maths.tcd.ie  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Trinity College  
University of Dublin  
Dublin 2  
IRELAND

**Prof. Dr. Sergey Finashin**

serge@metu.edu.tr  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Middle East Technical University  
06531 Ankara  
TURKEY

**Prof. Dr. Ronald A. Fintushel**

ronfint@math.msu.edu  
Department of Mathematics  
Michigan State University  
East Lansing, MI 48824-1027  
USA

**Dr. Kim A. Froyshov**  
froyshov@math.harvard.edu  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Harvard University  
1 Oxford Street  
Cambridge, MA 02138  
USA

**Prof. Dr. Mikio Furuta**  
furuta@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp  
Graduate School of  
Mathematical Sciences  
University of Tokyo  
3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku  
Tokyo 153-8914  
JAPAN

**Prof. Dr. Emmanuel Giroux**  
giroux@umpa.ens-lyon.fr  
Mathematiques  
Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon  
46, Allée d'Italie  
F-69364 Lyon Cedex 07

**Prof. Dr. Ian Hambleton**  
ian@icarus.math.mcmaster.ca  
Department of Mathematics and  
Statistics  
Mc Master University  
1280 Main Street West  
Hamilton, Ont. L8S 4K1  
CANADA

**Prof. Dr. Chris Herald**  
herald@unr.edu  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Nevada  
Reno, NV 89557  
USA

**Prof. Dr. Ko Honda**  
khonda@math.usc.edu  
Mathematics Department  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, CA 94306  
USA

**Prof. Dr. Robion C. Kirby**  
kirby@math.berkeley.edu  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
at Berkeley  
815 Evans Hall  
Berkeley, CA 94720-3840  
USA

**Prof. Dr. Dieter Kotschick**  
dieter@rz.mathematik.uni-muenchen.de  
Mathematisches Institut  
Universität München  
Theresienstr. 39  
80333 München

**Prof. Dr. Matthias Kreck**  
kreck@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de  
Mathematisches Institut  
Universität Heidelberg  
Im Neuenheimer Feld 288  
69120 Heidelberg

**Dr. Peter B. Kronheimer**  
kronheim@math.harvard.edu  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Harvard University  
1 Oxford Street  
Cambridge, MA 02138  
USA

**Prof. Dr. Tian-Jun Li**  
tli@math.princeton.edu  
111 Park Street  
Apt. 3R  
New Haven, CT 06511  
USA

**Prof. Dr. Yuhan Lim**

ylim@math.ucsc.edu  
Dept. of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Cruz, CA 95064  
USA

**Prof. Dr. Paolo Lisca**

lisca@dm.unipi.it  
Dipartimento di Matematica  
Universita di Pisa  
Via Buonarroti, 2  
I-56127 Pisa

**Dr. Matilde Marcolli**

marcolli@mpim-bonn.mpg.de  
Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik  
Vivatsgasse 7  
53111 Bonn

**Prof. Dr. Tom Mark**

mark@math.berkeley.edu  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
at Berkeley  
Berkeley, CA 94720-3840  
USA

**Prof. Dr. Gordana Matic**

gordona@math.uga.edu  
Dept. of Mathematics  
University of Georgia  
Athens, GA 30602  
USA

**Prof. Dr. Grigory Mikhalkin**

mikhalkin@math.harvard.edu  
mikhalkin@math.utah.edu  
Dept. of Mathematics  
University of Utah  
155 S. 1400 East  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112-0090  
USA

**Prof. Dr. Tomasz S. Mrowka**

mrowka@math.mit.edu  
Department of Mathematics  
MIT  
77 Massachusetts Avenue  
Cambridge, MA 02139  
USA

**Dr. Vicente Munoz**

vicente.munoz@uam.es  
Departamento de Matematicas, C-XV  
Universidad Autonoma de Madrid  
Ciudad Universitaria de Cantoblanco  
E-28049 Madrid

**Prof. Dr. Peter Ozsvath**

petero@math.princeton.edu  
Department of Mathematics  
Princeton University  
Fine Hall  
Washington Road  
Princeton, NJ 08544-1000  
USA

**Prof. Dr. Victor Pidstrigach**

pidstrig@uni-math.gwdg.de  
Mathematisches Institut  
Universität Göttingen  
Bunsenstr. 3-7  
37073 Göttingen

**Prof. Dr. Frank S. Quinn**

quinn@math.vt.edu  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and  
State University  
460 McBryde Hall  
Blacksburg, VA 24061-0123  
USA

**Prof. Dr. Daniel Ruberman**

ruberman@brandeis.edu  
Department of Mathematics  
Brandeis University  
Waltham, MA 02254-9110  
USA

**Prof. Dr. Dietmar Salamon**

salamon@math.ethz.ch  
Mathematik Departement  
ETH Zürich  
ETH-Zentrum  
Rämistr. 101  
CH-8092 Zürich

**Prof. Dr. Nikolai Saveliev**

saveliev@math.tulane.edu  
Dept. of Mathematics  
Tulane University  
New Orleans, LA 70118  
USA

**Birgit Schmidt**

bschmidt@mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de  
Fakultät für Mathematik  
Universität Bielefeld  
Universitätsstr. 25  
33615 Bielefeld

**Dr. Ivan Smith**

smithi@maths.ox.ac.uk  
New College  
University of Oxford  
GB-Oxford OX1 3BN

**Prof. Dr. Ronald J. Stern**

rstern@math.uci.edu  
Dept. of Mathematics  
University of California at Irvine  
Irvine, CA 92697-3875  
USA

**Prof. Dr. Andras Stipsicz**

stipsicz@cs.elte.hu  
Department of Analysis  
L. Eötvös University  
Muzeum krt. 6 - 8  
H-1088 Budapest

**Prof. Dr. Zoltan Szabo**

szabo@math.princeton.edu  
Department of Mathematics  
Princeton University  
Fine Hall  
Washington Road  
Princeton, NJ 08544-1000  
USA

**Markus Szymik**

szymik@mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de  
Fakultät für Mathematik  
Universität Bielefeld  
Universitätsstr. 25  
33615 Bielefeld

**Prof. Dr. Peter Teichner**

teichner@math.ucsd.edu  
Dept. of Mathematics  
University of California, San Diego  
9500 Gilman Drive  
La Jolla, CA 92093-0112  
USA

**Prof. Dr. Andrei Teleman**

teleman@cmi.univ-mrs.fr  
Centre de Mathematiques et  
d'Informatique  
Universite de Provence  
39, Rue Joliot Curie  
F-13453 Marseille Cedex 13

**Prof. Dr. Stefano Vidussi**

svidussi@math.uci.edu  
Department of Mathematics  
Michigan State University  
East Lansing, MI 48824-1027  
USA

**Prof. Dr. Bai Ling Wang**

`bwang@maths.warwick.ac.uk`

Department of Pure Mathematics

The University of Adelaide

Adelaide, SA 5005

AUSTRALIA

**Prof. Dr. Shuguang Wang**

`sw@wang.math.missouri.edu`

Dept. of Mathematics

University of Missouri-Columbia

Columbia, MO 65211-0001

USA